

# Sums

ITT9132 Concrete Mathematics  
Lecture 5 – 25 February 2019

Chapter Two

**Finite and Infinite Calculus**

**Derivative and Difference  
Operators**

**Integrals and Sums**

**Summation by Parts**

**Infinite Sums**

## 1 Finite and Infinite Calculus

- Derivative and Difference Operators
- Integrals and Sums
- Summation by Parts

## 2 Infinite Sums

# Next section

## 1 Finite and Infinite Calculus

- Derivative and Difference Operators
- Integrals and Sums
- Summation by Parts

## 2 Infinite Sums

# Next subsection

- 1 Finite and Infinite Calculus
  - Derivative and Difference Operators
  - Integrals and Sums
  - Summation by Parts
  
- 2 Infinite Sums

# Derivative and Difference Operators

## Infinite calculus: derivative

### Euler's notation

$$Df(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

### Lagrange's notation

$$f'(x) = Df(x)$$

### Leibniz's notation

 If  $y = f(x)$ , then

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{df}{dx}(x) = \frac{df(x)}{dx} = Df(x)$$

### Newton's notation

$$\dot{y} = f'(x)$$

## Finite calculus: difference

$$\Delta f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$$

In general, if  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $h \in \mathbb{C}$ ), then

### Forward difference

$$\Delta_h[f](x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$$

### Backward difference

$$\nabla_h[f](x) = f(x) - f(x-h)$$

### Central difference

$$\delta_h[f](x) = f(x + \frac{1}{2}h) - f(x - \frac{1}{2}h)$$

$$Df(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta_h[f](x)}{h}$$

# Derivative of Power function

Example:  $f(x) = x^3$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_h[f](x) &= f(x+h) - f(x) \\ &= (x+h)^3 - x^3 \\ &= x^3 + 3x^2h + 3xh^2 + h^3 - x^3 \\ &= h \cdot (3x^2 + 3xh + h^2)\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$Df(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h \cdot (3x^2 + 3xh + h^2)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 3x^2 + 3xh + h^2 = 3x^2$$

In general, for  $m \geq 1$  integer:

$$D(x^m) = mx^{m-1}$$

# (Forward) Difference of Power Function

Example:  $f(x) = x^3$

In this case,

$$\Delta f(x) = \Delta_1[f](x) = 3x^2 + 3x + 1$$

In general, for  $m \geq 1$  integer:

$$\Delta(x^m) = \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} x^{m-k}$$

because of **Newton's binomial theorem**.

# Falling and Rising Factorials

## Definition

The **falling factorial (power)** is defined for  $m \geq 0$  by:

$$x^{\underline{m}} = x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-m+1)$$

The **rising factorial (power)** is defined for  $m \geq 0$  by:

$$x^{\overline{m}} = x(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+m-1)$$

## Properties

$$x^{\overline{m}} = (-1)^m (-x)^{\underline{m}}$$

$$n! = n^{\overline{n}} = 1^{\overline{n}}$$

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n^{\underline{k}}}{k!}$$

$$x^{\overline{m+n}} = x^{\underline{m}}(x-m)^{\overline{n}}$$

$$x^{\underline{m}} = \frac{x^{\overline{m+1}}}{x-m} \text{ if } x \neq m$$

$$x^{-m} = \frac{1}{(x+1)^{\overline{m}}} = \frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+m)}$$



## Difference of falling factorial with positive exponent

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x^m) &= (x+1)^m - x^m \\ &= (x+1) \cdot (x \cdots (x-m+2)) - (x \cdots (x-m+2)) \cdot (x-m+1) \\ &= (x+1 - (x-m+1)) \cdot (x \cdots (x-m+2)) \\ &= m \cdot x^{m-1}\end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\Delta(x^m) = mx^{m-1} \quad \forall m \geq 1$$

# Difference of falling factorial with negative exponent: Example

Let's check this formula for negative power:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x^{-2} &= (x+1)^{-2} - x^{-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(x+2)(x+3)} - \frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2)} \\ &= \frac{(x+1) - (x+3)}{(x+1)(x+2)(x+3)} \\ &= \frac{-2}{(x+1)(x+2)(x+3)} \\ &= -2 \cdot x^{-3}\end{aligned}$$

## Difference of falling factorial with negative exponent

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta x^{-m} &= (x+1)^{-m} - x^{-m} \\ &= \frac{1}{(x+2)\cdots(x+m)(x+m+1)} - \frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+m)} \\ &= \frac{(x+1) - (x+m+1)}{(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+m)(x+m+1)} \\ &= \frac{-m}{(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+m)(x+m+1)} \\ &= -m x^{-\overline{(m+1)}} \\ &= -m x^{-\overline{m-1}}\end{aligned}$$

# Next subsection

## 1 Finite and Infinite Calculus

- Derivative and Difference Operators
- Integrals and Sums
- Summation by Parts

## 2 Infinite Sums

# Indefinite Integrals and Sums

## The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

$$Df(x) = g(x) \quad \text{iff} \quad \int g(x)dx = f(x) + C$$

## Definition

The **indefinite sum** of the function  $g(x)$  is the class of functions  $f$  such that  $\Delta f(x) = g(x)$ :

$$\Delta f(x) = g(x) \quad \text{iff} \quad \sum g(x)\delta x = f(x) + C(x)$$

where  $C(x)$  is a function such that  $C(x+1) = C(x)$  for any **integer** value of  $x$ .

# Definite Integrals and Sums

If  $g(x) = Df(x)$ , then:

$$\int_a^b g(x)dx = f(x)\Big|_a^b = f(b) - f(a)$$

Similarly:

If  $g(x) = \Delta f(x)$ , then:

$$\sum_a^b g(x)\delta x = f(x)\Big|_a^b = f(b) - f(a)$$

# Definite sums

## Some observations

- $\sum_a^a g(x)\delta x = f(a) - f(a) = 0$
- $\sum_a^{a+1} g(x)\delta x = f(a+1) - f(a) = g(a)$
- $\sum_a^{b+1} g(x)\delta x - \sum_a^b g(x)\delta x = f(b+1) - f(b) = g(b)$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_a^b g(x)\delta x &= \sum_{k=a}^{b-1} g(k) = \sum_{a \leq k < b} g(k) \\ &= \sum_{a \leq k < b} (f(k+1) - f(k)) \\ &= (f(a+1) - f(a)) + (f(a+2) - f(a+1)) + \dots \\ &\quad + (f(b-1) - f(b-2)) + (f(b) - f(b-1)) \\ &= f(b) - f(a)\end{aligned}$$

# Integrals and Sums of Powers

If  $m \neq -1$ , then:

$$\int_0^n x^m dx = \frac{x^{m+1}}{m+1} \Big|_0^n = \frac{n^{m+1}}{m+1}$$

Analogous finite case:

If  $m \neq -1$ , then:

$$\sum_0^n k^m \delta_x = \sum_{0 \leq k < n} k^m = \frac{k^{m+1}}{m+1} \Big|_0^n = \frac{n^{m+1}}{m+1}$$



# Sums of Powers: applications

Case  $m = 1$

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < n} k = \frac{n^2}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

Case  $m = 2$  Due to  $k^2 = k^2 + k^1$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{0 \leq k < n} k^2 &= \frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^2}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}n(n-1)(n-2) + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}n(2(n-1)(n-2) + 3(n-1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}n(n-1)(2n-4+3) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}n(n-1)(2n-1)\end{aligned}$$

Taking  $n+1$  instead of  $n$  gives:

$$\square_n = \frac{(n+1)n(2n+1)}{6}$$

# Sums of Powers (case $m = -1$ )

As a first step, we observe that:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta H_x &= H_{x+1} - H_x \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x+1}\right) - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{x+1} = x^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

We conclude:

$$\sum_a^b x^{-1} \delta x = H_x \Big|_a^b$$

# Sums of Discrete Exponential Functions

- We have:

$$D e^x = e^x$$

The finite analogue should have  $\Delta f(x) = f(x)$ . This means:

$$f(x+1) - f(x) = f(x), \text{ that is, } f(x+1) = 2f(x), \text{ only possible if } f(x) = 2^x$$

- For general base  $c > 0$ , the difference of  $c^x$  is:

$$\Delta(c^x) = c^{x+1} - c^x = (c-1)c^x$$

and the “anti-difference” for  $c \neq 1$  is  $\frac{c^x}{(c-1)}$ .

As an application, we compute the sum of the geometric progression:

$$\sum_{a \leq k < b} c^k = \sum_a^b c^x \delta x = \frac{c^x}{c-1} \Big|_a^b = \frac{c^b - c^a}{c-1} = c^a \cdot \frac{c^{b-a} - 1}{c-1}.$$

# Differential equations and difference equations

Differential equation	Solution	Difference equation	Solution
$Df_n(x) = nf_{n-1}(x)$ $f_n(0) = [n = 0], n \geq 0$	$f_n(x) = x^n$	$\Delta u_m(x) = mu_{m-1}(x)$ $u_m(0) = [m = 0], m \geq 0$	$u_m(x) = x^m$
$Df_n(x) = nf_{n-1}(x)$ $f_n(1) = 1, n < 0$	$f_n(x) = x^n$	$\Delta u_m(x) = mu_{m-1}(x)$ $u_m(0) = 1/m!, m < 0$	$u_m(x) = x^m$
$Df(x) = \frac{1}{x} \cdot [x > 0]$ $f(1) = 1$	$f(x) = \ln x$	$\Delta u(x) = \frac{1}{x} \cdot [x \geq 1]$ $u(1) = 1$	$u(x) = H_x$
$Df(x) = f(x)$ $f(0) = 1$	$f(x) = e^x$	$\Delta u(x) = u(x)$ $u(0) = 1$	$u(x) = 2^x$
$Df(x) = b \cdot f(x)$ $f(0) = 1$	$f(x) = a^x$ where $b = \ln a$	$\Delta u(x) = b \cdot u(x)$ $u(0) = 1$	$u(x) = c^x$ where $b = c - 1$

# l'Hôpital's rule and Stolz-Cesàro lemma

## l'Hôpital's rule: Hypotheses

- 1  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are both vanishing or both infinite at  $x_0$ .
- 2  $g'(x)$  is always positive in some neighborhood of  $x_0$ .

## l'Hôpital's rule: Thesis

- If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = L \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = L$ .

## Stolz-Cesàro lemma: Hypotheses

- 1  $u(n)$  and  $v(n)$  are defined for every value  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 2  $v(n)$  is positive, strictly increasing, and divergent.

## Stolz-Cesàro lemma: Thesis

- If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta u(n)}{\Delta v(n)} = L \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(x)}{v(x)} = L$ .

# Next subsection

## 1 Finite and Infinite Calculus

- Derivative and Difference Operators
- Integrals and Sums
- Summation by Parts

## 2 Infinite Sums

# Summation by Parts

Infinite analogue: integration by parts

$$\int u(x)v'(x)dx = u(x)v(x) - \int u'(x)v(x)dx$$

Difference of a product

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(u(x)v(x)) &= u(x+1)v(x+1) - u(x)v(x) \\ &= u(x+1)v(x+1) - u(x)v(x+1) + u(x)v(x+1) - u(x)v(x) \\ &= \Delta u(x)v(x+1) + u(x)\Delta v(x) \\ &= u(x)\Delta v(x) + Ev(x)\Delta u(x)\end{aligned}$$

where  $E$  is the **shift operator**  $Ef(x) = f(x+1)$ . We then have the:

Rule for summation by parts

$$\sum u\Delta v \delta x = uv - \sum Ev\Delta u \delta x$$

# Why the shift?

If we repeat our derivation with two continuous functions  $f$  and  $g$  of one real variable  $x$ , we find for any increment  $h \neq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}f(x+h)g(x+h) - f(x)g(x) &= f(x+h)g(x+h) - f(x)g(x+h) + f(x)g(x+h) - f(x)g(x) \\ &= f(x)(g(x+h) - g(x)) + g(x+h)(f(x+h) - f(x))\end{aligned}$$

The incremental ratio is thus:

$$\frac{f(x+h)g(x+h) - f(x)g(x)}{h} = f(x) \cdot \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h} + g(x+h) \cdot \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

So there **is** a shift: but it is **infinitesimal**—and disappears by continuity of  $g$ .



## Summation by Parts (2)

Example:  $S = \sum_{k=0}^n k2^k$

- Taking  $u(x) = x$ ,  $v(x) = 2^x$  and  $Ev(x) = 2^{x+1}$ :

$$\sum x2^x \delta x = x2^x - \sum 2^{x+1} \delta x = x2^x - 2^{x+1} + C$$

This yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^n k2^k &= \sum_0^{n+1} x2^x \delta x \\ &= (x2^x - 2^{x+1}) \Big|_0^{n+1} \\ &= ((n+1)2^{n+1} - 2^{n+2}) - (0 \cdot 2^0 - 2) \\ &= (n-1)2^{n+1} + 2\end{aligned}$$

## Summation by Parts (3)

Example:  $S = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} kH_k$

Continuous analogue:

$$\begin{aligned}\int x \ln x \, dx &= \frac{x^2}{2} \ln x - \int \frac{x^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{x} \, dx \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2} \ln x - \frac{1}{2} \int x \, dx \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2} \ln x - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{x^2}{2} \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2} \left( \ln x - \frac{1}{2} \right)\end{aligned}$$

## Summation by Parts (3)

Example:  $S = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} kH_k$

- Taking  $u(x) = H_x$  and  $v(x) = x^2/2$ , we get  $\Delta u(x) = \Delta H_x = x^{-1} \frac{1}{x+1}$ ,  $\Delta v(x) = x = x^1$ , and  $E v(x) = \frac{(x+1)^2}{2}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} kH_k &= \sum_0^n xH_x \delta x = uv \Big|_0^n - \sum_0^n E v \Delta u \delta x \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2} H_x \Big|_0^n - \sum_0^n \frac{(x+1)^2}{2} \cdot x^{-1} \delta x \\ &= \frac{x^2}{2} H_x \Big|_0^n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_0^n x \delta x \\ &= \left( \frac{x^2}{2} H_x - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{x^2}{2} \right) \Big|_0^n \\ &= \frac{n^2}{2} \left( H_n - \frac{1}{2} \right)\end{aligned}$$

# Next section

- 1 Finite and Infinite Calculus
  - Derivative and Difference Operators
  - Integrals and Sums
  - Summation by Parts
  
- 2 Infinite Sums

# How to sum infinite number sequences?

Setting  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$  seems meaningful ...

## Example 1

Let

$$S = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{64} + \frac{1}{128} + \dots$$

Then

$$2S = 2 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{64} + \dots = 2 + S,$$

and

$$S = 2$$

# How to sum infinite number sequences?

Setting  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$  seems meaningful ...

## Example 1

Let

$$S = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{64} + \frac{1}{128} + \dots$$

Then

$$2S = 2 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{32} + \frac{1}{64} + \dots = 2 + S,$$

and

$$S = 2$$

But can we **manipulate** such sums like we do with finite sums?

# How to sum infinite number sequences?

## Example 2

Let

$$T = 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + 16 + 32 + 64 + \dots$$

Then

$$2T = 2 + 4 + 8 + 16 + 32 + 64 + 128 \dots = T - 1$$

and

$$T = -1$$



# How to sum infinite number sequences?

## Example 2

Let

$$T = 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + 16 + 32 + 64 + \dots$$

Then

$$2T = 2 + 4 + 8 + 16 + 32 + 64 + 128 \dots = T - 1$$

and

$$T = -1$$

Problem:

- The sum  $T$  is **infinite** ...
- and we cannot subtract an infinite quantity from another infinite quantity.



# How to sum infinite number sequences?

## Example 3

Let

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k = 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + \dots$$

Different ways to sum

$$(1 - 1) + (1 - 1) + (1 - 1) + (1 - 1) + \dots = 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + \dots = 0$$

and

$$1 - (1 - 1) - (1 - 1) - (1 - 1) - (1 - 1) - \dots = 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - \dots = 1$$



# How to sum infinite number sequences?

## Example 3

Let

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k = 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + \dots$$

Different ways to sum

$$(1 - 1) + (1 - 1) + (1 - 1) + (1 - 1) + \dots = 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + \dots = 0$$

and

$$1 - (1 - 1) - (1 - 1) - (1 - 1) - (1 - 1) - \dots = 1 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - \dots = 1$$

Problem:

- The sequence of the partial sums **does not converge** ...
- and we cannot manipulate something that does not exist.

# Defining Infinite Sums: Nonnegative Summands

## Definition 1

If  $a_k \geq 0$  for every  $k \geq 0$ , then:

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k = \sup_{K \subseteq \mathbb{N}, |K| < \infty} \sum_{k \in K} a_k$$

Note that:

- The definition as a **limit** is (sort of) a *Riemann integral*.
- The definition as a **least upper bound** is a *Lebesgue integral*.
- The limit / least upper bound above can be finite or infinite, but are always equal.

**Exercise:** Prove this fact.

# Defining Infinite Sums: Riemann Summation

## Definition 2 (Riemann sum of a series)

A series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k$  with real coefficients **converges** to a real number  $S$ , called the **sum** of the series, if:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k = S.$$

In this case, we write:  $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k = S$ .

The values  $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$  are called the **partial sums** of the series.

The series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k$  **converges absolutely** if  $\sum_{k \geq 0} |a_k|$  converges.

If the series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k = \sum_{k \geq 0} (b_k + ic_k)$  has complex coefficients, we say that it converges to  $S = T + iU$  if  $\sum_{k \geq 0} b_k$  converges to  $T$  and  $\sum_{k \geq 0} c_k$  converges to  $U$ .

## A series that converges, but not absolutely

Let  $a_k = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} [k > 0]$ . Then  $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k = \ln 2$ .

However, it is easy to prove by induction that  $\sum_{k=0}^{2^n} |a_k| = H_{2^n} > \frac{n}{2}$  for every  $n \geq 1$ .

# Infinite Sums: Associativity

## Associativity

A series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k$  has the **associative property** if for every two *strictly increasing* sequences

$$\begin{aligned}i_0 = 0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k < i_{k+1} < \dots \\j_0 = 0 < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k < j_{k+1} < \dots\end{aligned}$$

we have:

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \left( \sum_{i=i_k}^{i_{k+1}-1} a_i \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left( \sum_{j=j_k}^{j_{k+1}-1} a_j \right)$$

We have seen that the series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k$  *does not* have the associative property.

## Theorem

A series has the associative property if and only if it is convergent.

Proof: Regrouping as in the definition means *taking a subsequence* of the sequence of partial sums, which can converge to any of the latter's limit point.

# Defining Infinite Sums: Lebesgue Summation

Every real number can be written as  $x = x^+ - x^-$ , where:

$$x^+ = x \cdot [x > 0] = \max(x, 0) \text{ and } x^- = -x \cdot [x < 0] = \max(-x, 0)$$

Note that:  $x^+ \geq 0$ ,  $x^- \geq 0$ , and  $x^+ + x^- = |x|$ .

## Definition 3 (Lebesgue sum of a series)

Let  $\{a_k\}_k$  be an **absolutely convergent** sequence of real numbers. Then:

$$\sum_k a_k = \sum_k a_k^+ - \sum_k a_k^-$$

The series  $\sum_k a_k$ :

- **converges absolutely** if  $\sum_k a_k^+ < +\infty$  and  $\sum_k a_k^- < +\infty$ ;
- **diverges positively** if  $\sum_k a_k^+ = +\infty$  and  $\sum_k a_k^- < +\infty$ ;
- **diverges negatively** if  $\sum_k a_k^+ < +\infty$  and  $\sum_k a_k^- = +\infty$ .

If both  $\sum_k a_k^+ = +\infty$  and  $\sum_k a_k^- = +\infty$  then “Bad Stuff happens”.

# Infinite Sums: Bad Stuff

## Riemann series theorem

Let  $\sum_k a_k$  be a series with real coefficients which converges, but not absolutely. For every real number  $L$  there exists a permutation  $p$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{p(k)} = L$$

## Example: The harmonic series

If we rearrange the terms of the series  $1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \dots$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{8} + \dots &= \dots + \frac{1}{2k-1} - \frac{1}{2(2k-1)} - \frac{1}{4k} + \dots \\ &= \dots + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2k-1} - \frac{1}{2k} \right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

we obtain:

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \dots = \ln 2 \quad \text{but} \quad 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{8} + \dots = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2$$

# Infinite Sums: Commutativity

## Commutativity

A series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k$  has the **commutative property** if for every permutation  $p$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} a_{p(k)} = \sum_k a_k$$

The Riemann series theorem says that any series which is convergent, but not absolutely convergent, **does not** have the commutative property.

## Theorem

A convergent series has the commutative property if and only if it is absolutely convergent.

Proof: (Sketch) Think of Lebesgue summation.



# Infinite Sums: Commutativity

## Commutativity

A series  $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_k$  has the **commutative property** if for every permutation  $p$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} a_{p(k)} = \sum_k a_k$$

The Riemann series theorem says that any series which is convergent, but not absolutely convergent, **does not** have the commutative property.

## Theorem

A convergent series has the commutative property if and only if it is absolutely convergent.

If we want to manipulate infinite sums like finite ones,  
we must require absolute convergence.

# Multiple infinite sums

## Definition: Double infinite sums

For every  $j, k \geq 0$  let  $a_{j,k} \geq 0$ .

- 1 If  $a_{j,k} \geq 0$  for every  $j$  and  $k$ , then:

$$\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} = \sup_{K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, |K| < \infty} \sum_K a_{j,k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq n} a_{j,k}.$$

(Recall that  $\sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq n} a_{j,k} = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} [0 \leq j \leq n][0 \leq k \leq n]$ .)

- 2 If  $\sum_{j,k} |a_{j,k}| < +\infty$ , then:

$$\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}^+ - \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}^-.$$

# Multiple infinite sums

## Definition: Double infinite sums

For every  $j, k \geq 0$  let  $a_{j,k} \geq 0$ .

- 1 If  $a_{j,k} \geq 0$  for every  $j$  and  $k$ , then:

$$\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} = \sup_{K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, |K| < \infty} \sum_K a_{j,k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq n} a_{j,k}.$$

(Recall that  $\sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq n} a_{j,k} = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} [0 \leq j \leq n][0 \leq k \leq n]$ .)

- 2 If  $\sum_{j,k} |a_{j,k}| < +\infty$ , then:

$$\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}^+ - \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}^-.$$

Can we use  $\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{j,k}$  or  $\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j,k}$  instead?

# Multiple infinite sums

## Definition: Double infinite sums

For every  $j, k \geq 0$  let  $a_{j,k} \geq 0$ .

- 1 If  $a_{j,k} \geq 0$  for every  $j$  and  $k$ , then:

$$\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} = \sup_{K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, |K| < \infty} \sum_K a_{j,k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq n} a_{j,k}.$$

(Recall that  $\sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq n} a_{j,k} = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} [0 \leq j \leq n] [0 \leq k \leq n]$ .)

- 2 If  $\sum_{j,k} |a_{j,k}| < +\infty$ , then:

$$\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}^+ - \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}^-.$$

Can we use  $\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{j,k}$  or  $\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j,k}$  instead? In general, **no**:

- One writing is the limit **on  $j$**  of a limit **on  $k$**  which is a **function of  $j$** ;
- The other writing is the limit **on  $k$**  of a limit **on  $j$**  which is a **function of  $k$** .
- There are no guarantees that the double limits be equal!

# Multiple sums: An example of noncommutativity

From Joel Feldman's notes<sup>1</sup>

Let  $a_{j,k} = [j = k = 0] + [k = j + 1] - [k = j - 1]$ :

	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	1	1	0	0	0	...
1	-1	0	1	0	0	...
2	0	-1	0	1	0	...
3	0	0	-1	0	1	...
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮

Then:

- for every  $j \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{k \geq 0} a_{j,k} = 2 \cdot [j = 0]$ ;
- for every  $k \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j,k} = 0$ ; and
- for every  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq n} a_{j,k} = 1$ .

Hence:

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{j,k} = 2; \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j,k} = 0; \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{0 \leq j, k \leq n} a_{j,k} = 1.$$

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~feldman/m321/twosum.pdf> retrieved 21.02.2019.

# Multiple infinite sums: Swapping indices

## Theorem

For  $j, k \geq 0$  let  $a_{j,k}$  be real numbers.

**Tonelli** If  $a_{j,k} \geq 0$  for every  $j$  and  $k$ , then:

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{j,k} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j,k} = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k},$$

regardless of the quantities above being finite or infinite.

**Fubini** If  $\sum_{j,k} |a_{j,k}| < +\infty$ , then:

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{j,k} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j,k} = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}.$$

Fubini's theorem is proved in the textbook.

# Multiple infinite sums: Swapping indices

## Theorem

For  $j, k \geq 0$  let  $a_{j,k}$  be real numbers.

**Tonelli** If  $a_{j,k} \geq 0$  for every  $j$  and  $k$ , then:

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{j,k} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j,k} = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k},$$

regardless of the quantities above being finite or infinite.

**Fubini** If  $\sum_{j,k} |a_{j,k}| < +\infty$ , then:

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{k \geq 0} a_{j,k} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{j,k} = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k}.$$

Fubini's theorem is proved in the textbook. Again:

If we want to manipulate infinite sums like finite ones,  
we must require absolute convergence.

# Cesàro summation

Given a series  $\sum_k a_k$ , consider the sequence  $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$  of the partial sums.

- Put  $u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{x-1} S_k$  and  $v(x) = x$ . Then  $\Delta u(x) = S_x$  and  $\Delta v(x) = 1$ .
- Suppose  $\sum_k a_k$  converges. Put  $L = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{1}$ .
- We then have by the Stolz-Cesàro lemma:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k}{n} = L$ .

Given a (not necessarily convergent) series  $\sum_k a_k$ , the quantity:

$$C \sum_k a_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k}{n}$$

is called the **Cesàro sum** of the series  $\sum_k a_k$ .



# Cesàro summation

Given a series  $\sum_k a_k$ , consider the sequence  $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k$  of the partial sums.

- Put  $u(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{x-1} S_k$  and  $v(x) = x$ . Then  $\Delta u(x) = S_x$  and  $\Delta v(x) = 1$ .
- Suppose  $\sum_k a_k$  converges. Put  $L = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{1}$ .
- We then have by the Stolz-Cesàro lemma:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k}{n} = L$ .

Given a (not necessarily convergent) series  $\sum_k a_k$ , the quantity:

$$C \sum_k a_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k}{n}$$

is called the **Cesàro sum** of the series  $\sum_k a_k$ .

A series can have a Cesàro sum without being convergent. For example, if  $a_k = (-1)^k$ , then  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k = \frac{n + [n \text{ is odd}]}{2}$ , so  $C \sum_k (-1)^k = \frac{1}{2}$ .

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$a_n$	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1
$S_n$	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_k$	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5