

Mathematics for Computer Science

Exercise session 4, 21 September 2022

Silvio Capobianco

Last update: 21 August 2023

Problems from Section 4.1

Problem 4.3.

- (a) Verify that the propositional formula $(P \text{ and } \overline{Q}) \text{ or } (P \text{ and } Q)$ is equivalent to P .
- (b) Prove that

$$A = (A - B) \cup (A \cap B)$$

for all sets A, B , by showing

$$x \in A \text{ iff } x \in (A - B) \cup (A \cap B)$$

for all elements x using the equivalence of part (a) in a chain of **iff** 's.

Problem 4.5.

Prove De Morgan's Law for set equality

$$\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \tag{1}$$

by showing with a chain of **iff** 's that $x \in$ the left-hand side of (1) iff $x \in$ the right-hand side. You may assume the propositional version (3.14) of De Morgan's Law.

Problem 4.6.

Let A and B be sets.

(a) Prove that

$$\text{pow}(A \cap B) = \text{pow}(A) \cap \text{pow}(B).$$

(b) Prove that

$$\text{pow}(A) \cup \text{pow}(B) \subseteq \text{pow}(A \cup B),$$

with equality holding iff one of A or B is a subset of the other.

Problems for Section 4.2

Problem 4.15(a).

(a) Give a simple example where the following result fails, and briefly explain why:

False Theorem. For sets A, B, C and D , let

$$\begin{aligned} L &::= (A \cup B) \times (C \cup D), \\ R &::= (A \times C) \cup (B \times D). \end{aligned}$$

Then $L = R$.

(b) Identify the mistake in the following proof of the False Theorem.
Bogus proof. Since L and R are both sets of pairs, it is sufficient to prove that $(x, y) \in L \iff (x, y) \in R$ for all x, y . The proof will be a chain of **iff** implications:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in R & \text{ iff } (x, y) \in (A \times C) \cup (B \times D) \\ & \text{ iff } (x, y) \in A \times C \text{ or } (x, y) \in B \times D \\ & \text{ iff } (x \in A \text{ and } y \in C) \text{ or } (x \in B \text{ and } y \in D) \\ & \text{ iff } (x \in A \text{ or } x \in B) \text{ and } (y \in C \text{ or } y \in D) \\ & \text{ iff } (x \in A \cup B) \text{ and } (y \in C \cup D) \\ & \text{ iff } (x, y) \in L. \end{aligned}$$

□

Problem 4.16.

The *inverse* R^{-1} of a binary relation R from A to B is the relation from B to A defined by:

$$bR^{-1}A \text{ iff } aRb$$

In other words, you get the diagram for R^{-1} from R by “reversing the arrows” in the diagram describing R . Now many of the relational properties of R correspond to different properties of R^{-1} . For example, R is *total* iff R^{-1} is a *surjection*.

Fill in the remaining entries in this table:

R is	iff R^{-1} is
total	a surjection
a function	
a surjection	
an injection	
a bijection	

Hint: Explain what’s going on in terms of “arrows” from A to B in the diagram for R .

Problem 4.17.

Describe a total injective function [= 1 **out**], [\leq 1 **in**], from $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is not a bijection.

Problem 4.22(a)

Prove that if A surj B and B surj C , then A surj C .

Problems for Section 4.5

Problem 4.39

Let $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ be a set of size n , and $B = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}\}$ a set of size m . Prove that $|A \times B| = mn$ by defining a simple bijection from $A \times B$ to the nonnegative integers from 0 to $mn - 1$.

This page intentionally left blank.

This page too.

Solutions

Problem 4.3.

(a) By using distributivity:

$$\begin{aligned}(P \text{ and } \overline{Q}) \text{ or } (P \text{ and } Q) & \text{ iff } P \text{ and } (\overline{Q} \text{ or } Q) \\ & \text{ iff } P \text{ and } \mathbf{T} \\ & \text{ iff } P.\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $P ::= x \in A$ and $Q ::= x \in B$: then,

$$\begin{aligned}x \in A & \text{ iff } (x \in A \text{ and not}(x \in B)) \text{ or } (x \in A \text{ and } x \in B) \\ & \text{ iff } (x \in A - B) \text{ or } (x \in A \cap B) \\ & \text{ iff } x \in (A - B) \cup (A \cap B).\end{aligned}$$

Problem 4.5.

Let D be the domain. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}x \in \overline{A \cap B} & \text{ iff } x \in D \text{ and not}(x \in A \cap B) \\ & \text{ iff } x \in D \text{ and not}(x \in A \text{ and } x \in B) \\ & \text{ iff } x \in D \text{ and } (\text{not}(x \in A) \text{ or } \text{not}(x \in B)) \\ & \text{ iff } (x \in D \text{ and not}(x \in A)) \text{ or } (x \in D \text{ and not}(x \in B)) \\ & \text{ iff } x \in \overline{A} \text{ or } x \in \overline{B} \\ & \text{ iff } x \in (\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}).\end{aligned}$$

Note how the third and fourth **iff** 's exploit De Morgan's Law and distributivity, respectively.

Problem 4.6.

(a) Let S be a set. We must prove:

$$S \subseteq A \cap B \text{ iff } S \subseteq A \text{ and } S \subseteq B \quad (2)$$

We can better do this¹ by proving the equivalence as a double implication:

$$(S \subseteq A \cap B \longrightarrow S \subseteq A \wedge S \subseteq B) \wedge (S \subseteq A \wedge S \subseteq B \longrightarrow S \subseteq A \cap B) \quad (3)$$

¹The classroom discussion depends on a passage which is not immediate to justify.

Suppose the left-hand side of (2) holds. Let x be an arbitrary element: if $x \in S$, then $x \in A \cap B$, so both $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ by definition of intersection. We have thus proved that, if $S \subseteq A \cap B$, then $S \subseteq A$ **and** $S \subseteq B$: that is, $\text{pow}(A \cap B) \subseteq \text{pow}(A) \cap \text{pow}(B)$.

Suppose now that the right-hand side of (2) holds. Recall that such intersection is never empty, because the empty set is a subset of every set, thus an element of every power set. Let $S \subseteq A$ and $S \subseteq B$: if S is empty, then $S \subseteq A \cap B$ for sure; if S is not empty, then every element of S belongs to both A and B , thus to $A \cap B$, and this shows $S \subseteq A \cap B$. We have thus proved that, if $S \subseteq A$ **and** $S \subseteq B$, then $S \subseteq A \cap B$: that is, $\text{pow}(A) \cap \text{pow}(B) \subseteq \text{pow}(A \cap B)$. Double inclusion means equality.

(b) Let S be a set. We must prove:

$$S \subseteq A \text{ or } S \subseteq B \text{ implies } S \subseteq A \cup B \quad (4)$$

But this is easy to see: if $S \subseteq A$, then for every $x \in S$ it is also $x \in A$, thus $x \in A \cup B$ as well, and as x is arbitrary, $S \subseteq A \cup B$. Similarly, if $S \subseteq B$, then $S \subseteq A \cup B$.

Now, if for some $x \in A$ it is $x \notin B$, then any subset of $A \cup B$ which has x as an element cannot be a subset of B . It might still be, however, that every element of B is also an element of A : in this case, $A \cup B = A$ and $S \subseteq B$ **implies** $S \subseteq A$, so:

$$\text{pow}(A) \cup \text{pow}(B) = \text{pow}(A) = \text{pow}(A \cup B).$$

That is: if $B \subseteq A$, then the inclusion at (b) is an equality. The same holds, with the roles of A and B swapped, if $A \subseteq B$. However, if neither $A \subseteq B$ nor $B \subseteq A$, then there exist $x \in A$ and $y \in A$ such that $x \notin B$ and $y \notin A$: in this case, $\{x, y\}$ is a subset of $A \cup B$, but not a subset of A nor of B , and the inclusion is strict.

Problem 4.15(a).

(a) If $A = \{a\}$, $B = \{b\}$, $C = \{c\}$, $D = \{d\}$, then $L = \{(a, c), (a, d), (b, c), (b, d)\}$ but $R = \{(a, c), (b, d)\}$.

Here is a more dramatic counterexample. If A and D are empty, but B and C are not, then L is not empty and R is. There is no such thing as a pair without a first element, or without a second element.

The problem here is that the choices for the left and right component are independent in L , but not in R . In L , if we have chosen the first component from A , then we still have the option of choosing the second component from either C or D : but in R , we are forced to choose it from C .

- (b) The problem is in the fourth passage, which *looks like* an application of the distributivity law for disjunction, but is not: it is simply a swap of **and** with **or** and vice versa, which *is not* allowed by the rules of Boolean algebra. What we can conclude from

$$(x \in A \text{ and } y \in C) \text{ or } (x \in B \text{ and } y \in D)$$

is not $(x \in A \text{ or } x \in B) \text{ and } (y \in C \text{ or } y \in D)$, but, for example,

$$(x \in A \text{ or } (x \in B \text{ and } y \in D)) \text{ and } (y \in C \text{ or } (x \in B \text{ and } y \in D)),$$

which we can further split into:

$$\begin{aligned} &(x \in A \text{ or } x \in B) \\ &\text{and } (x \in A \text{ or } y \in D) \\ &\text{and } (y \in C \text{ or } x \in B) \\ &\text{and } (y \in C \text{ or } y \in D) \end{aligned}$$

This formula is not the one on the fourth line of the bogus proof! And while the first and fourth clause are harmless, the second and third are not: if $x \in A$ but $x \notin B$, then it must be $y \in C$; similarly, if $y \in C$ but $y \notin D$, then it must be $x \in A$. The set $(A \cup B) \times (C \cup D)$ has no such constraints.

Problem 4.16.

We preliminarily observe that $(R^{-1})^{-1} = R$, as:

$$a(R^{-1})^{-1}b \text{ iff } bR^{-1}a \text{ iff } aRb$$

Then we can immediately fill:

R is	iff R^{-1} is
total	a surjection
a function	
a surjection	total
an injection	
a bijection	

To fill the rest of the table, we observe that the relation diagram of R^{-1} is obtained from that of R by first reflecting it along a vertical line which cuts the arrows in half, then reversing the direction of each arrow. This leads to the following important observation:

R has the $\star n$ **in** property if and only if R^{-1} has the $\star n$ **out** property

where \star is either \leq , \geq , or $=$. As the inverse of the inverse relation is the original relation, the observation above also holds with the roles of R and R^{-1} swapped.

We can now go on:

R is a function	iff	R has the ≤ 1 out property
	iff	R^{-1} has the ≤ 1 in property
	iff	R^{-1} is an injection

To conclude, we recall that a bijection is a total function which is both injective and surjective: in this case, R^{-1} is a surjective and injective relation which is both a function and total, so it is also a bijection. And vice versa. The final table is thus:

R is	iff R^{-1} is
total	a surjection
a function	an injection
a surjection	total
an injection	a function
a bijection	a bijection

Problem 4.17.

The function $f(x) = 2^x$ works just fine. Another example which I, as instructor, like a lot is the arc tangent, whose *range* (image of the domain) is bounded.

Problem 4.22(a)

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$ be surjective functions. A good candidate for a surjective function from A to C is $g \circ f$: let's put it to the test.

- $g \circ f$ is a function. Let $x \in A$: as f is a function, there exists at most one $y \in B$ such that $f(x) = y$. But g is a function, so there exists at most one $z \in C$ such that $g(y) = z$. Consequently, if there is any element w of C at all such that $(g \circ f)(x) = w$, it must be $w = z$.
- $g \circ f$ is surjective. Let $z \in C$: as g is surjective, there exists $y \in B$ such that $g(y) = z$. But f is surjective too, so there exists $x \in A$ such that $f(x) = y$. Then $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = g(y) = z$.

Problem 4.39

We observe that we can order the elements of $A \times B$ into a *matrix* with n rows and m columns:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (a_0, b_0) & (a_0, b_1) & (a_0, b_2) & \dots & (a_0, b_{m-1}) \\ (a_1, b_0) & (a_1, b_1) & (a_1, b_2) & \dots & (a_1, b_{m-1}) \\ (a_2, b_0) & (a_2, b_1) & (a_2, b_2) & \dots & (a_2, b_{m-1}) \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ (a_{n-1}, b_0) & (a_{n-1}, b_1) & (a_{n-1}, b_2) & \dots & (a_{n-1}, b_{m-1}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

But we can do the same with the natural numbers smaller than mn :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \dots & m-1 \\ m & m+1 & m+2 & \dots & 2m-1 \\ 2m & 2m+1 & 2m+2 & \dots & 3m-1 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ (n-1)m & (n-1)m+1 & (n-1)m+2 & \dots & mn-1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

(The last number is $(n-1)m + m - 1 = nm - 1$.) Each possible pair (a_i, b_j) appears exactly once in the matrix (5). Each possible natural number smaller than mn appears exactly once in the matrix (6). Then we can obtain a bijection between $A \times B$ and $\{0, \dots, mn - 1\}$ by *superimposing the matrices*. If we do so, we notice that the pair (a_i, b_j) corresponds to the number $mi + j$: this is the bijection we were looking for.