

Constructions of feebly secure cryptographic primitives

Olga Melanich

Steklov Institute of Mathematics at St. Petersburg

3.10.2009

Basic definitions

Notation

$B_{n,m} = \{f : B^n \rightarrow B^m\}$, where $B = \{0, 1\}$.

Basic definitions

Notation

$B_{n,m} = \{f : B^n \rightarrow B^m\}$, where $B = \{0, 1\}$.

Definition

Circuit complexity of a function f is the smallest number of gates in a circuit computing f (such circuit is called **an optimal circuit** for f)

$$C(f) = \min_{c: \forall x c(x)=f(x)} C(c).$$

Basic definitions

Notation

$B_{n,m} = \{f : B^n \rightarrow B^m\}$, where $B = \{0, 1\}$.

Definition

Circuit complexity of a function f is the smallest number of gates in a circuit computing f (such circuit is called **an optimal circuit** for f)

$$C(f) = \min_{c: \forall x c(x)=f(x)} C(c).$$

Definition

$f_n \in B_{n,m}$, injective. **The measure of feeble one-wayness** $M_F(f_n) = \frac{C(f_n^{-1})}{C(f_n)}$.

Basic definitions

Notation

$B_{n,m} = \{f : B^n \rightarrow B^m\}$, where $B = \{0,1\}$.

Definition

Circuit complexity of a function f is the smallest number of gates in a circuit computing f (such circuit is called **an optimal circuit** for f)

$$C(f) = \min_{c: \forall x c(x)=f(x)} C(c).$$

Definition

$f_n \in B_{n,m}$, injective. **The measure of feeble one-wayness** $M_F(f_n) = \frac{C(f_n^{-1})}{C(f_n)}$.

Definition

$\{f_n\}$ is **feebly one-way of order k** if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} C(f_n) = \infty$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_F(f_n) = k$, with $k \in (1, \infty]$.

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

$$f_n((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = (y_1, \dots, y_n),$$

where

$$y_i = x_i \oplus x_{i+1} \quad 1 \leq i < n$$

$$y_i = x_1 \oplus x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil} \oplus x_n \quad i = n.$$

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

$$f_n((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = (y_1, \dots, y_n),$$

where

$$y_i = x_i \oplus x_{i+1} \quad 1 \leq i < n$$

$$y_i = x_1 \oplus x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil} \oplus x_n \quad i = n.$$

$$f_n^{-1}((y_1, \dots, y_n)) = (x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where

$$x_i = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{i-1}) \oplus (y_{\lceil n/2 \rceil} \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1}) \oplus y_n \quad 1 \leq i \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$$

$$x_i = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1}) \oplus (y_i \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1}) \oplus y_n \quad \lceil n/2 \rceil \leq i \leq n.$$

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

$$f_n((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = (y_1, \dots, y_n),$$

where

$$y_i = x_i \oplus x_{i+1} \quad 1 \leq i < n$$

$$y_i = x_1 \oplus x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil} \oplus x_n \quad i = n.$$

$$f_n^{-1}((y_1, \dots, y_n)) = (x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where

$$x_i = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{i-1}) \oplus (y_{\lceil n/2 \rceil} \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1}) \oplus y_n \quad 1 \leq i \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$$

$$x_i = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1}) \oplus (y_i \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1}) \oplus y_n \quad \lceil n/2 \rceil \leq i \leq n.$$

Theorem

For all $n > 5$, the functions f_n satisfy $C(f_n) = n + 1$ and $C(f_n^{-1}) = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

$$f_n((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = (y_1, \dots, y_n),$$

where

$$y_i = x_i \oplus x_{i+1} \quad 1 \leq i < n$$

$$y_i = x_1 \oplus x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil} \oplus x_n \quad i = n.$$

$$f_n^{-1}((y_1, \dots, y_n)) = (x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where

$$x_i = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{i-1}) \oplus (y_{\lceil n/2 \rceil} \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1}) \oplus y_n \quad 1 \leq i \leq \lceil n/2 \rceil$$

$$x_i = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1}) \oplus (y_i \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1}) \oplus y_n \quad \lceil n/2 \rceil \leq i \leq n.$$

Theorem

For all $n > 5$, the functions f_n satisfy $C(f_n) = n + 1$ and $C(f_n^{-1}) = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.

Corollary

$\{f_n\}$ is feebly one-way of order $3/2$.

Methods

- 1 Gate elimination.
- 2 Lower bounds (Lamagna and Savage).

Theorem

If $f \in B_n$ depends non-idly on each of its n variables, then

$$C(f) \geq n - 1.$$

Theorem

Let $f = \{f^{(0)}, \dots, f^{(m)}\} \in B_{n,m}$. If the m component functions $f^{(i)}$ are pairwise different and if they satisfy $C(f^{(i)}) \geq c \geq 1$, then

$$C(f) \geq c + m - 1.$$

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

Proof.

① $C(f_n) \leq n + 1.$

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

Proof.

- 1 $C(f_n) \leq n + 1.$
- 2 $C(f_n) \geq n + 1.$

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

Proof.

① $C(f_n) \leq n + 1.$

② $C(f_n) \geq n + 1.$

① Consider $S_1 = \{x_1, x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}, x_n\}$, $S_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S_1.$

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

Proof.

① $C(f_n) \leq n + 1$.

② $C(f_n) \geq n + 1$.

① Consider $S_1 = \{x_1, x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}, x_n\}$, $S_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S_1$.

② Set $x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in S_2$. We eliminate at least $n - 1$ gates.

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

Proof.

- 1 $C(f_n) \leq n + 1$.
- 2 $C(f_n) \geq n + 1$.
 - 1 Consider $S_1 = \{x_1, x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}, x_n\}$, $S_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S_1$.
 - 2 Set $x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in S_2$. We eliminate at least $n - 1$ gates.
 - 3 $C(y_n) = 2$.

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

Proof.

- 1 $C(f_n) \leq n + 1$.
- 2 $C(f_n) \geq n + 1$.
 - 1 Consider $S_1 = \{x_1, x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}, x_n\}$, $S_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S_1$.
 - 2 Set $x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in S_2$. We eliminate at least $n - 1$ gates.
 - 3 $C(y_n) = 2$.
- 3 $C(f_n^{-1}) = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

Proof.

- ① $C(f_n) \leq n + 1$.
- ② $C(f_n) \geq n + 1$.
 - ① Consider $S_1 = \{x_1, x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}, x_n\}$, $S_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S_1$.
 - ② Set $x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in S_2$. We eliminate at least $n - 1$ gates.
 - ③ $C(y_n) = 2$.
- ③ $C(f_n^{-1}) = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.
 - ① $C(x_i) \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1$.

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

Proof.

- 1 $C(f_n) \leq n + 1$.
- 2 $C(f_n) \geq n + 1$.
 - 1 Consider $S_1 = \{x_1, x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}, x_n\}$, $S_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S_1$.
 - 2 Set $x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in S_2$. We eliminate at least $n - 1$ gates.
 - 3 $C(y_n) = 2$.
- 3 $C(f_n^{-1}) = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.
 - 1 $C(x_i) \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1$.
 - 2 $C(f_n^{-1}) \geq (\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1) + n - 1 = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.

Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

Proof.

- 1 $C(f_n) \leq n + 1$.
- 2 $C(f_n) \geq n + 1$.
 - 1 Consider $S_1 = \{x_1, x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}, x_n\}$, $S_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S_1$.
 - 2 Set $x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in S_2$. We eliminate at least $n - 1$ gates.
 - 3 $C(y_n) = 2$.
- 3 $C(f_n^{-1}) = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.
 - 1 $C(x_i) \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1$.
 - 2 $C(f_n^{-1}) \geq (\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1) + n - 1 = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.
 - 3 $x_i = y_i \oplus x_{i+1}, i \neq n \implies C(f_n^{-1}) \leq \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.



Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$

Proof.

- ① $C(f_n) \leq n + 1$.
- ② $C(f_n) \geq n + 1$.
 - ① Consider $S_1 = \{x_1, x_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}, x_n\}$, $S_2 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \setminus S_1$.
 - ② Set $x_i = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in S_2$. We eliminate at least $n - 1$ gates.
 - ③ $C(y_n) = 2$.
- ③ $C(f_n^{-1}) = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.
 - ① $C(x_i) \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1$.
 - ② $C(f_n^{-1}) \geq (\lceil n/2 \rceil - 1) + n - 1 = \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.
 - ③ $x_i = y_i \oplus x_{i+1}, i \neq n \implies C(f_n^{-1}) \leq \lfloor \frac{3}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor$.



Remark

Hiltgen improved this family of permutations and got order 2.

Perspectives

- Linear constructions: $\leq n - 1$ gates per one bit of output.
- f is linear $\implies f^{-1}$ is also linear.

Perspectives

- Linear constructions: $\leq n - 1$ gates per one bit of output.
- f is linear $\implies f^{-1}$ is also linear.
- **Nonlinear constructions are necessary!**

Non-linear function of order 2

$$y_1 = (x_1 \oplus x_2)x_n \oplus x_{n-1}$$

$$y_2 = (x_1 \oplus x_2)x_n \oplus x_2$$

$$y_3 = x_1 \oplus x_3$$

$$y_4 = x_3 \oplus x_4$$

...

$$y_{n-1} = x_{n-2} \oplus x_{n-1}$$

$$y_n = x_n$$

Non-linear function of order 2

$$y_1 = (x_1 \oplus x_2)x_n \oplus x_{n-1}$$

$$y_2 = (x_1 \oplus x_2)x_n \oplus x_2$$

$$y_3 = x_1 \oplus x_3$$

$$y_4 = x_3 \oplus x_4$$

...

$$y_{n-1} = x_{n-2} \oplus x_{n-1}$$

$$y_n = x_n$$

$$x_n = y_n$$

$$x_2 = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1})y_n \oplus y_2$$

$$x_{n-1} = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1})y_n \oplus y_1$$

$$x_{n-2} = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1})y_n \oplus y_1 \oplus y_{n-1}$$

$$x_{n-3} = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1})y_n \oplus y_1 \oplus y_{n-1} \oplus y_{n-2}$$

...

$$x_3 = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1})y_n \oplus y_1 \oplus y_{n-1} \oplus \dots \oplus y_4$$

$$x_1 = (y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus y_{n-1})y_n \oplus y_1 \oplus y_{n-1} \oplus \dots \oplus y_3$$

Non-linear function of order 2

Theorem

$\{f_n\}$ is feebly one-way of order 2.

Non-linear function of order 2

Theorem

$\{f_n\}$ is feebly one-way of order 2.

Proof.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad n - 1 \leq C(f_n) \leq n + 1.$$

Non-linear function of order 2

Theorem

$\{f_n\}$ is feebly one-way of order 2.

Proof.

- 1 $n - 1 \leq C(f_n) \leq n + 1.$
- 2 $2n - 3 \leq C(f_n^{-1}) \leq 2n - 2.$

Non-linear function of order 2

Theorem

$\{f_n\}$ is feebly one-way of order 2.

Proof.

- 1 $n - 1 \leq C(f_n) \leq n + 1.$
- 2 $2n - 3 \leq C(f_n^{-1}) \leq 2n - 2.$
- 3 $\frac{2n-3}{n+1} \leq M_F(f_n) \leq \frac{2n-2}{n-1}.$



Average case complexity

Notation

$C_\alpha(f)$ – the minimal size of a circuit that correctly computes a function $f \in B_{n,m}$ on more than αn of its inputs ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$).

Average case complexity

Notation

$C_\alpha(f)$ – the minimal size of a circuit that correctly computes a function $f \in B_{n,m}$ on more than αn of its inputs ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$).

Theorem

$$C_{3/4}(f_n^{-1}) \geq 2n - 4.$$

Average case complexity

Notation

$C_\alpha(f)$ – the minimal size of a circuit that correctly computes a function $f \in B_{n,m}$ on more than αn of its inputs ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$).

Theorem

$$C_{3/4}(f_n^{-1}) \geq 2n - 4.$$

Proof (Idea)

- 1 Consider optimal circuit for f_n^{-1}
- 2 Step: substitute in place of y_i ($i \neq n$) value from $\{0, 1, y_n, y_n \oplus 1\}$ that eliminates at least 2 gates.
- 3 Repeat $n - 2$ times.

Average case complexity

Notation

$C_\alpha(f)$ – the minimal size of a circuit that correctly computes a function $f \in B_{n,m}$ on more than αn of its inputs ($\alpha \in (0, 1)$).

Theorem

$$C_{3/4}(f_n^{-1}) \geq 2n - 4.$$

Proof (Idea)

- 1 Consider optimal circuit for f_n^{-1}
- 2 Step: substitute in place of y_i ($i \neq n$) value from $\{0, 1, y_n, y_n \oplus 1\}$ that eliminates at least 2 gates.
- 3 Repeat $n - 2$ times.

Lemma (unformally)

We can repeat our step $n - 2$ times.

Average case complexity

Lemma (formalization)

In circuit, which computes $f_n^{-1}|_{y_{i_1}=a_1, \dots, y_{i_l}=a_l}$ with $l \leq n-3$, $n \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ and $\forall k \in [1..l] a_{i_k} \in \{0, 1, y_n, y_n \oplus 1\}$ on more than $\frac{3}{4}$ inputs, one can substitute in place of y_i ($i \neq n$) value from $\{0, 1, y_n, y_n \oplus 1\}$ that eliminates at least 2 gates and obtained circuit computes f_n^{-1} on more than $\frac{3}{4}$ residuary inputs.

Average case complexity

Lemma (formalization)

In circuit, which computes $f_n^{-1}|_{y_{i_1}=a_1, \dots, y_{i_l}=a_l}$ with $l \leq n-3$, $n \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ and $\forall k \in [1..l] a_{i_k} \in \{0, 1, y_n, y_n \oplus 1\}$ on more than $\frac{3}{4}$ inputs, one can substitute in place of y_i ($i \neq n$) value from $\{0, 1, y_n, y_n \oplus 1\}$ that eliminates at least 2 gates and obtained circuit computes f_n^{-1} on more than $\frac{3}{4}$ residuary inputs.

Proof.

Consider topmost gate g . Let y_i and y_j be inputs.

- 1 y_i enters some other gate and $i \neq n$.
- 2 Neither y_i nor y_j enters any other gate and $i, j \neq n$.
- 3 $j = n$, y_i doesn't enter any other gate and g is non-linear.
- 4 $j = n$, y_i doesn't enter any other gate and g is linear.

Average case complexity

Lemma (formalization)

In circuit, which computes $f_n^{-1}|_{y_{i_1}=a_1, \dots, y_{i_l}=a_l}$ with $l \leq n-3$, $n \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ and $\forall k \in [1..l] a_{i_k} \in \{0, 1, y_n, y_n \oplus 1\}$ on more than $\frac{3}{4}$ inputs, one can substitute in place of y_i ($i \neq n$) value from $\{0, 1, y_n, y_n \oplus 1\}$ that eliminates at least 2 gates and obtained circuit computes f_n^{-1} on more than $\frac{3}{4}$ residuary inputs.

Proof.

Consider topmost gate g . Let y_i and y_j be inputs.

- 1 y_i enters some other gate and $i \neq n$.
- 2 Neither y_i nor y_j enters any other gate and $i, j \neq n$.
- 3 $j = n$, y_i doesn't enter any other gate and g is non-linear.
- 4 $j = n$, y_i doesn't enter any other gate and g is linear.

Assume g is output h_k . Then

- 1 $x_k|_{y_n=1} = y_i \oplus \dots$ or $x_k|_{y_n=0} = y_i \oplus \dots$
- 2 $x_k = y_n$.

Average case complexity

Lemma (formalization)

In circuit, which computes $f_n^{-1}|_{y_{i_1}=a_1, \dots, y_{i_l}=a_l}$ with $l \leq n-3$, $n \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ and $\forall k \in [1..l] a_{i_k} \in \{0, 1, y_n, y_n \oplus 1\}$ on more than $\frac{3}{4}$ inputs, one can substitute in place of y_i ($i \neq n$) value from $\{0, 1, y_n, y_n \oplus 1\}$ that eliminates at least 2 gates and obtained circuit computes f_n^{-1} on more than $\frac{3}{4}$ residuary inputs.

Proof.

Consider topmost gate g . Let y_i and y_j be inputs.

- 1 y_i enters some other gate and $i \neq n$.
- 2 Neither y_i nor y_j enters any other gate and $i, j \neq n$.
- 3 $j = n$, y_i doesn't enter any other gate and g is non-linear.
- 4 $j = n$, y_i doesn't enter any other gate and g is linear.

Assume g is output h_k . Then

- 1 $x_k|_{y_n=1} = y_i \oplus \dots$ or $x_k|_{y_n=0} = y_i \oplus \dots$
- 2 $x_k = y_n$.

g has children. Substitute $y_i = y_n$ or $y_i = y_n \oplus 1$.

Hardness amplification

Let $H(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(m)}) = (f_n(x^{(1)}), \dots, f(x^{(m)}))$,
where $x^{(i)} = (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n})$.

Theorem

$p(m)$ – any function.

$$C_{1/p(m)}(H^{-1}) \geq (2n - 4)(m - \log_{4/3} p(m)).$$

Further research

- 1 to improve the order of security;
- 2 to devise other feebly secure cryptographic primitives.

Further research

- 1 to improve the order of security;
- 2 to devise other feebly secure cryptographic primitives.

Known results:

- 1 Linear feebly trapdoor construction (based on Hiltgen's function of order $3/2$) of order $\frac{25}{22}$;
- 2 Quadratic feebly trapdoor construction (based on function of order 2) of order $\frac{7}{5}$.