

# Containers for Effects and Contexts

Tarmo Uustalu, Institute of Cybernetics, Tallinn

University of Oxford, 6–10 July 2015

# This course

- We will think about computational effects and contexts as modelled with monads, comonads and related machinery.
- We will primarily be interested in questions like: Where do they come from? How to generate them? How many are they?  
And also: How to arrive at answers to such questions with as little work as possible?
- In other words, we will amuse ourselves with the combinatorics of monads etc.
- The main tool: Containers (possibly quotient containers). But not today.
- Today's ambition: Monads, monad maps and distributive laws.

# Useful prior knowledge

- This is not strictly needed, but will help.
- Basics of functional programming and the use of monads (and perhaps idioms, comonads) in functional programming.
- From category theory:
  - functors, natural transformations
  - adjunctions
  - symmetric monoidal (closed) categories
  - Cartesian (closed) categories, coproducts
  - initial algebra, final coalgebra of a functor
  - ... :-)
- All examples however will be for **Set**. :-)
- (But many generalize to any Cartesian (closed) or monoidal (closed) category.)

# Monads

# Monads

- A *monad* on a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is given by a
  - a functor  $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,
  - a natural transformation  $\eta : \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow T$  (the *unit*),
  - a natural transformation  $\mu : T \cdot T \rightarrow T$  (the *multiplication*)

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TA & \xrightarrow{T\eta_A} & T(TA) \\ \eta_{TA} \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \mu_A \\ T(TA) & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & TA \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} T(T(TA)) & \xrightarrow{T\mu_A} & T(TA) \\ \mu_{TA} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_A \\ T(TA) & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & TA \end{array}$$

- This definition says that monads are monoids in the monoidal category  $([\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}], \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}, \cdot)$ .

# An alternative formulation: Kleisli triples

- A more FP-friendly formulation is this.
- A *Kleisli triple* is given by
  - an object mapping  $T : |\mathcal{C}| \rightarrow |\mathcal{C}|$ ,
  - for any object  $A$ , a map  $\eta_A : A \rightarrow TA$ ,
  - for any map  $k : A \rightarrow TB$ , a map  $k^* : TA \rightarrow TB$  (the *Kleisli extension* operation)

such that

- if  $k : A \rightarrow TB$ , then  $k^* \circ \eta_A = k$ ,
  - $\eta_A^* = \text{id}_{TA}$ ,
  - if  $k : A \rightarrow TB$ ,  $\ell : B \rightarrow TC$ , then  $(\ell^* \circ k)^* = \ell^* \circ k^* : TA \rightarrow TC$ .
- (Notice there are no explicit functoriality and naturality conditions.)

# Monads = Kleisli triples

- There is a bijection between monads and Kleisli triples.
- Given  $T$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\mu$ , one defines
  - if  $k : A \rightarrow TB$ , then  $k^* = TA \xrightarrow{Tk} T(TB) \xrightarrow{\mu_B} TB$ .
- Given  $T$  (on objects only),  $\eta$  and  $-^*$ , one defines
  - if  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , then
$$Tf = \left( A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{\eta_B} TB \right)^* : TA \rightarrow TB,$$
  - $\mu_A = \left( TA \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{TA}} TA \right)^* : T(TA) \rightarrow TA.$

# Kleisli category of a monad

- A monad  $T$  on a category  $\mathcal{C}$  induces a category  $\mathbf{Kl}(T)$  called the *Kleisli category* of  $T$  defined by

- an object is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,
- a map of from  $A$  to  $B$  is a map of  $\mathcal{C}$  from  $A$  to  $TB$ ,
- $\text{id}_A^T = A \xrightarrow{\eta_A} TA$ ,
- if  $k : A \rightarrow^T B$ ,  $\ell : B \rightarrow^T C$ , then

$$\ell \circ^T k = A \xrightarrow{k} TB \xrightarrow{T\ell} T(TC) \xrightarrow{\mu_C} TC$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\ell^*}$

- From  $\mathcal{C}$  there is an identity-on-objects *inclusion* functor  $J$  to  $\mathbf{Kl}(T)$ , defined on maps by

- if  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , then

$$Jf = A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{\eta_B} TB = A \xrightarrow{\eta_A} TA \xrightarrow{Tf} TB.$$



# Monad algebras

- An *algebra* of a monad  $(T, \eta, \mu)$  is an object  $A$  with a map  $a : TA \rightarrow A$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & T(TA) \xrightarrow{Ta} TA \\ \eta_A \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \mu_A \quad \downarrow a \\ TA & \xrightarrow{a} & A \end{array}$$

- A *map* between two algebras  $(A, a)$  and  $(B, b)$  is a map  $h$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TA & \xrightarrow{Th} & TB \\ a \downarrow & & \downarrow b \\ A & \xrightarrow{h} & B \end{array}$$

- The algebras of the monad and maps between them form a category  $\mathbf{EM}(T)$  with an obvious forgetful functor  $U : \mathbf{EM}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

# Computational interpretation

- Think of  $\mathcal{C}$  as the category of pure functions and of  $TA$  as the type of effectful computations of values of a type  $A$ .
- $\eta_A : A \rightarrow TA$  is the identity function on  $A$  viewed as trivially effectful.
- $Jf : A \rightarrow TB$  is a general pure function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  viewed as trivially effectful.
- $\mu_A : T(TA) \rightarrow TA$  flattens an effectful computation of an effectful computation.
- $k^* : TA \rightarrow TB$  is an effectful function  $k : A \rightarrow TB$  extended into one that can input an effectful computation.
- An algebra  $(A, a : TA \rightarrow A)$  serves as a recipe for handling the effects in computations of values of type  $A$ .

# Kleisli adjunction

- In the opposite direction of  $J : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{KI}(T)$  there is a functor  $R : \mathbf{KI}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  defined by
  - $RA = TA$ ,
  - if  $k : A \rightarrow^T B$ , then  $Rk = TA \xrightarrow{k^*} TB$ .
- $R$  is right adjoint to  $J$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{KI}(T) & & \underbrace{A}_{JA} \rightarrow^T B \\
 J \uparrow \lrcorner & & \hline
 \mathcal{C} & & A \rightarrow \underbrace{TB}_{RB} \\
 \lrcorner \downarrow R & & 
 \end{array}$$

- Importantly,  $R \cdot J = T$ . Indeed,
  - $R(JA) = TA$ ,
  - if  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , then  $R(Jf) = (\eta_B \circ f)^* = Tf$ .
- Moreover, the unit of the adjunction is  $\eta$ .
- $J \dashv R$  is the initial adjunction factorizing  $T$  in this way.

# Eilenberg-Moore adjunction

- In the opposite direction of  $U : \mathbf{EM}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  there is a functor  $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{EM}(T)$  defined by
  - $LA = (TA, \mu_A)$ ,
  - if  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , then  $Lf = Tf : (TA, \mu_A) \rightarrow (TB, \mu_B)$ .
- $L$  is left adjoint to  $U$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{EM}(T) & & \\
 L \uparrow \lrcorner & & \overbrace{(TA, \mu_A)}^{LA} \rightarrow (B, b) \\
 \lrcorner \downarrow U & & \hline
 \mathcal{C} & & A \rightarrow \underbrace{B}_{U(B,b)}
 \end{array}$$

- $U \cdot L = T$ . Indeed,
  - $U(LA) = U(TA, \mu_A) = TA$ ,
  - if  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , then  $U(Lf) = U(Tf) = Tf$ .
- The unit of the adjunction is  $\eta$ .
- $L \dashv U$  is the final adjunction factorizing  $T$ .

# Exceptions monads

- The functor:
  - $TA = E + A$  where  $E$  is some set (of exceptions)
- The monad structure:
  - $\eta_A x = \text{inl } x$ ,
  - $\mu_A (\text{inl } e) = \text{inl } e$ ,
  - $\mu_A (\text{inr } (\text{inl } e)) = \text{inl } e$ ,
  - $\mu_A (\text{inr } (\text{inr } x)) = \text{inr } x$ .
- This is the only monad structure on this functor.
- (This example generalizes to any coCartesian category, in fact to any monoidal category with a given monoid. In a coCartesian category, any object  $E$  carries exactly one monoid structure defined by  $o = ?_E : 0 \rightarrow E$  and  $\oplus = \nabla_E : E + E \rightarrow E$ .)

# Reader monads

- The functor:
  - $TA = S \Rightarrow A$  where  $S$  is a set (of readable states)
- The monad structure:
  - $\eta_A x = \lambda s. x,$
  - $\mu_A f = \lambda s. f s s.$
- This is the only monad structure on this functor.
  
- (This example generalizes to any monoidal closed category with a given comonoid. In a Cartesian closed category, any object  $S$  comes with a unique comonoid structure given by  $!_S : S \rightarrow 1, \Delta_S : S \rightarrow S \times S.$ )

# Writer monads

- We are interested in this functor:
  - $TA = P \times A$  where  $P$  is a set (of updates)
- The possible monad structures are:
  - $\eta_A x = (o, x)$ ,
  - $\mu_A (p, (p', x)) = (p \oplus p', x)$   
where  $(o, \oplus)$  is a monoid structure on  $P$  (trivial update, composition of updates)
- Monad structures on this functor are in a bijection with monoid structures on  $P$ .
  
- (This example generalizes to any monoidal category with a given monoid.)

# State monads

- The monad:
  - $T A = S \Rightarrow S \times A$  where  $S$  is a set (of readable/overwritable states),
  - $\eta_A x = \lambda s. (s, x)$
  - $\mu_A f = \lambda s. \text{let } (s', g) = f s \text{ in } g (s', x)$
  
- (This example works in any monoidal closed category.)



# List monad and variations

- The list monad:
  - $TA = \text{List } A$ ,
  - $\eta_A x = [x]$ ,
  - $\mu_A xss = \text{concat } xss$ .
- Some variations:
  - $TA = \{xs : A^* \mid xs \text{ is square-free}\}$
  - $TA = \{xs : A^* \mid xs \text{ is duplicate-free}\}$
  - $TA = 1 + A \times A$
  - $TA = \mathcal{M}_f A$
  - $TA = \mathcal{P}_f A$
  - non-empty versions of the above
- Can you characterize the algebras of these monads?

# Monad maps

# Monad maps

- A *monad map* between monads  $T, T'$  on a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a natural transformation  $\tau : T \rightarrow T'$  satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xlongequal{\quad} & A \\ \eta_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta'_A \\ TA & \xrightarrow{\tau_A} & T'A \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} T(TA) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{TA}} & T'(TA) & \xrightarrow{T'\tau_A} & T'(T'A) \\ \mu_A \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu'_A \\ TA & \xrightarrow{\tau_A} & T'A & & T'A \end{array}$$

- Monads on  $\mathcal{C}$  and maps between them form a category **Monad**( $\mathcal{C}$ ).
- Monad maps are monoid maps in the monoidal category  $([\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}], \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}, \cdot)$  and the category of monads is the category of monoids in  $([\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}], \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}, \cdot)$ .

# Kleisli triple maps

- A map between two Kleisli triples  $T, T'$  is, for any object  $A$ , a map  $\tau_A : TA \rightarrow T'A$  such that
  - $\tau_A \circ \eta_A = \eta'_A$ ,
  - if  $k : A \rightarrow TB$ , then  $\tau_B \circ k^* = (\tau_B \circ k)^{*'} \circ \tau_A$ .
- (No explicit naturality condition on  $\tau$ !)
- Kleisli triples on  $\mathcal{C}$  and maps between them form a category that is isomorphic to **Monad**( $\mathcal{C}$ ).

# Monad maps vs. functors between Kleisli categories

- There is a bijection between monad maps  $\tau : T \rightarrow T'$  and functors  $V : \mathbf{Kl}(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{Kl}(T')$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Kl}(T) & \xrightarrow{V} & \mathbf{Kl}(T') \\ & \swarrow J & \nearrow J' \\ & C & \end{array}$$

- This is defined by
    - $VA = A$ ,
    - if  $k : A \rightarrow TB$ , then  $Vk = A \xrightarrow{k} TB \xrightarrow{\tau_B} T'B$ .
- and
- $\tau_A = V(TA \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{TA}} TA) : TA \rightarrow T'A$ .

# Monad maps vs. functors between E-M categories

- There is a bijection between monad maps  $\tau : T \rightarrow T'$  and functors  $V : \mathbf{EM}(T') \rightarrow \mathbf{EM}(T)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{EM}(T') & \xrightarrow{V} & \mathbf{EM}(T) \\ & \searrow U' & \swarrow U \\ & \mathcal{C} & \end{array}$$

(Note the reversed direction.)

- This is defined by
  - $V(A, a) = (A, a \circ \tau_A)$ ,
  - if  $h : (A, a) \rightarrow (B, b)$ , then  
 $Vh = h : (A, a \circ \tau_A) \rightarrow (B, b \circ \tau_B)$ .

and

- $\tau_A = \text{let } (T'A, a) \leftarrow V(T'A, \mu'_A) \text{ in } a \circ T\eta'_A$ .

## Examples: Exceptions, reader, writer monads

- Monad maps between the exception monads for sets  $E$ ,  $E'$  are in a bijection with pairs of an element of  $E' + 1$  and a function between  $E$  and  $E'$ .  
(Why?)
- Monad maps between the reader monads for sets  $S$ ,  $S'$  are in a bijection with maps between  $S'$ ,  $S$ .
- Monad maps between the writer monads for monoids  $(P, o, \oplus)$  and  $(P', o', \oplus')$  are in a bijection with homomorphisms between these monoids.

## Examples: From exceptions to writer or vice versa

- There is no monad map  $\tau$  from the exception monad for a set  $E$  and the writer monad for a monoid  $(P, o, \oplus)$  (unless  $E = 0$ ).

There is not even a natural transformation between the underlying functors: it is impossible to have a map  $\tau_0 : 0 + E \rightarrow P \times 0$ .

- Monad maps  $\tau$  from the writer monad for  $(P, o, \oplus)$  to the exception monad for  $E$  are in a bijection between monoid homomorphisms between  $(P, o, \oplus)$  and the free monoid on the left zero semigroup on  $E$ . (Can you simplify this condition further?)

They can be written as

$$\tau_X = P \times X \longrightarrow (E + 1) \times X \longrightarrow E \times X + 1 \times X \longrightarrow E + X$$



## Examples: Reader and state monads

- The monad maps between the state monads for  $S$  and  $C$  are in a bijection with *lenses*, i.e., pairs of functions  $lkp : C \rightarrow S$ ,  $upd : C \times S \rightarrow C$  such that
  - $lkp (upd (c, s)) = s$ ,
  - $upd (c, lkp c) = c$ ,
  - $upd (upd (c, s), s') = upd (c, s')$ .
  
- Can you characterize the monad maps from the reader monad for  $S$  to the state monad for  $C$ ? The other way around? (Be careful here!)

## Examples: Nonempty lists and powerset

- How many monad maps are there from the nonempty list monad to itself?
- Answer: 4, viz. the identity map, reverse, take only the first element, take only the last element.
- Why does taking the 2nd element not qualify? Or taking the two first elements? (These are natural transformations, but...)
  
- How many monad maps are there from the nonempty list monad to the nonempty powerset monad? The other way around?

# Compatible compositions of monads

# Compatible compositions of monads

- A *compatible composition* of two monads  $(T_0, \eta_0, \mu_0)$ ,  $(T_1, \eta_1, \mu_1)$  is a monad structure  $(\eta, \mu)$  on  $T = T_0 \cdot T_1$  satisfying

Diagram 1: A commutative triangle with  $\text{Id}$  at the top,  $T_0 \cdot T_1$  at the bottom left, and  $T_0 \cdot T_1$  at the bottom right. A curved arrow labeled  $\eta_0 \cdot \eta_1$  goes from  $\text{Id}$  to  $T_0 \cdot T_1$ . A straight arrow labeled  $\eta$  goes from  $\text{Id}$  to  $T_0 \cdot T_1$ .

Diagram 2: A commutative square. Top-left:  $T_0 \cdot T_0$ . Top-right:  $T_0$ . Bottom-left:  $T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1$ . Bottom-right:  $T_0 \cdot T_1$ . Arrows:  $\mu_0$  (top),  $T_0 \cdot \eta_1$  (right),  $T_0 \cdot \eta_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot \eta_1$  (left),  $\mu$  (bottom).

Diagram 3: A commutative triangle. Top:  $T_0 \cdot T_1$ . Bottom-left:  $T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1$ . Bottom-right:  $T_0 \cdot T_1$ . Arrows:  $T_0 \cdot \eta_1 \cdot \eta_0 \cdot T_1$  (left),  $\mu$  (bottom),  $\eta_0 \cdot T_1$  (right).

- Conditions 1-3 say just that  $T_0 \cdot \eta_1$  and  $\eta_0 \cdot T_1$  are monad morphisms between  $(T_0, \eta_0, \mu_0)$  resp.  $(T_1, \eta_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(T, \eta, \mu)$ .

Condition 1 fixes that  $\eta = \eta_0 \cdot \eta_1$ ; so the only freedom is about  $\mu$ .

# Distributive laws of monads

- A *distributive law* of a monad  $(T_1, \eta_1, \mu_1)$  over  $(T_0, \eta_0, \mu_0)$  is a natural transformation  $\theta : T_1 \cdot T_0 \rightarrow T_0 \cdot T_1$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & T_1 & \\
 T_1 \cdot \eta_0 \swarrow & & \searrow \eta_0 \cdot T_1 \\
 T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T_0 \cdot T_1
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & T_0 & \\
 \eta_1 \cdot T_0 \swarrow & & \searrow T_0 \cdot \eta_1 \\
 T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T_0 \cdot T_1
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta \cdot T_0} & T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{T_0 \cdot \theta} & T_0 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 \\
 \downarrow T_1 \cdot \mu_0 & & & & \downarrow \mu_0 \cdot T_1 \\
 T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T_0 \cdot T_1 & & 
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T_1 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{T_1 \cdot \theta} & T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 & \xrightarrow{\theta \cdot T_1} & T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_1 \\
 \downarrow \mu_1 \cdot T_0 & & & & \downarrow T_0 \cdot \mu_1 \\
 T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T_0 \cdot T_1 & & 
 \end{array}$$

# Compatible compositions = distributive laws

- Compatible compositions of  $(T_0, \eta_0, \mu_0)$ ,  $(T_1, \eta_1, \mu_1)$  are in a bijection with distributive laws of  $(T_1, \eta_1, \mu_1)$  over  $(T_0, \eta_0, \mu_0)$ .
- Given  $\mu$ , one recovers  $\theta$  by

$$\theta = T_1 \cdot T_0 \xrightarrow{\eta_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot \eta_1} T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 \xrightarrow{\mu} T_0 \cdot T_1$$

- Given  $\theta$ ,  $\mu$  is defined by

$$\mu = T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 \xrightarrow{T_0 \cdot \theta \cdot T_1} T_0 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_1 \xrightarrow{\mu_0 \cdot \mu_1} T_0 \cdot T_1$$

# Algebras of compatible compositions

- Given a distributive law  $\theta$ , a  $\theta$ -pair of algebras is given by a set  $A$  with a  $(T_0, \eta_0, \mu_0)$ -algebra structure  $(A, a_0)$  and a  $(T_1, \eta_1, \mu_1)$ -algebra structure  $(A, a_1)$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_1 A & \xleftarrow{a_1} & A & \xrightarrow{a_0} & T_0 A \\ & & \downarrow T_1 a_0 & & \downarrow T_0 a_1 \\ T_1(T_0 A) & \xrightarrow{\theta_A} & & & T_0(T_1 A) \end{array}$$

- Such pairs of algebras are in a bijection with  $(T, \eta, \mu)$ -algebras.
- Given  $a_0, a_1$ , one constructs  $a$  as
  - $a = T_0(T_1 A) \xrightarrow{T_0 a_1} T_0 A \xrightarrow{a_0} A.$
- Given  $a$ ,  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  are defined by
  - $a_0 = T_0 A \xrightarrow{T_0 \eta_1 A} T_0(T_1 A) \xrightarrow{a} A,$
  - $a_1 = T_1 A \xrightarrow{\eta_0 T_1 A} T_0(T_1 A) \xrightarrow{a} A.$

# Any monad and an exceptions monad

- The exceptions monad for  $E$  distributes in a unique way over any monad  $(T_0, \eta_0, \mu_0)$ .
- $\theta : E + T_0A \rightarrow T_0(E + A)$   
 $\theta_A(\text{inl } e) = \eta_0(\text{inl } e),$   
 $\theta_A(\text{inr } c) = T_0 \text{ inr}$
- So we have a unique monad structure on  $TA = T_0(E + A)$  that is compatible with  $(T_0, \eta_0, \mu_0)$ .
  
- (This generalizes to any coCartesian category, also to any monoidal category with a comonoid.)



# Any monad and a writer monad

- There is a unique distributive law of the writer monad for  $(P, \circ, \oplus)$  over any monad  $(T_0, \eta_0, \mu_0)$ .
- $\theta : P \times T_0A \rightarrow T_0(P \times A)$   
 $\theta_A(p, c) = T_0(\lambda x. (p, x)) c$ .  
( $\theta$  is nothing but the unique strength of  $T_0$ !)
- So monad structures on  $TA = T_0(P \times A)$  compatible with  $(T_0, \eta_0, \mu_0)$  are in a bijection with monoid structures on  $P$ .
  
- (This generalizes to any Cartesian category and any monoidal category in the form of a bijection between strengths and distributive laws.)

# Monoid actions

- A *right action* of a monoid  $(P, o, \oplus)$  on a set  $S$  is a map  $\downarrow : S \times P \rightarrow S$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} s \downarrow o &= s \\ s \downarrow (p \oplus p') &= (s \downarrow p) \downarrow p' \end{aligned}$$

# Reader and writer monads

- Distributive laws of the writer monad for  $(P, o, \oplus)$  over the reader monad for  $S$  are in a bijective correspondence with right actions of  $(P, o, \oplus)$  on  $S$ .
- The compatible composition of the two monads determined by a right action  $\downarrow$  is

$$\begin{aligned}T A = S &\Rightarrow P \times A \\ \eta x &= \lambda s. (o, x) \\ \mu f &= \lambda s. \text{let } (p, g) = f s \\ &\quad (p', x) = g (s \downarrow p) \\ &\quad \text{in } (p \oplus p', x)\end{aligned}$$

—the update monad for  $S$ ,  $(P, o, \oplus)$ ,  $\downarrow$ .

# State logging

- Take  $S$  to be some set (of states).
- Take  $P = \text{List } S$ ,  $o = []$ ,  $\oplus = ++$  (state logs).
- $s \downarrow [] = s$   
 $s \downarrow (s' :: ss) = s' \downarrow ss$

(so  $s \downarrow ss$  is the last element of  $s :: ss$ )

# Reading a stack and popping

- Take  $S = \text{List } E$  (states of a stack of elements drawn from a set  $E$ ).
- Take  $P = \text{Nat}$ ,  $o = 0$ ,  $\oplus = +$  (possible numbers of elements to pop).
- $es \downarrow n = \text{removelast } n \text{ es}$ .

# Reading a stack and pushing

- Take again  $S = \text{List } E$  (states of a stack of elements drawn from a set  $E$ ).
- Take  $P = \text{List } E$ ,  $o = []$ ,  $\oplus = ++$  (lists of elements to push on the stack).
- $es \downarrow es' = es ++ es'$ .
- (So here we choose  $(S, \downarrow)$  to be the initial  $(P, o, \oplus)$ -set—which is always a possibility.)

# Matching pairs of monoid actions

- A *matching pair of actions* of two monoids  $(P_0, o_0, \oplus_0)$  and  $(P_1, o_1, \oplus_1)$  on each other is pair of maps  $\searrow : P_1 \times P_0 \rightarrow P_0$  and  $\swarrow : P_1 \times P_0 \rightarrow P_1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}o_1 \searrow p_0 &= p_0 \\(p_1 \oplus_1 p'_1) \searrow p_0 &= p_1 \searrow (p'_1 \searrow p_0) \\p_1 \searrow o_0 &= o_0 \\p_1 \searrow (p_0 \oplus_0 p'_0) &= (p_1 \searrow p_0) \oplus_0 ((p_1 \swarrow p_0) \searrow p'_0)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}p_1 \swarrow o_0 &= p_1 \\p_1 \swarrow (p_0 \oplus_0 p'_0) &= (p_1 \swarrow p_0) \swarrow p'_0 \\o_1 \swarrow p_0 &= o_1 \\(p_1 \oplus_1 p'_1) \swarrow p_0 &= (p_1 \swarrow (p'_1 \searrow p_0)) \oplus_1 (p'_1 \swarrow p_0)\end{aligned}$$

# Zappa-Szép product of monoids

- A Zappa-Szép product (aka knit product, bicrossed product, bilateral semidirect product) of two monoids  $(P_0, \circ_0, \oplus_0)$  and  $(P_1, \circ_1, \oplus_1)$  is a monoid structure  $(\circ, \oplus)$  on  $P = P_0 \times P_1$  such that

$$\circ = (\circ_0, \circ_1)$$

$$(p, \circ_1) \oplus (p', \circ_1) = (p \oplus_0 p', \circ_1)$$

$$(\circ_0, p) \oplus (\circ_0, p') = (\circ_0, p \oplus_1 p')$$

$$(p, \circ_1) \oplus (\circ_0, p') = (p, p')$$

- Zappa-Szép products of  $(P_0, \circ_0, \oplus_0)$  and  $(P_1, \circ_1, \oplus_1)$  are in a bijective correspondence with matching pairs of actions of  $(P_0, \circ_0, \oplus_0)$  and  $(P_1, \circ_1, \oplus_1)$ .
- Given  $\oplus$ , one constructs  $\searrow$  and  $\swarrow$  by
  - $(p_1 \searrow p_0, p_1 \swarrow p_0) = (\circ_0, p_1) \oplus (p_0, \circ_1)$
- Given  $\searrow$  and  $\swarrow$ ,  $\oplus$  is defined by
  - $(p_0, p_1) \oplus (p'_0, p'_1) = (p_0 \oplus_0 (p_1 \swarrow p'_0), (p_1 \searrow p'_0) \oplus_1 p'_1)$



# Two writer monads

- Compatible compositions of writer monads for  $(P_0, \circ_0, \oplus_0)$  and  $(P_1, \circ_1, \oplus_1)$  are in a bijection with matching pairs of actions of the two monoids.
- They are isomorphic to writer monads for the corresponding Zappa-Szép products.

# Combining popping and pushing

- Take  $(P_0, o_0, \oplus_0) = (\text{Nat}, 0, +)$ ,  
 $(P_1, o_1, \oplus_1) = (\text{List } E, [], ++)$  where  $E$  is some set.
- $es \searrow n = n - \text{length } es$ ,  
 $es \swarrow n = \text{removelast } n \text{ } es$ .
- $(n, es) \oplus (n', es')$   
 $= (n + (n' - \text{length } es), (\text{removelast } n' \text{ } es) ++ es')$
- Pairs  $(n, es)$  represent net effects of sequences of pop, push instructions on a stack: some number of elements is removed from and some new specific elements are added to the stack.

# Combining reading, popping, pushing

- How do I now show that
  - $TA = \text{List } E \Rightarrow \text{Nat} \times (\text{List } E \times A)$  is a monad?
- This is of the form  $T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_2$  where
  - $T_0A = \text{List } E \Rightarrow A$
  - $T_1A = \text{Nat} \times A$
  - $T_2A = \text{List } E \times A$
- We already know that
  - $T_{01} = T_0 \cdot T_1$
  - $T_{02} = T_0 \cdot T_2$
  - $T_{12} = T_1 \cdot T_2$are compatible compositions of monads.
- We want to be sure that  $(T_0 \cdot T_1) \cdot T_2$  and  $T_0 \cdot (T_1 \cdot T_2)$  are compatible compositions of monads.
- Moreover they'd better be the same monad!

- In terms of distributive laws, this only requires checking the Yang-Baxter equation:

