

Type systems for computationally secure information flow in Jif

Liisi Haav

Joint work with
Peeter Laud

5.Oct, 2008

Outline of the talk

- Jif
 - Extension of Java
 - Provides secure information flow
 - <http://www.cs.cornell.edu/jif/>
- Laud-Vene type system
 - Guarantees computationally secure information flow
 - Two special operations:
 - key generation
 - encryption
- Modeling those two operations in Jif

Jif

- An example
 - int {o -> r; p <- w} x;
- Label
- Policy
 - Confidentiality policy
 - o -> r
 - Integrity policy
 - p <- w
- Principal
 - o, r, p, w

Jif

- Delegating authority
 - q acts for p
 - T and \perp principals
- Conjunctions and disjunctions
 - p&q acts for both p and q
 - both p and q can act for p,q
- Information with more restrictive label may not be stored in a variable with a less restrictive label
- Downgrading security of information
 - declassification
 - endorsement

Laud-Vene type system

- Designed for a simple imperative language
- Two operations:
 - key generation
 - encryption
- Security level for each variable
 - information does not flow from higher level variables to lower level variables

Laud-Vene type system

- Type of a variable is a pair:
 - information type - gives potential dependencies of sensitive data
 - h — secret information
 - G — the set of all key generation points
 - $T_0 = \{h\} \cup G$ - basic secrets
 - $T_1 = \{t_N \mid t \in T_0, N \subseteq G\}$ - encrypted secrets
 - $T_2 = P(T_1)$ - information type
 - usage type
 - Key_N for $N \subseteq G$
 - Data
- The least upper bound of information types of all public variables must not be $\geq h$

Implementation

- Two operations
 - Key generation
 - Encryption
- Important to take into account
 - keys versus other types of data
 - in which program point a key was generated
 - outputting information
 - principal H
 - only principal that is allowed to read secret data

Implementation

- A special *Key*-class
 - instances of this class can be used for encryption
- Principals P and $\text{Not}P$ for each key generation g
 - P is allowed to read keys generated at g
 - $\text{Not}P$ surely does not know keys generated at g
 - $P \& \text{Not}P$ is considered equivalent to T
- Method `value()` - for using the value of the key

Key-class

```
Class Key [covariant label l1, covariant label l2] {  
  final byte[ ]{this} key;  
  Key( ) {  
    this.key = real_keygen( );  
  }  
  String{pt meet l2} encrypt{this}(principal p, String pt)  
    where {pt , this} <= {p → T; p ← T}, caller(p) {  
    String r = real_encrypt(key,pt);  
    return declassify(r, {pt meet l2; p ← T});  
  }  
  String{this ; l1} value( ) {  
    return new String(key);  
  }  
}
```

Key-class

```
Class Key [covariant label I1, covariant label I2] {  
    final byte[ ]{this} key;  
    Key( ) {  
        this.key = real_keygen( );  
    }  
    String{pt meet I2} encrypt{this}(principal p, String pt)  
        where {pt , this} <= {p → T; p ← T}, caller(p) {  
        String r = real_encrypt(key,pt);  
        return declassify(r, {pt meet I2; p ← T});  
    }  
    String{this ; I1} value( ) {  
        return new String(key);  
    }  
}
```

Example 1

```
public static void main{ $p \leftarrow T$ }(principal p, String args[ ])
    where caller(p) {
    PrintStream[ $\{p \rightarrow \text{NotP1}; p \leftarrow T\}$ ] out = ... ;
    Key[ $\{p \rightarrow P1; p \leftarrow T\}, \{p \rightarrow \text{NotP1}; p \leftarrow T\}$ ] k =
        new Key[ $\{p \rightarrow P1; p \leftarrow T\}, \{p \rightarrow \text{NotP1}; p \leftarrow T\}$ ]( );

    String[ $p \rightarrow H; p \leftarrow T$ ] pt = ... ;
    String x = k.encrypt(p,pt );
    out.println("x: " + x);
}
```

Example 1

```
public static void main{p ← T}(principal p, String args[ ])
    where caller(p) {
    PrintStream{p → NotP1; p ← T} out = . . . ;
    Key{p → P1; p ← T},{p → NotP1; p ← T} k =
        new Key{p → P1; p ← T},{p → NotP1; p ← T}();

    String{p → H; p ← T} pt = . . . ;
    String x = k.encrypt(p,pt );
    out.println("x: " + x);
}
L ≡ {p → Pi & ... & Pk & NotPj & ... & NotPl; p ← T}
```

Example 1

```
public static void main{p ← T}(principal p, String args[ ])
    where caller(p) {
    PrintStream[{p → NotP1; p ← T}] out = ... ;
    Key[{p → P1; p ← T},{p → NotP1; p ← T}] k =
        new Key[{p → P1; p ← T},{p → NotP1; p ← T}]( );

    String{p → H; p ← T} pt = ... ;
    String x = k.encrypt(p,pt );
    out.println("x: " + x);
}
L ≡ {p → Pi & ... & Pk & NotPj & ... & NotPl; p ← T}
```

x: principals H and NotP1

Example 1

```
public static void main{p ← T}(principal p, String args[ ])
    where caller(p) {
    PrintStream[{p → NotP1; p ← T}] out = ... ;
    Key[{p → P1; p ← T},{p → NotP1; p ← T}] k =
        new Key[{p → P1; p ← T},{p → NotP1; p ← T}]( );

    String{p → H; p ← T} pt = ... ;
    String x = k.encrypt(p,pt );
    out.println("x: " + x);
}
```

x: principals H and NotP1

$L \equiv \{p \rightarrow P_i \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ P_k \ \& \ \text{Not}P_j \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ \text{Not}P_l; p \leftarrow T\}$

Denote $\{p \rightarrow P_1; p \leftarrow T\}$ with **P1**

Example 2

```
{  
    PrintStream[NotP1&NotP2] out = . . . ;  
    Key[P1,NotP1] k1 = new Key[P1,NotP1]( );  
    Key[P2,NotP2] k2 = new Key[P2,NotP2]( );  
  
    String[H] pt = . . . ;  
    String x1 = k1.encrypt(p,pt );  
    String x2 = k2.encrypt(p, k1.value() );  
    out.println("x1: " + x1 + ", x2: " + x2);  
}
```

Example 2

```
{  
    PrintStream[NotP1&NotP2] out = . . . ;  
    Key[P1,NotP1] k1 = new Key[P1,NotP1]( );  
    Key[P2,NotP2] k2 = new Key[P2,NotP2]( );  
  
    String H pt = . . . ;  
    String x1 = k1.encrypt(p,pt );  
    String x2 = k2.encrypt(p, k1.value() );  
    out.println("x1: " + x1 + ", x2: " + x2);  
}
```

x1: principals H and NotP1
x2: principals P1 and NotP2

Example 3

```
{  
    PrintStream[{P1&NotP2}] out = . . . ;  
    Key[{P1},{NotP1}] k1 = new Key[{P1},{NotP1}]( );  
    Key[{P2},{NotP2}] k2 = new Key[{P2},{NotP2}]( );  
  
    String{H} pt = . . . ;  
    String x1 = k1.encrypt(p,pt );  
    String x2 = k2.encrypt(p, x1);  
    out.println("x2: " + x2 + ", k1: " + k1.value());  
}
```

Example 3

```
{  
    PrintStream[{P1&NotP2}] out = . . . ;  
    Key[{P1},{NotP1}] k1 = new Key[{P1},{NotP1}]( );  
    Key[{P2},{NotP2}] k2 = new Key[{P2},{NotP2}]( );  
  
    String{H} pt = . . . ;  
    String x1 = k1.encrypt(p,pt );  
    String x2 = k2.encrypt(p, x1);  
    out.println("x2: " + x2 + ", k1: " + k1.value());  
}
```

k1: principal P1

x2: principals H, NotP1 and NotP2

Example 4

```
{  
    PrintStream[NotP1&NotP2] out = . . . ;  
    Key[P1,NotP1] k1 = new Key[P1,NotP1]( );  
    Key[P2,NotP2] k2 = new Key[P2,NotP2]( );  
    Key[P1&P2,NotP1&NotP2 ] k3 = low ? k1 : k2;  
  
    String[H] pt = . . . ;  
    String x = k3.encrypt(p,pt );  
    out.println("x: " + x);  
}
```

Example 4

```
{  
    PrintStream[{NotP1&NotP2}] out = . . . ;  
    Key[{P1 } ,{NotP1}] k1 = new Key[{P1},{NotP1}]( );  
    Key[{P2 } ,{NotP2}] k2 = new Key[{P2},{NotP2}]( );  
    Key[{P1&P2},{NotP1&NotP2 }] k3 = low ? k1 : k2;  
  
    String{H} pt = . . . ;  
    String x = k3.encrypt(p,pt );  
    out.println("x: " + x);  
}
```

x: principal NotP1&NotP2

Example 5 - Failing example

```
{  
    PrintStream[{{NotP1&NotP2}}] out = . . . ;  
    Key[{{P1 }},{{NotP1}}] k1 = new Key[{{P1}},{{NotP1}}]( );  
    Key[{{P2 }},{{NotP2}}] k2 = new Key[{{P2}},{{NotP2}}]( );  
    Key[{{P3 }},{{NotP3}}] key = new Key[{{P3}},{{NotP3}}]( );  
    Key[{{P1&P2}},{{NotP1&NotP2 }}] k3 = high ? k1 : k2;  
  
    String{{H}} pt = . . . ;  
    String x = k3.encrypt(p,pt );  
    out.println("x: " + x);  
}
```

Example 5 - Failing example

```
{  
  PrintStream[{NotP1&NotP2}] out = . . . ;  
  Key[{P1 },{NotP1}] k1 = new Key[{P1},{NotP1}]( );  
  Key[{P2 },{NotP2}] k2 = new Key[{P2},{NotP2}]( );  
  Key[{P3 },{NotP3}] key = new Key[{P3},{NotP3}]( );  
  Key[{P1&P2},{NotP1&NotP2 }] k3 = key.value() ? k1 : k2;  
  
  String{H} pt = . . . ;  
  String x = k3.encrypt(p,pt );  
  out.println("x: " + x);  
}
```

x: should be principals H&P3 or NotP1&NotP2

Example 5 - Failing example

```
{  
    PrintStream[NotP1&NotP2] out = . . . ;  
    Key[P1 ],NotP1] k1 = new Key[P1],NotP1]( );  
    Key[P2 ],NotP2] k2 = new Key[P2],NotP2]( );  
    Key[P3 ],NotP3] key = new Key[P3],NotP3]( );  
    Key[P1&P2],NotP1&NotP2 ] k3 = key.value() ? k1 : k2;  
  
    StringH pt = . . . ;  
    String x = k3.encrypt(p,pt );  
    out.println("x: " + x);  
}
```

x: principals H&P3 or NotP1&NotP2&P3

Instance encryption method

- Advantages
 - Correctly typed according to Laud-Vene type system
- Disadvantages
 - Too strong restrictions where implicit information flow is concerned

Static encryption method

```
Class Key [covariant label l1, covariant label l2] {  
  final byte[ ]{this} key;  
  String{pt meet l2} encrypt{this}(principal p, String pt)  
    where {pt , this} ≤ {p → T; p ← T}, caller(p) {  
    String r = real encrypt(key,pt);  
    return declassify(r, {pt meet l2; p ← T});  
  }  
  static String{pt meet l2; k meet l2} s_encrypt{this}  
    (principal p, Key[l1,l2] k, String pt) throws NullPointerException  
    where {pt , k} ≤ {p → T; p ← T}, caller(p) {  
    byte[ ] kv = declassify(k, k meet l2).key;  
    String r = real encrypt(kv,pt );  
    return declassify(r, {pt meet l2; k meet l2; p ← T});  
  }  
}
```

Static encryption method

- Advantages
 - Typed almost like in Laud-Vene type system
- Disadvantages
 - NullPointerException
 - Handling the exception is not correct
 - Handling the exception might be cumbersome

Thank you!