

# Parametricity and Strong Dinaturality

Varmo Vene

University of Tartu

joint work with

Neil Ghani, Patricia Johann, Tarmo Uustalu

## Motivation

- Parametric polymorphism is one of the key features of modern (functional) languages:
  - most commonly in Hindley-Milner style, where type variables are quantified in top level,
  - but more recently also in less restricted form (eg. rank-2 or rank-n polymorphism).
- "Common knowledge" about polymorphism:
  - you get theorems for free! [Wadler, 1989] (but there are some pessimists);
  - polymorphic functions are (di)natural transformations (or maybe vice versa?!);
  - if you have PhD, get a real job! [Eppendhal, 2004]

## Logical Relations and Parametricity

- The usual reading of a type is that it's a set of values (maybe with some extra structure):
  - eg. the type `Int` is the set of integers;
  - the type `A × B` is the set of pairs, where components are from the sets corresponding to types `A` and `B` respectively;
  - etc.
- An alternative is to take that a type is a relation:
  - base types are interpreted as identity relations;
  - eg.  $(x, y) \in \text{Int} \Leftrightarrow x = y$ ;
  - every type constructor is interpreted as a corresponding action on relations.
- Relational reading is the key for parametricity results and free theorems.

# Logical Relations and Parametricity

## Definition

- For any relations  $\mathcal{A} : A \leftrightarrow A'$ ,  $\mathcal{B} : B \leftrightarrow B'$ , the relation  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} : (A \times B) \leftrightarrow (A' \times B')$  is defined by:

$$((x, y), (x', y')) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \quad \text{iff} \quad (x, x') \in \mathcal{A} \ \& \ (y, y') \in \mathcal{B}$$

- For any relations  $\mathcal{A} : A \leftrightarrow A'$ ,  $\mathcal{B} : B \leftrightarrow B'$ , the relation  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} : (A \rightarrow B) \leftrightarrow (A' \rightarrow B')$  is defined by:

$$(f, f') \in \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \quad \text{iff} \quad (x, x') \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow (fx, f'x') \in \mathcal{B}$$

- For any relation transformer  $\mathcal{F} : F \leftrightarrow F'$ , the relation  $\forall \mathcal{X}. \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}) : (\forall X. F(X)) \leftrightarrow (\forall X. F'(X))$  is defined by:

$$(g, g') \in \forall \mathcal{X}. \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}) \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathcal{A} : A \leftrightarrow A' \Rightarrow (g_A, g'_{A'}) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$$

# Logical Relations and Parametricity

## Parametricity

If  $T$  is a closed type and  $t : T$  is a closed term, then  $(t, t) \in \mathcal{T}$ , where  $\mathcal{T} : T \leftrightarrow T$  is the relation corresponding to the type  $T$ .

## Theorems for free

Given a closed type  $T$

- construct the corresponding relation  $\mathcal{T} : T \leftrightarrow T$ ;
- instantiate relation transformers with graph relations;
- and simplify.

## Definition

Given a function  $g : A \rightarrow B$ , the graph relation  $\langle g \rangle : A \leftrightarrow B$  is defined by  $\langle g \rangle = \{(u, g u) \mid \forall u : A\}$

# Logical Relations and Parametricity

## Example

$$\begin{aligned}(t, t) \in \forall \mathcal{X}. \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} &\Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} : A \leftrightarrow B. (t_A, t_B) \in \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{R} : A \leftrightarrow B. \forall x : A, y : B. \\ &\quad (x, y) \in \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow (t_A x, t_B y) \in \mathcal{R} \\ &\Rightarrow \forall g : A \rightarrow B. \forall x : A, y : B. \\ &\quad (x, y) \in \langle g \rangle \Rightarrow (t_A x, t_B y) \in \langle g \rangle \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall g : A \rightarrow B. \forall x : A, y : B. \\ &\quad y = g x \Rightarrow t_B y = g(t_A x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall g : A \rightarrow B. \forall x : A. t_B(g x) = g(t_A x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall g : A \rightarrow B. t_B \circ g = g \circ t_A\end{aligned}$$

## Note

The equation says that  $t$  is a natural transformation  $\text{Id} \rightarrow \text{Id}$ .

# Natural transformations

## Definition

Let  $G, H : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be functors. A **natural transformation**  $\tau : G \rightarrow H$  is a family of maps  $\tau_X : G(X) \rightarrow H(X)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that, for every map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(X) & \xrightarrow{\tau_X} & H(X) \\ G(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow H(f) \\ G(Y) & \xrightarrow{\tau_Y} & H(Y) \end{array}$$

## Note

- Type may have mixed variant type variables.
- Separate the co- and contravariant instances and use diagonalization to recover the original type.
- Eg.  $\forall X. X \rightarrow X = \forall X. H(X, X)$ , where  $H(A, B) = A \rightarrow B$ .

# Dinaturality

## Definition

Let  $G, H : \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be functors.

A **dinatural transformation**  $\theta : G \rightarrow H$  is a family of maps  $\theta_X : G(X, X) \rightarrow H(X, X)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that, for every map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the following hexagon commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & G(X, X) & \xrightarrow{\theta_X} & H(X, X) & & \\ & \nearrow^{G(f, X)} & & & & \searrow^{H(X, f)} & \\ G(Y, X) & & & & & & H(X, Y) \\ & \searrow_{G(X, f)} & & & & \nearrow_{H(f, Y)} & \\ & & G(Y, Y) & \xrightarrow{\theta_Y} & H(Y, Y) & & \end{array}$$



# Strong dinaturality

## Definition

A **strong dinatural transformation**  $\theta : G \rightarrow H$  is a family of maps  $\theta_X : G(X, X) \rightarrow H(X, X)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that, for every

- map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,
- object  $W$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  and
- maps  $p_0 : W \rightarrow G(X, X)$ ,  $p_1 : W \rightarrow G(Y, Y)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,

if the square commutes, then so does the hexagon:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & G(X, X) & \xrightarrow{\theta_X} & H(X, X) \\ & \nearrow p_0 & \searrow G(X, f) & \searrow H(X, f) \\ W & & G(X, Y) & \Rightarrow & H(X, Y) \\ & \searrow p_1 & \nearrow G(f, Y) & \nearrow H(f, Y) \\ & G(Y, Y) & \xrightarrow{\theta_Y} & H(Y, Y) \end{array}$$

# From parametricity to strong dinaturality

## Covariant types

$$\begin{array}{l} F(A) ::= A \quad | \quad C \\ \quad | \quad F(A) \times F(A) \quad | \quad F(A) + F(A) \\ \quad | \quad G'(A) \rightarrow F(A) \quad | \quad \forall X. F([X, A]) \\ G'(A) ::= C \\ \quad | \quad G'(A) \times G'(A) \quad | \quad G'(A) + G'(A) \\ \quad | \quad F(A) \rightarrow G'(A) \end{array}$$

# From parametricity to strong dinaturality

## Contravariant types

$$\begin{array}{l} G(A) ::= C \\ \quad | \quad G(A) \times G(A) \quad | \quad G(A) + G(A) \\ \quad | \quad F'(A) \rightarrow G(A) \quad | \quad \forall X. G([X, A]) \\ F'(A) ::= A \quad | \quad C \\ \quad | \quad F'(A) \times F'(A) \quad | \quad F'(A) + F'(A) \\ \quad | \quad G(A) \rightarrow F'(A) \end{array}$$

# From parametricity to strong dinaturality

## Definition

A functor  $H : \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is **weakly cartesian** if for all  $f : A \rightarrow B$  the bifactoriality diagram is a weak pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(B, A) & \xrightarrow{H(f, A)} & H(A, A) \\ H(B, f) \downarrow & & \downarrow H(A, f) \\ H(B, B) & \xrightarrow{H(f, B)} & H(A, B) \end{array}$$

## Weakly cartesian types

$$\begin{array}{l} H(A, B) ::= G'(A) \quad | \quad F'(B) \\ \quad | \quad H(A, B) \times H(A, B) \quad | \quad H(A, B) + H(A, B) \\ \quad | \quad C \rightarrow H(A, B) \end{array}$$

# From parametricity to strong dinaturality

## Definition

A type  $K$  is **Eq2R** if for all closed terms  $a : K(A, A)$ ,  $b : K(B, B)$  and functions  $g : A \rightarrow B$

$$K(A, g) a = K(g, B) b \implies (a, b) \in \mathcal{K}\langle g \rangle$$

## Eq2R types

$$\begin{array}{l|l} K(A, B) ::= & B \quad | \quad C \\ & | \quad K(A, B) \times K(A, B) \quad | \quad K(A, B) + K(A, B) \\ & | \quad H(B, A) \rightarrow K(A, B) \quad | \quad \forall X. K([X, A], [X, B]) \end{array}$$

# From parametricity to strong dinaturality

## Definition

A type  $K$  is **R2Eq** if for all closed terms  $a : K(A, A)$ ,  $b : K(B, B)$  and functions  $g : A \rightarrow B$

$$(a, b) \in \mathcal{K}\langle g \rangle \implies K(A, g) a = K(g, B) b$$

## R2Eq types

$$\begin{array}{l|l} L(A, B) ::= & B \quad | \quad C \\ & | \quad L(A, B) \times L(A, B) \quad | \quad L(A, B) + L(A, B) \\ & | \quad K(B, A) \rightarrow L(A, B) \quad | \quad \forall X. L([X, A], [X, B]) \end{array}$$

## From parametricity to strong dinaturality

### Theorem

Let  $K$  and  $L$  be System  $F$  types containing one free type variable and let  $t : \forall X. K(X, X) \rightarrow L(X, X)$  be a closed term of closed type.

If  $K$  is Eq2R and  $L$  is R2Eq, then  $t$  is a strongly dinatural transformation.

# From parametricity to strong dinaturality

## Theorem

*Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a functor and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  an object. If  $F$  has an initial algebra  $\mu F$  then:*

$$\text{SDinat}(\text{Hom}(F-, -), \text{Hom}(C, -)) \cong \text{Hom}(C, \mu F)$$

## Corollary

*Let  $F$  be a type expression with one covariant type variable, derivable from nonterminal  $F'$ . Then*

$$\forall X. (F(X) \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X \cong \mu F$$



# From parametricity to strong dinaturality

## Example

- Fixpoints are not definable in System F :

$$\begin{aligned}\forall X. (X \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X &\cong \forall X. (\text{Id}(X) \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X \\ &\cong \mu \text{Id} \cong 0\end{aligned}$$

- Polymorphic identity:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall X. X \rightarrow X &\cong \forall X. (\mathbf{1}(X) \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X \\ &\cong \mu \mathbf{1} \cong 1\end{aligned}$$

- Empty type:

$$\begin{aligned}\forall X. X &\cong \forall X. \mathbf{0} \rightarrow X \\ &\cong \forall X. (\mathbf{0}(X) \rightarrow X) \rightarrow X \\ &\cong \mu \mathbf{0} \cong 0\end{aligned}$$

## Conclusions and Further Work

- We have identified a class of types whose terms are strongly dinatural in every parametric model.
- The class is large enough to cover several important applications;
  - eg. Church encoding of initial algebras.
- Possible directions for the future work include:
  - to investigate the relationship with other formalisms (eg. structural polymorphism [Freyd, 1993], polynomial polymorphism [Jay, 1995], cospan diparametricity [Eppendahl, 2005]);
  - to try to find less syntactic characterization of the suitable classes of types.