Intuitionistic Temporal Logic from Reactive Programming

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Institute of Cybernetics at Tallinn University of Technology

Estonian-Finnish Logic Meeting

Rakvere, Estonia 14 October 2015

Wolfgang Jeltsch (Institute of Cybernetics)

Intuitionistic Temporal Logic

Estonian-Finnish Logic Meeting 1 / 20

ロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Functional reactive programming and intuitionistic temporal logic

2 Logical operators







Functional reactive programming and intuitionistic temporal logic

2 Logical operators

Inference rules



イロト イヨト イヨト

- extension of functional programming with support for describing temporal behavior
- time is a totally ordered set (T, \leq) :
 - linear
 - not necessarily discrete
- key constructs for behavior descriptions:
 - signals
 - events

Signals and events

• signals are time-varying values:



events are times with associated values:



• examples:

 $Signal_{\mathbb{R}}$ audio channel in a multimedia application *Event*_{Σ} key press

Wolfgang Jeltsch (Institute of Cybernetics)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Correspondence to temporal logic operators

● Signal corresponds to a future-only variant of □:

۲





(I) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2))

6/20

Establishment of a Curry–Howard correspondence

- FRP inspires temporal logic, making it intuitionistic in several ways:
 - double negation elimination does not hold:

 $\neg \neg A \nvDash A$

□' and ◊' are not interdefinable:

 $\Box' A \neq \neg \diamondsuit' \neg A$ $\diamondsuit' B \neq \neg \Box' \neg B$

◊' does not distribute over finite disjunctions:

$$\diamond'(A \lor B) \nvDash \diamond'A \lor \diamond'B \qquad \diamond' \bot \nvDash \bot \diamond'A \lor \diamond'B \vdash \diamond'(A \lor B) \qquad \bot \vdash \diamond'\bot$$

• temporal logic inspires FRP:

time-dependent truth \longrightarrow time-dependent type inhabitance "until" proofs \longrightarrow processes

Wolfgang Jeltsch (Institute of Cybernetics)

Intuitionistic Temporal Logic



2 Logical operators

3 Inference rules



э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

"Until" operators

• family of future-only "until" operators:



• parameter c is termination constraint:

- termination behaviors are elements of $T \cup \{\infty\}$:
 - $t \in T$ termination at time t
 - ∞ nontermination
- termination constraints are downward closed sets of permitted termination behaviors
- special cases:
 - T termination guaranteed

l

- $T \cup \{\infty\}$ nontermination possible
- shortcuts:

Wolfgang Jeltsch (Institute of Cybernetics)

- 3

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Derivation of "always" and "eventually" operators



Present-also versions of "always" and "eventually"



(I) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2)) < ((2))

Present-also versions of "until"

derivation analogous to derivation of □:

$$A \triangleright_c' B = A \times A \triangleright_c'' B: \xrightarrow{\bullet} A \xrightarrow{\bullet} B$$

derivation analogous to derivation of ◊:

$$A \triangleright_c B = B + A \triangleright'_c B:$$

$$B$$

$$A \models_c B = B + A \models'_c B:$$

伺い イヨト イヨト



Logical operators





イロト イロト イヨト イヨト



• judgment application under ⊳":

$$\frac{A \vdash A' \quad B \vdash B'}{A \vartriangleright_c'' B \vdash A' \vartriangleright_c'' B'} \quad (Map)$$



- 32

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



• elimination of right nesting:

- 3

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Expand

• introduction of left nesting:

$$\overline{A \triangleright_c'' B} \vdash (A \triangleright_c' B) \triangleright'' B \quad (Expand)$$



3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• merging of two \triangleright'' -statements:

$$\overline{A_1 \triangleright_{c_1}^{\prime\prime} B_1 \times A_2 \triangleright_{c_2}^{\prime\prime} B_2 \vdash (A_1 \times A_2) \triangleright_{c_1 \cap c_2}^{\prime\prime} R} \quad (Merge)$$
$$R = B_1 \times A_2 + A_1 \times B_2 + B_1 \times B_2$$



● merging of two ▷"-statements:

$$\overline{A_1 \triangleright_{c_1}^{\prime\prime} B_1 \times A_2 \triangleright_{c_2}^{\prime\prime} B_2 \vdash (A_1 \times A_2) \triangleright_{c_1 \cap c_2}^{\prime\prime} R} \quad \text{(Merge)}$$
$$R = B_1 \times A_2 + A_1 \times B_2 + B_1 \times B_2$$



● merging of two ▷"-statements:

$$\overline{A_1 \triangleright_{c_1}^{\prime\prime} B_1 \times A_2 \triangleright_{c_2}^{\prime\prime} B_2 \vdash (A_1 \times A_2) \triangleright_{c_1 \cap c_2}^{\prime\prime} R} \quad (Merge)$$

$$R = B_1 \times A_2 + A_1 \times B_2 + B_1 \times B_2$$



• merging of two \triangleright'' -statements:

$$\overline{A_1 \triangleright_{c_1}^{\prime\prime} B_1 \times A_2 \triangleright_{c_2}^{\prime\prime} B_2 \vdash (A_1 \times A_2) \triangleright_{c_1 \cap c_2}^{\prime\prime} R} \quad (Merge)$$
$$R = B_1 \times A_2 + A_1 \times B_2 + B_1 \times B_2$$



● merging of two ▷"-statements:

$$\overline{A_1 \triangleright_{c_1}^{\prime\prime} B_1 \times A_2 \triangleright_{c_2}^{\prime\prime} B_2 \vdash (A_1 \times A_2) \triangleright_{c_1 \cap c_2}^{\prime\prime} R} \quad (Merge)$$
$$R = B_1 \times A_2 + A_1 \times B_2 + B_1 \times B_2$$



● merging of two ▷"-statements:

$$\overline{A_1 \triangleright_{c_1}^{\prime\prime} B_1 \times A_2 \triangleright_{c_2}^{\prime\prime} B_2 \vdash (A_1 \times A_2) \triangleright_{c_1 \cap c_2}^{\prime\prime} R} \quad (Merge)$$
$$R = B_1 \times A_2 + A_1 \times B_2 + B_1 \times B_2$$



• nullary variant of Merge:





Logical operators

3 Inference rules



イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Conclusions and outlook

conclusions:

- discovery of correspondence between FRP and temporal logic profits both FRP and temporal logic
- causality as a temporal intuitionistic concept
- inference rules inspired by core operations of FRP
- outlook:
 - integration of our intuitionistic temporal logic and the logic of bunched implication
 - interpretation of the resulting logic as a variant of FRP with support for side effects