Monoidal functors (aka idioms!)

[Symmetric] monoidal functors

- A <u>lax</u> monoidal functor between monoidal categories (C, I, ⊗) and (C, I', ⊗') is
 - a functor F from C to C'
 - with natural <u>transformations</u> $e: I' \to FI$ and $m_{A,B}: FA \otimes' FB \to F(A \otimes B)$

such that

$$I' \otimes' FA \xrightarrow{\otimes' FA} FI \otimes' FA \xrightarrow{m_{I,A}} F(I \otimes A) \qquad FA \xrightarrow{FA} FA$$

$$\lambda'_{FA} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow F\lambda_A \quad \rho'_{FA} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow F\rho_A$$

$$FA \xrightarrow{FA} FA \qquad \qquad FA \qquad FA \otimes' I' \xrightarrow{FA \otimes' e} FA \otimes' FI \xrightarrow{m_{A,I}} F(A \otimes I)$$

$$(FA \otimes' FB) \otimes' FC \xrightarrow{m_{A,B} \otimes' FC} F(A \otimes B) \otimes' FC \xrightarrow{m_{A \otimes B,C}} F((A \otimes B) \otimes' C)$$

$$\alpha'_{FA,FB,FC} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow F\alpha_{A,B,C}$$

$$FA \otimes' (FB \otimes' FC) \xrightarrow{FA \otimes' m_{B,C}} FA \otimes' F(B \otimes C) \xrightarrow{m_{A,B \otimes C}} F(A \otimes (B \otimes C))$$

• A lax monoidal functors between symmetric monoidal categories is *lax symmetric monoidal*, if also

$$\begin{array}{c|c} FA \otimes' FB \xrightarrow{\mathsf{m}_{A,B}} F(A \otimes B) \\ \sigma'_{FA,FB} & & \downarrow F\sigma_{A,B} \\ FB \otimes' FA \xrightarrow{\mathsf{m}_{B,A}} F(B \otimes A) \end{array}$$

- An <u>oplax</u> [symmetric] monoidal functor is like a lax [symmetric] monoidal functor, but e, m go in the <u>opposite</u> direction.
- A *monoidal [symmetric] functor* is like a lax [symmetric] monoidal functor, but e, m are required to be natural isomorphisms.

 A lax [symmetric] monoidal natural transformation between two lax [symmetric] monoidal functors (F, e, m), (G, e', m') is a natural transformation τ : F → G satisfying

• Oplax [symmetric] monoidal and [symmetric] monoidal natural transformations are defined similarly.

• Any functor *F* between Cartesian categories is canonically oplax symmetric monoidal via

•
$$e = F1 \xrightarrow{!} 1$$
,
• $m_{A,B} = F(A \times B) \xrightarrow{\langle Ffst, Fsnd \rangle} FA \times FB$.

• Any natural transformation between functors *F*, *G* between Cartesian categories is oplax symmetric monoidal for the canonical oplax symmetric monoidalities on *F* and *G*.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Lax monoidal functors \cap containers

• Containers whose interpretation carries a lax monoidality are given by a container (*S*, *P*) with

- e: S • $: S \to S \to S$ • $q_0 : \Pi\{s_0 : S\}. \Pi s_1 : S. P(s_0 \bullet s_1) \to P s_0$ • $q_1 : \Pi s_0 : S. \Pi\{s_1 : S\}. P(s_0 \bullet s_1) \to P s_1$ where we write
 - $q_0 \{s_0\} s_1 p$ as $s_1 \uparrow_{s_0} p$
 - $q_1 s_0 \{s_1\} p$ as $p \uparrow_{s_1} s_0$

such that

•
$$e \bullet s = s$$

• $s = s \bullet e$
• $(s \bullet s') \bullet s'' = s \bullet (s' \bullet s'')$
and ...

...and

•
$$e \uparrow_{s} p = p$$

• $p \uparrow_{s} e = p$
• $s' \uparrow_{s} (s'' \uparrow_{s \bullet s'} p) = (s' \bullet s'') \uparrow_{s} p$
• $(s'' \uparrow_{s \bullet s'} p) \uparrow_{s'} s = s'' \uparrow_{s'} (p \uparrow_{s' \bullet s''} s)$
• $p \uparrow_{s''} (s \bullet s') = (p \uparrow_{s' \bullet s''} s) \uparrow_{s''} s'$

- (S, e, \bullet) make a monoid.
- (\uparrow, \nearrow) resemble a biaction of (S, e, \bullet) .
- Those containers whose interpretation carries a lax symmetric monoidality satisfy also

•
$$s \bullet s' = s' \bullet s$$
,

•
$$s' \uparrow_s p = p \nearrow_{s'} s$$

i.e., the monoid (S, e, \bullet) is commutative and one action determines the other.

Monoidal monads

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

[Symmetric] monoidal monads

 A lax [symmetric] monoidal monad on a [symmetric] monoidal category (C, I, ⊗) is a monad (T, η, μ) with a lax [symmetric] monoidality (e, m) of T for which η and μ are lax [symmetric] monoidal, i.e., satisfy



(Note that Id is lax [symmetric] monoidal and, if F, G are lax [symmetric] monoidal, then so is $G \cdot F$.)

- The 1st law forces that $e = \eta_I$ and the 2nd law follows from one of the monad laws, so we only need m and the 3rd and 4th laws.
- On a Cartesian category, every monad is canonically oplax symmetric monoidal.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Lax monoidal monads = Comm. bistrong monads

- There is a bijection of lax [symmetric] monoidalities m on a monad (*T*, η, μ) on a [symmetric] monoidal category (*C*, *I*, ⊗) and commutative [symmetric] bistrengths (θ, ϑ).
- It is defined by

•
$$\mathbf{m}_{A,B} = \mathbf{m}_{A,B}^{lr} = \mathbf{m}_{A,B}^{rl}$$

and

•
$$\theta_{A,B} = A \otimes TB \xrightarrow{\eta_A \otimes TB} TA \otimes TB \xrightarrow{\mathsf{m}_{A,B}} T(A \otimes B),$$

•
$$\vartheta_{A,B} = TA \otimes B \xrightarrow{TA \otimes \eta_B} TA \otimes TB \xrightarrow{\mathsf{m}_{A,B}} T(A \otimes B).$$

 On (Set, 1, ×), as any monad has a unique left strength and [symmetric] bistrength, it is lax [symmetric] monoidal in at most one way.

Exception idioms

• Lax [symmetric] monoidalities (e, m) on the exception functor for *E*

• TA = E + A

are in a bijection with [commutative] semigroup structures \otimes on E via

•
$$e * = inr *$$
,
 $m_{A,B} (inl e_0, inl e_1) = inl (e_0 \otimes e_1)$,
 $m_{A,B} (inl e, inr b) = inl e$
 $m_{A,B} (inr a, inl e) = inl e$
 $m_{A,B} (inr a, inr b) = inr (a, b)$;
 $e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4 = e_3 e_5$

• $e_0 \otimes e_1 = \text{case } \mathsf{m}_{0,0} \text{ of inl } e \mapsto e.$

- Two special cases are $e_0 \otimes e_1 = e_0$ (the left zero semigroup) and $e_0 \otimes e_1 = e_1$ (the right zero semigroup).
- The exception monad for E is not lax [symmetric] monoidal except for the special case E = 1.

Writer idioms

- Lax [symmetric] monoidalities (e, m) on the writer functor for a set *P*
 - $TA = P \times A$

are in a bijection with [commutative] monoid structures (i, \otimes) on P.

 Lax [symmetric] monoidalities m on the writer monad for a monoid (P, o, ⊕) are in a bijection with those [commutative] monoid structures (i, ⊗) on P that satisfy

- i = o
- $(e_0 \oplus e_1) \otimes (e_2 \oplus e_3) = (e_0 \otimes e_2) \oplus (e_1 \otimes e_3)$ (middle-four interchange)

Under the 1st condition, the 2nd condition implies

$$e_0 \otimes e_1 = (e_0 \oplus \mathsf{o}) \otimes (\mathsf{o} \oplus e_1) = (e_0 \otimes \mathsf{i}) \oplus (\mathsf{i} \otimes e_1) = e_0 \oplus e_1$$

and further

$$e_0\oplus e_1=(\mathsf{o}\oplus e_0)\oplus (e_1\oplus \mathsf{o})=(\mathsf{o}\oplus e_1)\oplus (e_0\oplus \mathsf{o})=e_1\oplus e_0$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

as well as follows from these conditions.

 Hence the writer monad is lax [symmetric] monoidal if and only if ⊕ is commutative.