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## What Is a Proposition?

## Definition

A proposition is a statement which has a definite truth value: either true, or false.
Examples:

- "Tallinn is the capital of Estonia." This is a true proposition.
- "Tartu is the capital of Estonia." This is a false proposition.
- "For every two real numbers $a$ and $b,|a b| \leq \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2}$."

This is a case of the arithmetic-geometric inequality.

- "This statement is true."

This is a self-referential statement, which might not have a truth value. This one does: we just don't know which!

- "If two and two are five, then I am the Pope."

This is actually a true proposition! (We will see why in Lecture 2.)
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Non-examples:

- "Study the textbook from page 1 to page 30 ." This is a request, not a statement.
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## What Is Not a Proposition?

Non-examples:

- "Study the textbook from page 1 to page 30."

This is a request, not a statement.

- "Is it raining now?"

This is a question, not a statement.

- "It is raining now."

This statement may be true or false according to what time and date it is, so it does not have a definite truth value.

- "This statement is false."

Such statement cannot have a truth value: if it were true, then it would be false, and if it were false, then it would be true.

- "If this statement is true, then two and two are five."

This is an instance of Curry's paradox.
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## Examples:

- " $n$ is a perfect square" where $n$ is a positive integer.

This is true if $n=1$, but false if $n=2$.

- " $n^{2}+n+41$ is a prime number" where $n$ is a positive integer.

This is true for $n=1,2, \ldots, 39$, but $40^{2}+40+41=41^{2}$.

## Predicates

## Definition

A predicate is a proposition whose truth value may depend on one or more variables.

## Examples:

- " $n$ is a perfect square" where $n$ is a positive integer.

This is true if $n=1$, but false if $n=2$.

- " $n^{2}+n+41$ is a prime number" where $n$ is a positive integer.

This is true for $n=1,2, \ldots, 39$, but $40^{2}+40+41=41^{2}$.

- "It is raining now."

This is also a predicate, whose truth value depends on the variable "now".
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## Euclidean geometry

The Greek mathematician Euclid (IV-III century BC) based his treatise on plane geometry on the following five axioms:
(here we give an equivalent, more modern formulation)
1 Through any two points there is a unique straight line.
2 Every segment can be extended to a straight line.
3 There is always a circle with given center and radius.
4 All right angles are equal to each other.
5 Given a straight line and a point not on it, there exists a unique line parallel to the first and passing through the point.
All other propositions are deduced from those five axioms by means of proofs.

## So, What Is a Proof?

## Definition (following the textbook)

A proof of a proposition is a sequence of logical deductions which, starting from taken-for-granted axioms and reusing previously proved statements, ends with the proposition itself.

There is a sort of informal nomenclature for propositions which have a proof:

- Theorem: a proposition which is "important" somehow.

Example: Pythagoras' theorem on the side of a right triangle.

- Lemma: a proposition which is "useful" somehow.

Example: Euclid's lemma on divisibility by a prime.

- Corollary: a proposition which follows "in few steps" from a theorem or lemma.


## The axiomatic method

1 Start from the axioms.
2 Apply logical deduction.
3 End with the proposition you wanted to prove.
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Proving an Implication Proving an "If and Only If" Proof by Cases

## Inference rules

These have the form:

$$
\frac{\text { list of premises }}{\text { conclusion }}
$$

meaning:
If all the premises are true, then the conclusion is true.

- A premise can also be called an antecedent or a hypothesis.
- The conclusion can also be called the consequent or the thesis.


## Inference rules

These have the form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { list of premises } \\
\hline \text { conclusion }
\end{gathered}
$$

Modus ponens ${ }^{1}$


Example:
it is raining, if it is raining, then I take my umbrella
I take my umbrella
${ }^{1}$ meaning "way of adding"; pronounced: MAW-doos PAWN-ens

## Inference rules

These have the form:

$$
\frac{\text { list of premises }}{\text { conclusion }}
$$

## Contraction of implications

$$
\frac{P \text { implies } Q, \quad Q \text { implies } R}{P \text { implies } R}
$$

Example:
if Bob is a man, then Bob is an animal, if Bob is an animal, then Bob is mortal if Bob is a man, then Bob is mortal

## Inference rules

These have the form:

$$
\frac{\text { list of premises }}{\text { conclusion }}
$$

## Contraposition

$$
\frac{P \text { implies } Q}{\operatorname{not}(Q) \text { implies } \operatorname{not}(P)}
$$

Example:

> if it is raining, then I take my umbrella
> if I do not take my umbrella, then it is not raining

## Inference rules

These have the form:

$$
\frac{\text { list of premises }}{\text { conclusion }}
$$

## Conjunction

$$
\frac{P, \quad Q}{P \text { and } Q}
$$

Example:

> the sky is blue, the rose is red
> the sky is blue and the rose is red

## Inference rules

These have the form:

$$
\frac{\text { list of premises }}{\text { conclusion }}
$$

## Disjunction

$$
\frac{P}{P \text { or } Q}, \quad \frac{Q}{P \text { or } Q}
$$

Example:
the sky is blue
the sky is blue or the rose is green

## Inference rules

These have the form:

$$
\frac{\text { list of premises }}{\text { conclusion }}
$$

Law of Non-Contradiction

$$
\operatorname{not}(P \text { and } \operatorname{not}(P))
$$

Example:

## A non-rule

$$
\frac{P \text { implies } Q}{\operatorname{not}(P) \text { implies } \operatorname{not}(Q)}
$$

## A non-rule

$$
\frac{P \text { implies } Q}{\operatorname{not}(P) \text { implies } \operatorname{not}(Q)}
$$

It might be that both "if $P$, then $Q$ " and "if not- $P$, then not- $Q$ ".

- But more often than not, this is not the case:

$$
\frac{P \text { implies } Q}{\operatorname{not}(P) \text { implies } \operatorname{not}(Q)}
$$

It might be that both "if $P$, then $Q$ " and "if not- $P$, then not- $Q$ ".

- But more often than not, this is not the case:
- If I am under the rain, then I get wet; but I can get wet without being under the rain, e.g., by swimming in the lake.
- And we have stated that a logical rule is valid when the conclusion is true whenever the premises are all true.
Using this "rule" is a logical fallacy, called denying the antecedent.
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## How to Prove an Implication

## Problem

Provide a proof of " $P$ implies $Q$ ".

## Method 1: Direct proof

1 Assume $P$.
2 Show that $Q$ logically follows.

## Method 2: Prove the contrapositive

1 State, "We prove the contrapositive".
2 Write down the contrapositive.
3 Write a direct proof of the contrapositive.

## Method 1: Example

## Claim

If $0 \leq x \leq 2$, then $1+4 x-x^{3} \geq 0$.
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## Method 1: Example

## Claim

If $0 \leq x \leq 2$, then $1+4 x-x^{3} \geq 0$.

- We assume $0 \leq x \leq 2$.
- We isolate the part $4 x-x^{3}$, which contains the variable.
- We observe that we can factorize this as follows:

$$
4 x-x^{3}=x \cdot\left(4-x^{2}\right)=x \cdot(2+x) \cdot(2-x)
$$

- For $x$ between 0 and 2, each of those factors is nonnegative.
- Then the product is nonnegative too, and we get:

$$
1+4 x-x^{3}>4 x-x^{3} \geq 0
$$

## Method 2: Example

## Claim

If $r \geq 0$ is irrational, then $\sqrt{r}$ is irrational.
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## Method 2: Example

## Claim

If $r \geq 0$ is irrational, then $\sqrt{r}$ is irrational.

- We prove the contrapositive:

If $\sqrt{r}$ is rational, then $r$ is rational.

- Assume there exist integers $m, n$ such that $\sqrt{r}=\frac{m}{n}$.


## Method 2: Example

## Claim

If $r \geq 0$ is irrational, then $\sqrt{r}$ is irrational.

- We prove the contrapositive:

If $\sqrt{r}$ is rational, then $r$ is rational.

- Assume there exist integers $m, n$ such that $\sqrt{r}=\frac{m}{n}$.
- By squaring both sides, as $r \geq 0$, we get $r=\frac{m^{2}}{n^{2}}$
- As $m^{2}$ and $n^{2}$ are also integers, $r$ is rational.


## The Law of Excluded Middle

The technique of proof by contraposition works because of:

## Law of Excluded Middle

Given any proposition $P$, one between $P$ and $\operatorname{not}(P)$ is true.
Expressed as a logical rule: (" iff" is a shortcut for 'if and only if")

$$
\overline{P \operatorname{or} \operatorname{not}(P)}, \text { or equivalently }, \overline{P \text { iff } \operatorname{not}(\operatorname{not}(P))}
$$

- Technically, if we iterate the rule of contraposition, we get:

$$
\frac{\operatorname{not}(Q) \text { implies } \operatorname{not}(P)}{\operatorname{not}(\operatorname{not}(P)) \text { implies } \operatorname{not}(\operatorname{not}(Q))}
$$

- We then need the Law of Excluded Middle to substitute $\operatorname{not}(\operatorname{not}(P))$ and $\operatorname{not}(\operatorname{not}(Q))$ with $P$ and $Q$, respectively.
- There are some logics in which the Law of Excluded Middle is not valid.
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## How to Prove an "If and Only If"

## Problem

Provide a proof of " $P$ iff $Q$ ".

## Method 1: Prove each implication separately

1 First, prove $P$ implies $Q$.
2 Then, prove $Q$ implies $P$.

Method 2: Construct a chain of iff 's
1 Write down a sequence $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ of propositions such that $P_{1}=P$ and $P_{n}=Q$.
2 For every $i$ from 1 to $n-1$, prove: $P_{i}$ iff $P_{i+1}$.

## Example: The standard deviation

Recall that the mean of the values $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is the quantity:

$$
\mu=\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{n}}{n}
$$

## Theorem

However given values $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, their standard deviation

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{\left(x_{1}-\mu\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-\mu\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(x_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}}{n}}
$$

is zero if and only if all the $x_{i}$ 's are equal.

## Example: The standard deviation

## Theorem

However given values $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, their standard deviation

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{\left(x_{1}-\mu\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-\mu\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(x_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}}{n}}
$$

is zero if and only if all the $x_{i}$ 's are equal.
We construct the following chain of propositions:
$1 \quad \sigma=0$.
$2\left(x_{1}-\mu\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-\mu\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(x_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}=0$.
$3 x_{1}-\mu=x_{2}-\mu=\ldots=x_{n}-\mu=0$.
$4 x_{1}=x_{2}=\ldots=x_{n}=\mu$.

## Example: The standard deviation

## Theorem

However given values $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, their standard deviation

$$
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{\left(x_{1}-\mu\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-\mu\right)^{2}+\ldots+\left(x_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}}{n}}
$$

is zero if and only if all the $x_{i}$ 's are equal.
Then:

- $P_{1}$ iff $P_{2}$, because a square root is 0 iff its argument is 0 .
- $P_{2}$ iff $P_{3}$, because a sum of squares is 0 iff each square is 0 .
- $P_{3}$ iff $P_{4}$ in an obvious ${ }^{1}$ way.

[^0]
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## Proof by Cases

Suppose we have a predicate $P(x)$ depending on a variable $x$.
1 Identify a finite number of cases such that, for each value k of the variable $x$, the proposition $P(\mathrm{k})$ belongs to some case (maybe more than one, but at least one).
2 Construct a proof for each of those cases.
This works because, if $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{n}$ are all the possible cases, then $P(x)$ is equivalent to:

$$
\left(C_{1} \text { and } P(x)\right) \text { or }\left(C_{2} \text { and } P(x)\right) \text { or } \ldots \text { or }\left(C_{n} \text { and } P(x)\right)
$$

## Example: Ramsey's Theorem for $(3,3)$

## Statement

Among any six people there is
1 either a club of three people who all know each other,
2 or a group of three strangers none of whom knows any of the others.

## Example: Ramsey's Theorem for $(3,3)$

## Statement

Among any six people there is
1 either a club of three people who all know each other,
2 or a group of three strangers none of whom knows any of the others.

## Part 1: Identify the Cases

Call $A, B, C, D, E, F$ the six people. Exactly one of the following happens:
a. At least three between $B, C, D, E$, and $F$ know $A$.
b. At most two between $B, C, D, E$, and $F$ know $A$.

## Example: Ramsey's Theorem for $(3,3)$

## Statement

Among any six people there is
1 either a club of three people who all know each other,
2 or a group of three strangers none of whom knows any of the others.

## Part 2a: Prove the First Case

Call $R, S$, and $T$ three people who know $A$.

- If none of $R, S$, and $T$ know each other, then they form a group of strangers.
- If two of them know each other, call them $U$ and $V$ : then $A, U$, and $V$ form a club.

Note that we used a proof by cases inside a proof by cases.

## Example: Ramsey's Theorem for $(3,3)$

## Statement

Among any six people there is
1 either a club of three people who all know each other,
2 or a group of three strangers none of whom knows any of the others.

## Part 2b: Prove the Next Case

Call $R, S$, and $T$ three people who don't know $A$.

- If $R, S$, and $T$ know each other, then they form a club.
- If two of them don't know each other, call them $U$ and $V$ : then $A, U$, and $V$ form a group of three strangers.

Again, we used a proof by cases inside a proof by cases.

## Example: Ramsey's Theorem for $(3,3)$

## Statement

Among any six people there is
1 either a club of three people who all know each other,
2 or a group of three strangers none of whom knows any of the others.
Note that the options in the thesis are not mutually exclusive:

- It might be that $A, B$, and $C$ form a club, while $D, E$, and $F$ form a group of three strangers.
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3 Good Proof Guidelines

## Good proof guidelines

- State your plan.
- Keep a linear flow.
- A proof is an essay, rather than a calculation.
- Use notation consistently and sparingly.
- Structure a long proof as you would do with a long program.
- Make multiple revisions.

■ "Obvious" is a relative concept.

- Write down conclusions explicitly.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Use this word VERY carefully!

