

Introduction to Linear Logic

Andres Ojamaa

23.02.2006

Outline

Introduction

- Classical Logic

Linear Logic

- Background

- Syntax

- Informal Semantics

- Common Variants

Some Random Applications

- Synthesis of Web Services

- Theorem Provers

- Quantum Programming

Classical Sequent Calculus

Structural rules of weakening and contraction:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{▶ } \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \\ \text{▶ } \frac{\Gamma, A, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, A, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta} \end{array}$$

- ▶ A fact can be used freely as many times as needed.
- ▶ What can be concluded from $A, A \Rightarrow B, A \Rightarrow C$?

Capitalistic Point of View

“A implies B” should be read as “give me *as many* A as I might need and I get you B”.

Linear Logic

- ▶ Proposed by Jean-Yves Girard in 1987
- ▶ Denies the structural rules of weakening and contraction
- ▶ Assumptions as consumable resources

There are other resource-oriented logics:

- ▶ Relevance logic
- ▶ Lambek calculus

Classical Linear Logic Sequent Calculus

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{A \vdash A} \text{init} \quad \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A, \Sigma_1 \quad \Gamma_2, A \vdash \Sigma_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2} \text{cut} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma}{\Gamma, !A \vdash \Sigma} \text{weak}_L \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash ?A, \Sigma} \text{weak}_R \quad \frac{\Gamma, !A, !A \vdash \Sigma}{\Gamma, !A \vdash \Sigma} \text{contr}_L \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash ?A, ?A, \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash ?A, \Sigma} \text{contr}_R \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash \Sigma}{\Gamma, A \otimes B \vdash \Sigma} \otimes_L \quad \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash A, \Sigma_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash B, \Sigma_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash A \otimes B, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2} \otimes_R \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma}{\Gamma, \mathbf{1} \vdash \Sigma} \mathbf{1}_L \quad \frac{}{\vdash \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1}_R \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Sigma}{\Gamma, A \& B \vdash \Sigma} \&_{L1} \quad \frac{\Gamma, B \vdash \Sigma}{\Gamma, A \& B \vdash \Sigma} \&_{L2} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash A \& B, \Sigma} \&_R \quad \text{no } \top_L \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \top, \Sigma} \top_R \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma_1, A \vdash \Sigma_1 \quad \Gamma_2, B \vdash \Sigma_2}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, A \wp B \vdash \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2} \wp_L \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, B, \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash A \wp B, \Sigma} \wp_R \quad \frac{}{\perp \vdash} \perp_L \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \perp, \Sigma} \perp_R \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Sigma \quad \Gamma, B \vdash \Sigma}{\Gamma, A \oplus B \vdash \Sigma} \oplus_L \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash A \oplus B, \Sigma} \oplus_{R1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash B, \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash A \oplus B, \Sigma} \oplus_{R2} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma, \mathbf{0} \vdash \Sigma} \mathbf{0}_L \quad \text{no } \mathbf{0}_R \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Sigma}{\Gamma, !A \vdash \Sigma} !_L \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, ?\Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash !A, ?\Sigma} !_R \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \vdash ?\Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash ?A \vdash ?\Sigma} ?_L \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash ?A, \Sigma} ?_R
 \end{array}$$

CLL sequent calculus

Linear Connective Soup

Multiplicative conjunction

- ▶ Operator: $\otimes$
- ▶ Denotes simultaneous occurrence of resources
- ▶ Unit: 1 ($1 \otimes A = A = A \otimes 1$)

Multiplicative disjunction

- ▶ Operator: $\wp$
- ▶ Represents simultaneous goals that must be reached
- ▶ Unit: $\perp$

Linear Connective Soup

Additive conjunction

- ▶ Operator: $\&$
- ▶ Internal choice, represents alternative occurrence of resources
- ▶ Unit: $\top$

Additive disjunction

- ▶ Operator: $\oplus$
- ▶ External choice, represents a choice over which one has no control
- ▶ Unit: 0

Linear Implication and Exponentials

The proposition $A \multimap B$ consumes resource A to reach resource B .

- ▶ Reuse is allowed for propositions using “of course” operator: $!$. (contraction)
- ▶ A fact can be weakened by additional conclusion $?A$ (“why not” operator).

Negation

Atomic formula:

- ▶ Negation of A is $A^\perp$
- ▶ Negation of $A^{\perp\perp}$ is A

Negation of non-atomic formulae is defined using the De Morgan rule:

- ▶ $(A \otimes B)^\perp = A^\perp \wp B^\perp$
- ▶ $(A \wp B)^\perp = A^\perp \otimes B^\perp$
- ▶ $(A \& B)^\perp = A^\perp \oplus B^\perp$
- ▶ $(A \oplus B)^\perp = A^\perp \& B^\perp$

Linear implication $A \multimap B$ is defined as a shorthand for $A^\perp \wp B$

The Linear Menu

Menu a 75 Frs

Entree:

- ▶ quiche lorraine ou
- ▶ saumon fume

et Plat:

- ▶ pot-au-feu ou
- ▶ filet de canard

et

- ▶ Fruit selon saison (banane ou raisin ou oranges ou ananas) ou
- ▶ Dessert au choix (mistere, glace, tarte aux pommes)

$$75FF^{\perp} \wp (Q \& S) \otimes (P \& F) \otimes ((B \oplus R \oplus O \oplus A) \& (M \& G \& T))$$

Common Variants of Linear Logic

- ▶ MLL - Multiplicative LL
 - ▶ Only $\otimes$ and $\wp$ are allowed
 - ▶ Decidable, NP-complete (Max I. Kanovich)
- ▶ MALL - Multiplicative Additive LL
 - ▶ Adds additive connectives ($\oplus$, $\&$) to MLL
 - ▶ Decidable, PSPACE-complete (P. Lincoln, J. Mitchell, A. Scedrov, N. Shankar)
- ▶ MELL - Multiplicative Exponential LL
 - ▶ Adds exponential operators to MLL
 - ▶ The decision problem is open
- ▶ MAELL - Multiplicative Additive Exponential LL
 - ▶ Undecidable

There are also first- and higher-order extensions of LL.

Synthesis of Web Services

- ▶ How to find solutions effectively?
- ▶ General description of a service:

$resources \otimes constraints \otimes preconditions \otimes !inputs$
 $\rightarrow (effects \otimes !outputs) \oplus exception$

- ▶ Example:

$have_processing_time \otimes !x_is_known \otimes !y_is_known$
 $\rightarrow !z_is_known \oplus exception$

Synthesis of Web Services

Using admissible rules

The extralogical axiom describing a service:

$$a \otimes i \multimap (f \otimes o) \oplus e$$

Where:

- ▶ a - multiplicative conjunction of resources, constraints and preconditions
- ▶ i - multiplicative conjunction of inputs
- ▶ f - multiplicative conjunction of effects
- ▶ o - multiplicative conjunction of outputs
- ▶ e - exception

Synthesis of Web Services

Using admissible rules

Admissible derivation rule:

$$\frac{\vdash a \otimes !i \multimap f \otimes !o \quad \Gamma \vdash a \quad \Sigma \vdash !i}{\Gamma, \Sigma \vdash f \otimes !u}$$

Where u consists of something from i, o .

- What about the complexity of the proof-search?

Theorem Provers: linprove

- ▶ Searches a cut-free proof of the given two-sided sequent of first-order linear logic
- ▶ Written in SICStus Prolog (≈ 1400 LOC)
- ▶ Online demo: <http://bach.istc.kobe-u.ac.jp/llprover/>
- ▶ Author: Naoyuki Tamura

Example proof:

```
----- Ax
a --> a
----- L/\1
a/\b --> a
```


Linear Logic Theorem Provers

- ▶ linprove - prover for propositional linear logic
 - ▶ Written in Scheme ($\approx$ 4000 LOC)
 - ▶ Proof Strategies in Linear Logic. 1994
 - ▶ Author: Tanel Tammet
- ▶ Forum
 - ▶ Based on intuitionistic linear logic
 - ▶ Designed by Dale Miller
- ▶ RAPS - Resource-Aware Planning System
 - ▶ LL planner to support reasoning over Web service composition problems in propositional and first-order LL
 - ▶ Written in Java
 - ▶ Peep Küngas

QML - Quantum Meta Language

QML is a functional language for quantum computations developed by T. Altenkirch and J. Grattage.

- ▶ Based on strict linear logic
 - ▶ SLL is an extension of LL with structural rule of contraction.
- ▶ Quantum control and quantum data
- ▶ Important issue: control of decoherence

Summary

- ▶ “I’m *not* a linear logician.” – Girard
- ▶ Linear Logic provides useful tools for different applications
- ▶ Expressive power vs complexity