

A Shortcut Fusion Rule for Circular Program Calculation

João Fernandes¹ Alberto Pardo² João Saraiva¹

¹Departamento de Informática
Universidade do Minho
Portugal

²Instituto de Computación
Universidad de la República
Uruguay

Circular programs

- ▶ Circular programs were proposed by R. Bird as a technique to eliminate multiple traversals of data structures.
- ▶ Circular definitions are of the form:

$$(\dots, x, \dots) = f(\dots, x, \dots)$$

- ▶ Circular programs have been used to express pretty-printers or type systems.
- ▶ They are the natural representation of attribute grammars in a lazy setting.

Motivation:

- ▶ In this work we show the derivation of circular programs from non-circular ones.

Why don't we write circular programs directly?

1. most programmers find it very difficult;
2. it is easy to write a circular program that does not terminate, i.e. a program with a real circularity.

Motivation:

- ▶ In this work we show the derivation of circular programs from non-circular ones.

Why don't we write circular programs directly?

1. most programmers find it very difficult;
2. it is easy to write a circular program that does not terminate, i.e. a program with a real circularity.

Motivation:

- ▶ We may see circular programs as an intermediate stage for further transformations.

Post-processing of the derived circular programs:

1. *Tools and Libraries to Model and Manipulate Circular Programs*, (Fernandes & Saraiva, PEPM 07);
2. very efficient, completely data-structure free, programs are obtained.

Motivation:

- ▶ We may see circular programs as an intermediate stage for further transformations.

Post-processing of the derived circular programs:

1. *Tools and Libraries to Model and Manipulate Circular Programs*, (Fernandes & Saraiva, PEPM 07);
2. very efficient, completely data-structure free, programs are obtained.

Bird's *repmim*

```
data Tree = Leaf Int | Fork Tree Tree
```

```
transform    :: Tree -> Tree
```

```
transform t  = replace t (tmin t)
```

```
replace :: Tree -> Int -> Tree
```

```
replace (Leaf n) m = Leaf m
```

```
replace (Fork l r) m = Fork (replace l m)
                          (replace r m)
```

```
tmin :: Tree -> Int
```

```
tmin (Leaf n) = n
```

```
tmin (Fork l r) = min (tmin l) (tmin r)
```

Bird's method

$$\text{repmin } t \ m = (\text{replace } t \ m, \ \text{tmin } t)$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{repmin } (\text{Leaf } n) \ m &= (\text{Leaf } m, n) \\ \text{repmin } (\text{Fork } l \ r) \ m &= (\text{Fork } l' \ r', \ \text{min } ml \ mr) \\ &\quad \text{where } (l', ml) = \text{repmin } l \ m \\ &\quad \quad (r', mr) = \text{repmin } r \ m \end{aligned}$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{transform } t &= t' \\ &\quad \text{where } (t', m) = \text{repmin } t \ m \end{aligned}$$

Bird's method

$$\text{repmin } t \ m = (\text{replace } t \ m, \ \text{tmin } t)$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{repmin } (\text{Leaf } n) \ m &= (\text{Leaf } m, n) \\ \text{repmin } (\text{Fork } l \ r) \ m &= (\text{Fork } l' \ r', \ \text{min } ml \ mr) \\ &\quad \text{where } (l', ml) = \text{repmin } l \ m \\ &\quad \quad (r', mr) = \text{repmin } r \ m \end{aligned}$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{transform } t &= t' \\ &\quad \text{where } (t', m) = \text{repmin } t \ m \end{aligned}$$

Bird's method

$$\text{repm}in\ t\ m = (\text{replace}\ t\ m,\ tmin\ t)$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{repm}in\ (\text{Leaf}\ n)\ m &= (\text{Leaf}\ m,\ n) \\ \text{repm}in\ (\text{Fork}\ l\ r)\ m &= (\text{Fork}\ l'\ r',\ \text{min}\ ml\ mr) \\ &\quad \text{where}\ (l',\ ml) = \text{repm}in\ l\ m \\ &\quad \quad (r',\ mr) = \text{repm}in\ r\ m \end{aligned}$$


$$\begin{aligned} \text{transform}\ t &= t' \\ &\quad \text{where}\ (t',\ m) = \text{repm}in\ t\ m \end{aligned}$$

Our method

- ▶ We present a calculational rule for circular program derivation
- ▶ It calculates circular programs from compositions of the form:

$$a \xrightarrow{\textit{prod}} (t, z) \xrightarrow{\textit{cons}} b$$

- ▶ Our calculational rule is:
 - ▶ generic
 - ▶ correct (preserves termination properties)

Our method

- ▶ The rule we present is a variant of shortcut fusion (fold/build).

We achieve:

1. intermediate structure deforestation;
2. multiple traversal elimination;
3. correctness guarantees.

Our method

- ▶ The rule we present is a variant of shortcut fusion (fold/build).

We achieve:

1. intermediate structure deforestation;
2. multiple traversal elimination;
3. correctness guarantees.

Increase Average Merge Sort

- ▶ increase the elements of a list by the list's average:

$$[8, 4, 6] \xrightarrow{(+6)} [14, 10, 12]$$

- ▶ sort the output list:

$$[14, 10, 12] \xrightarrow{\text{mergesort}} [10, 12, 14]$$

Initial solution:

1. compute the input list's sum and length;
2. implement merge-sort using a leaf tree that contains the numbers in the input list;
3. increase all elements by the list's average while sorting the increased values.

Initial program

```
incavgMS :: [Int] -> [Float]
incavgMS [] = []
incavgMS xs = incsort (ltreesumlen xs)
```

```
ltreesumlen :: [Int] -> (Tree, (Int, Int))
ltreesumlen [x] = (Leaf x, (x, 1))
ltreesumlen xs = let (xs1, xs2) = split1 xs
                    (t1, (s1, l1)) = ltreesumlen xs1
                    (t2, (s2, l2)) = ltreesumlen xs2
                    in (Fork t1 t2, (s1 + s2, l1 + l2))
```

```
incsort :: (Tree, (Int, Int)) -> [Float]
incsort (Leaf n, (s,l)) = [n + s / l]
incsort (Fork t1 t2, p) = merge (incsort (t1, p))
                               (incsort (t2, p))
```


Calculating the circular program

```

incavgMS xs
  = insert (ltreesumlen xs)

  = insert (fst (ltreesumlen xs), snd (ltreesumlen xs))

  = insert' o fst o ltreesumlen $ xs
    where
      insert' t = insert (t, (s,l))
      (s,l)     = snd (ltreesumlen xs)

  = fst o (insert' x id) o ltreesumlen $ xs
    where
      insert' t = insert (t, (s,l))
      (s,l)     = snd (ltreesumlen xs)

```

Calculating the circular program (2)

```
incavgMS xs
  = ys
  where
    (ys, _) = incavgMS' xs
    incavgMS' = (incsort' × id) ∘ ltreesumlen $ xs
    incsort' t = incsort (t, (s, l))
    (s,l)      = snd (ltreesumlen xs)
```

Calculating the circular program (3)

We can synthesize a recursive definition for `incavgMS'`:

```
incavgMS xs
  = ys
  where
    (ys, _)      = incavgMS' xs
    (s,l)        = snd (ltreesumlen xs)
    incavgMS' [x] = ([x + s/l], (x,l))
    incavgMS' xs = let (xs1, xs2)      = splitl xs
                       (ys1, (s1,l1)) = incavgMS' xs1
                       (ys2, (s2,l2)) = incavgMS' xs2
                       in (merge ys1 ys2, (s1+s2, l1+l2))
```

Calculating the circular program (4)

Multiple traversal elimination:

$$\text{snd} \circ \text{ltreesumlen} = \text{snd} \circ \text{incavgMS}'$$



`incavgMS xs = ys`

where

```

(ys, (s,l)) = incavgMS' xs
incavgMS' [x] = ([x + s/l], (x,1))
incavgMS' xs = let (xs1, xs2) = split1 xs
                  (ys1, (s1,l1)) = incavgMS' xs1
                  (ys2, (s2,l2)) = incavgMS' xs2
                  in (merge ys1 ys2, (s1+s2, l1+l2))

```

The method

```

incsort = pfold (hleaf,hfork)
  where
    hleaf n (s,l) = [n + s/l]
    hfork ys zs _ = merge ys zs

```

```

pfold :: (Int -> z -> a, a -> a -> z -> a) -> (Tree,z) -> a
pfold (h1,h2) = p
  where
    p (Leaf n,z)      = h1 n z
    p (Fork l r, z) = h2 (p l z) (p r z) z

```

The method (2)

```
ltreesumlen = g (Leaf,Fork)
```

```
g :: ∀ a. (Int -> a, a -> a -> a) -> [Int] -> (a, (Int, Int))
g (leaf, fork) [x]
    = (leaf x, (x, 1))
g (leaf, fork) xs
    = let (xs1, xs2)      = split1 xs
        (t1, (s1, l1)) = g (leaf, fork) xs1
        (t2, (s2, l2)) = g (leaf, fork) xs2
    in (fork t1 t2, (s1+s2, l1+l2))
```

The method (3)

```

incavgMS xs
  = incsort (ltreesumlen xs)

  = pfold (hleaf,hfork) o g (Leaf,Fork) $ xs
    where hleaf n (s,l) = [n + s/l]
          hfork ys zs _ = merge ys zs

  = ys
    where (ys,(s,l)) = g (kleaf,kfork) xs
          kleaf n     = hleaf n (s,l)
          kfork ys zs = hfork ys zs (s,l)

  = ys
    where (ys,(s,l)) = g (kleaf,kfork) xs
          kleaf n     = [n + s/l]
          kfork ys zs = merge ys zs

```

The method (3)

```

incavgMS xs
  = insort (ltreesumlen xs)

= pfold (hleaf,hfork) o g (Leaf,Fork) $ xs
  where hleaf n (s,l) = [n + s/l]
        hfork ys zs _ = merge ys zs

= ys
  where (ys,(s,l)) = g (kleaf,kfork) xs
        kleaf n     = hleaf n (s,l)
        kfork ys zs = hfork ys zs (s,l)

= ys
  where (ys,(s,l)) = g (kleaf,kfork) xs
        kleaf n     = [n + s/l]
        kfork ys zs = merge ys zs

```


The method (3)

```

incavgMS xs
  = incsort (ltreesumlen xs)

  = pfold (hleaf,hfork) o g (Leaf,Fork) $ xs
    where hleaf n (s,l) = [n + s/l]
          hfork ys zs _ = merge ys zs

  = ys
    where (ys,(s,l)) = g (kleaf,kfork) xs
          kleaf n     = hleaf n (s,l)
          kfork ys zs = hfork ys zs (s,l)

  = ys
    where (ys,(s,l)) = g (kleaf,kfork) xs
          kleaf n     = [n + s/l]
          kfork ys zs = merge ys zs

```

The method (3)

```

incavgMS xs
  = insort (ltreesumlen xs)

  = pfold (hleaf,hfork) o g (Leaf,Fork) $ xs
    where hleaf n (s,l) = [n + s/l]
          hfork ys zs _ = merge ys zs

  = ys
    where (ys,(s,l)) = g (kleaf,kfork) xs
          kleaf n     = hleaf n (s,l)
          kfork ys zs = hfork ys zs (s,l)

  = ys
    where (ys,(s,l)) = g (kleaf,kfork) xs
          kleaf n     = [n + s/l]
          kfork ys zs = merge ys zs

```

Shortcut fusion: pfold/buildp rule

```
pfold (hleaf,hfork) o buildp g $ c
```

```
= v
```

```
where
```

```
  (v,z)      = g (kleaf,kfork)
```

```
  kleaf n    = hleaf n z
```

```
  kfork l r  = hleaf l r z
```

```
buildp :: (∀ a. (Int -> a,a -> a -> a) -> c -> (a,z))
        -> c -> (Tree,z)
```

```
buildp g = g (Leaf,Fork)
```

fold/buildp

$$(\text{fold } (\text{kleaf}, \text{kfork}) \times \text{id}) \circ \text{buildp } g = g (\text{kleaf}, \text{kfork})$$

```
fold :: (Int -> a, a -> a -> a) -> Tree -> a
```

```
fold (k1, k2) = f
```

```
  where
```

```
    f (Leaf n)    = k1 n
```

```
    f (Fork l r) = k2 (f l) (f r)
```

Relationship pold-fold

```

pfold (hleaf,hfork) (t,z) = fold (kleaf,kfork) t
  where
    kleaf n    = hleaf n z
    kfork l r = hfork l r z

```

```

pfold (h1,h2) = p
  where
    p (Leaf n,z)    = h1 n z
    p (Fork l r, z) = h2 (p l z) (p r z) z

```

```

fold (k1,k2) = f
  where
    f (Leaf n)    = k1 n
    f (Fork l r) = k2 (f l) (f r)

```

Essential law

$$\text{snd} \circ g \text{ (Leaf, Fork)} = \text{snd} \circ g \text{ (hleaf, hfork)}$$

$$g :: \forall a. (\text{Int} \rightarrow a, a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow c \rightarrow (a, z)$$

The proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \circ \text{buildp } g \ \$ \ c \\
 &= \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &= \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \ (\text{fst} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c, \\
 &\quad \text{snd} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c) \\
 &= \text{fold } (\text{kleaf}, \text{kfork}) \circ \text{fst} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &\quad \text{where} \\
 &\quad z \quad \quad \quad = \text{snd} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &\quad \text{kleaf } n \quad = \text{hleaf } n \ z \\
 &\quad \text{kfork } l \ r = \text{hfork } l \ r \ z \\
 &= \text{fst} \circ (\text{fold } (\text{kleaf}, \text{kfork}) \times \text{id}) \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \circ \text{buildp } g \ \$ \ c \\
 &= \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &= \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \ (\text{fst} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c, \\
 &\quad \text{snd} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c) \\
 &= \text{fold } (\text{kleaf}, \text{kfork}) \circ \text{fst} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &\quad \text{where} \\
 &\quad z \quad \quad \quad = \text{snd} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &\quad \text{kleaf } n \quad = \text{hleaf } n \ z \\
 &\quad \text{kfork } l \ r = \text{hfork } l \ r \ z \\
 &= \text{fst} \circ (\text{fold } (\text{kleaf}, \text{kfork}) \times \text{id}) \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \circ \text{buildp } g \ \$ \ c \\
 &= \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &= \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \ (\text{fst} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c, \\
 &\quad \text{snd} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c) \\
 &= \text{fold } (\text{kleaf}, \text{kfork}) \circ \text{fst} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &\quad \text{where} \\
 &\quad z \quad \quad \quad = \text{snd} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &\quad \text{kleaf } n \quad = \text{hleaf } n \ z \\
 &\quad \text{kfork } l \ r = \text{hfork } l \ r \ z \\
 &= \text{fst} \circ (\text{fold } (\text{kleaf}, \text{kfork}) \times \text{id}) \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \circ \text{buildp } g \ \$ \ c \\
 &= \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &= \text{pfold } (\text{hleaf}, \text{hfork}) \ (\text{fst} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c, \\
 &\quad \text{snd} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c) \\
 &= \text{fold } (\text{kleaf}, \text{kfork}) \circ \text{fst} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &\quad \text{where} \\
 &\quad z \quad \quad \quad = \text{snd} \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c \\
 &\quad \text{kleaf } n \quad = \text{hleaf } n \ z \\
 &\quad \text{kfork } l \ r = \text{hfork } l \ r \ z \\
 &= \text{fst} \circ (\text{fold } (\text{kleaf}, \text{kfork}) \times \text{id}) \circ g \ (\text{Leaf}, \text{Fork}) \ \$ \ c
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof (2)

= fst o g (kleaf,kfork) \$ c
 where

z = snd o g (Leaf,Fork) \$ c
 kleaf n = hleaf n z
 kfork l r = hfork l r z

= fst o g (kleaf,kfork) \$ c
 where

z = snd o g (kleaf,kfork) \$ c
 kleaf n = hleaf n z
 kfork l r = hfork l r z

= v

where

(v,z) = g (kleaf,kfork) c
 kleaf n = hleaf n z
 kfork l r = hfork l r z

The proof (2)

= fst o g (kleaf,kfork) \$ c
 where

z = snd o g (Leaf,Fork) \$ c
 kleaf n = hleaf n z
 kfork l r = hfork l r z

= fst o g (kleaf,kfork) \$ c
 where

z = snd o g (kleaf,kfork) \$ c
 kleaf n = hleaf n z
 kfork l r = hfork l r z

= v

where

(v,z) = g (kleaf,kfork) c
 kleaf n = hleaf n z
 kfork l r = hfork l r z

The proof (2)

$$= \text{fst} \circ g \text{ (kleaf, kfork) } \$ c$$

where

$$z = \text{snd} \circ g \text{ (Leaf, Fork) } \$ c$$

$$\text{kleaf } n = \text{hleaf } n \ z$$

$$\text{kfork } l \ r = \text{hfork } l \ r \ z$$

$$= \text{fst} \circ g \text{ (kleaf, kfork) } \$ c$$

where

$$z = \text{snd} \circ g \text{ (kleaf, kfork) } \$ c$$

$$\text{kleaf } n = \text{hleaf } n \ z$$

$$\text{kfork } l \ r = \text{hfork } l \ r \ z$$

$$= v$$

where

$$(v, z) = g \text{ (kleaf, kfork) } c$$

$$\text{kleaf } n = \text{hleaf } n \ z$$

$$\text{kfork } l \ r = \text{hfork } l \ r \ z$$

Conclusions

- ▶ Calculational approach to circular programming
 1. Intermediate Structure Deforestation
 2. Multiple Traversal Elimination
- ▶ Our Calculational Rule is in the style of shortcut fusion
 1. Easy to apply
 2. Effective
 3. Proved correct

Conclusions

- ▶ Calculational approach to circular programming
 1. Intermediate Structure Deforestation
 2. Multiple Traversal Elimination
- ▶ Our Calculational Rule is in the style of shortcut fusion
 1. Easy to apply
 2. Effective
 3. Proved correct

Conclusions

- ▶ Like the usual fold/build rule, our rule can also be implemented in GHC using the RULES pragma (rewrite rules).
- ▶ Bad news: In Haskell our rule we presented is morally correct only.
 - ▶ surjective pairing is not valid in Haskell due to the presence of lifted products: $\perp \neq (\perp, \perp)$
 - ▶ and this property is an essential step in the proof of the rule

Conclusions

- ▶ Like the usual fold/build rule, our rule can also be implemented in GHC using the RULES pragma (rewrite rules).
- ▶ Bad news: In Haskell our rule we presented is morally correct only.
 - ▶ surjective pairing is not valid in Haskell due to the presence of lifted products: $\perp \neq (\perp, \perp)$
 - ▶ and this property is an essential step in the proof of the rule