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## Predict memory and time behavior

- Prevent abrupt termination: for small devices (mobile phones, Java cards, etc.), for time and memory exhaustive computations (GRID, model-generation).
- Optimize memory management (less fragmentation etc.).
- Avoid "Denial of Service" attacks that exploit memory exhaustion.
- Use in heap/stack and time properties verification.
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## Strict non-monotone polynomial size dependencies

Our previous work: strict polynomial size dependencies:

- size-annotated type system to check and infer f.o. types
like: append: $\mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{n+m}(\alpha)$
sqdiff: $\mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{(n-m)^{2}}(\alpha)$
- checking is decidable in integers under a syntactic restriction,
- test-based inference is semi-decidable (given a degree of polynomials, hypothetical size annotations for a f.o. type are generated via testing and checked by a type-checker).


## Strict non-monotone polynomial size dependencies

Main disadvantage: cannot analyse non-strict size dependencies:
insert' : Int $\times \mathrm{L}_{n}($ Int $) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{n, n+1}$ (Int)
delete $^{\prime}: \operatorname{Int} \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\mathrm{Int}) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{n, n-1}(\mathrm{Int})$
Other work (all non-strict size dependencies):

- checking/inference is decidable in integers, but for linear polynomials (L. Pareto),
- checking/inference is decidable for monotone s.d., in reals (polynomial quasi-interpretations of J.-Y. Marion),
- decidability depends on external packages (K. Hammond),
- monotone, inference with some human interaction (G.

Puebla),

- size dependencies as programs (B. Jay)
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## The language

```
Basic \(b::=c|\operatorname{Nil}| \operatorname{Cons}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mid f\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{n}\right)\)
Expr \(\quad e \quad::=\) letfun \(f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=e_{1}\) in \(e_{2}\)
    |b
    let \(x=b\) in \(e\)
    if \(x\) then \(e_{1}\) else \(e_{2}\)
\(\mid\) match x with \(\mid \mathrm{Nil} \Rightarrow e_{1}\)
    Cons(hd, tl) \(\Rightarrow e_{2}\)
```


## Zero-order types

## Zero-order types are (still) matrix-like structures: [1, 2] $\in \mathrm{L}_{2}$ (Int) <br> $[[1,3],[1,4],[1,5]] \in \mathrm{L}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{2}(\operatorname{lnt})\right)$



## Zero-order types

## Zero-order types are (still) matrix-like structures: <br> [1, 2] <br> $\in \mathrm{L}_{2}$ ( $\operatorname{lnt}$ ) <br> $[[1,3],[1,4],[1,5]] \in L_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{2}(\operatorname{lnt})\right)$

## ... and unions of them

[1, 2]
$\in \mathrm{L}_{1}(\operatorname{lnt}) \cup \mathrm{L}_{2}(\operatorname{lnt})$
$=\mathrm{L}_{i}^{1 \leq i \leq 2}$ (Int)
$[[1,3],[1,4],[1,5]] \quad \in L_{3}\left(L_{1}(\operatorname{lnt})\right) \cup L_{3}\left(L_{2}(\operatorname{lnt})\right)$
formally
$=\exists 1 \leq i \leq 2 . \mathrm{L}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{i}(\operatorname{lnt})\right)$
notation
$=\mathrm{L}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{i}^{1 \leq i \leq 2}(\operatorname{lnt})\right)$

## Zero-order types

An example of types with size/type variables:
$\mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha)$
(formal-parameter types for functions)
$\mathrm{L}_{n^{2}+i}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha)$ (output types for functions)

## Types $\tau::=$ Int |Bool

where $P(\bar{n}, \bar{i})$ is an arithmetic quantifier-free predicate, $p(\bar{n}, \bar{i})$ is a piece-wise polynomial (with both, - and - )

Semantics in an example:


## Zero-order types

An example of types with size/type variables:
$\mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha)$
$\mathrm{L}_{n^{2}+i}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha)$
(formal-parameter types for functions)
(output types for functions)

$$
\text { Types } \tau::=\text { Int } \mid \text { Bool }|\alpha| \mathrm{L}_{p(\bar{n}, \bar{i})}^{P(\bar{n}, i)}(\tau) \text {, }
$$

where $P(\bar{n}, \bar{i})$ is an arithmetic quantifier-free predicate, $p(\bar{n}, i)$ is a piece-wise polynomial (with both, - and -)

Semantics in an example:

$$
x: \mathrm{L}_{m-j}^{0 \leq j \leq n}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{m n+i}^{0 \leq i \leq n^{2}}(\alpha)\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \exists 0 \leq j \leq n, 0 \leq i \leq n^{2} \\
& x: \mathrm{L}_{m-j}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{m n+i}(\alpha)\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

## Function types

> | insert | $:(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \alpha \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha)$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{n}^{0 \leq i \leq 1}(\alpha)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rinsert | $:(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha)$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{m+i}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha)$ | filter delete rdelete

 divtwo $\mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha)$

- formal-parameter types: zero-order with just-size-variable-annotations, and higher-order.
- Output types: zero-order annotations that do not depend on the annotations (if any) of the higher-order arguments.
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## Function types

insert : $(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow$ Bool $) \times \alpha \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \quad \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{n+i}^{0 \leq i \leq 1}(\alpha)$ rinsert : $(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow$ Bool $) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{m+i}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha)$
filter : $(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow$ Bool $) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \quad \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{i}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha)$ delete : $(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow$ Bool $) \times \alpha \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{n=1}^{0 \leq i \leq 1}(\alpha)$ rdelete : $(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow$ Bool $) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{m}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha)$ divtwo $: \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \quad \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{\frac{n}{2}=1}^{0 \leq i \leq 1}(\alpha)$
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- Outnut types: zero-order annotations that do not depend on the annotations (if any) of the higher-order arguments.


## Function types

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\hline \text { insert } & :(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \alpha \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{L}_{n+i}^{0 \leq i}(\alpha) \\
\text { rinsert } & :(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{L}_{m}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha) \\
\text { filter } & :(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{L}_{i}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha) \\
\text { delete } & :(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \alpha \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{L}_{n-i \leq 1}^{0 \leq i \leq 1}(\alpha) \\
\text { rdelete } & :(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{L}_{m}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha) \\
\text { divtwo } & : \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) & \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{L}_{n}^{0 \leq i \leq 1}}{0 \leq i \leq 1}(\alpha) \\
& & & \frac{n}{2} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- formal-parameter types: zero-order with just-size-variable-annotations, and higher-order.
- Output types: zero-order annotations that do not depend on the annotations (if any) of the higher-order arguments.


## Typing rules

$$
\frac{D \vdash p(\bar{n})=p^{\prime}(\bar{n})+1}{\overline{D ; ~ \Gamma, ~ h d: ~} \tau, \mathrm{tt}: \mathrm{L}_{p^{\prime}(\bar{n})}(\tau) \vdash_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Cons}(\mathrm{hd}, \mathrm{tl}): \mathrm{L}_{p(\bar{\pi})}(\tau)} \text { Cons - old }
$$
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$$
D \vdash p(\bar{n})=p^{\prime}(\bar{n})+1
$$

$$
\bar{D} ; \Gamma, \text { hd: } \tau, \mathrm{tl}: \mathrm{L}_{p^{\prime}(\bar{\pi})}(\tau) \vdash_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Cons}(\mathrm{hd}, \mathrm{tl}): \mathrm{L}_{p(\bar{\pi})}(\tau) \text { Cons - old }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \vdash \mathrm{L}_{p(\bar{n}, \bar{j})}^{Q(\bar{\pi}, \bar{i})}(\tau) \triangleleft \mathrm{L}_{p^{\prime}\left(\bar{n}, \bar{J}^{\prime}\right)+1}^{Q^{\prime}\left(\overline{j^{\prime}}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \\
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## Typing rules

$$
D \vdash p(\bar{n})=p^{\prime}(\bar{n})+1
$$

$\overline{D ;} ;$, hd: $\tau, \mathrm{tl}: \mathrm{L}_{p^{\prime}(\bar{\Pi})}(\tau) \vdash_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Cons}(\mathrm{hd}, \mathrm{tl}): \mathrm{L}_{p(\bar{\pi})}(\tau)$ Cons - old

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \vdash \mathrm{L}_{p(\bar{n}, \bar{j})}^{Q(\bar{n}, \bar{i})}(\tau) \triangleleft \mathrm{L}_{p^{\prime}\left(\bar{n}, \bar{j}^{\prime}\right)+1}^{Q^{\prime}\left(\overline{j^{\prime}}, \tau^{\prime}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \\
& D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \text {; Г, hd: } \tau^{\prime}, \text { tl: }: \mathrm{L}_{p^{\prime}\left(\bar{n}, \bar{j}^{\prime}\right)}^{Q^{\prime}\left(\overline{j^{\prime}}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \vdash_{\Sigma} \\
& \text { Cons(hd, tl): } \mathrm{L}_{p(\bar{n}, i)}^{Q(\bar{i})}(\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \vdash \\
\mathrm{L}_{p(\bar{n}, \bar{j})}^{Q(\bar{i})}(\tau) \triangleleft \mathrm{L}_{p^{\prime}\left(\bar{n}, \bar{j}^{\prime}\right)+1}^{Q^{\prime}\left(\overline{j^{\prime}}\right)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) & \forall \bar{n} \bar{j} \bar{j}^{\prime} \exists \bar{i} . D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \wedge Q^{\prime}\left(\bar{n}, \bar{j}^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow \\
Q(\bar{n}, \bar{i}) \wedge p(\bar{n}, \bar{i})=p^{\prime}\left(\bar{n}, \bar{j}^{\prime}\right)+1
\end{array}
$$

## Typing rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma(f)=\tau_{1}^{f} \times \ldots \times \tau_{k^{\prime}}^{f} \times \tau_{1}^{\circ} \times \ldots \times \tau_{k}^{\circ} \rightarrow \tau_{0} \\
& \Sigma\left(g_{1}\right)=\tau_{1}^{f}, \ldots, \Sigma\left(g_{k^{\prime}}\right)=\tau_{k^{\prime}}^{f} \\
& D \vdash \tau_{0}^{\prime} \triangleleft *\left(\tau_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Substitution $*$ on free size parameters


"Collections-of-polynomials" annotations handle non-monotone bounds.

## Typing rules

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma(f)=\tau_{1}^{f} \times \ldots \times \tau_{k^{\prime}}^{f} \times \tau_{1}^{\circ} \times \ldots \times \tau_{k}^{\circ} \rightarrow \tau_{0} \\
\Sigma\left(g_{1}\right)=\tau_{1}^{f}, \ldots, \Sigma\left(g_{k^{\prime}}\right)=\tau_{k^{\prime}}^{f} \\
D \vdash \tau_{0}^{\prime} \triangleleft *\left(\tau_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\overline{D ; \Gamma, x_{1}: \tau_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{1}: \tau_{k}^{\prime} \vdash_{\Sigma} f\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k^{\prime}}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right): \tau_{0}^{\prime}} \text { FAPP }
$$

Substitution $*$ on free size parameters

"Collections-of-polynomials" annotations handle non-monotone bounds.

## Typing rules

The IF-rule: the same types in both branches, but its existentials may be instantiated with different values of $\bar{i}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma(\mathrm{x})=\text { Bool } \\
D ; \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} e_{t}: \tau \\
D ; \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} e_{f}: \tau \\
\frac{D ; \Gamma \vdash_{\Sigma} \text { if } x \text { then } e_{t} \text { else } e_{f}: \tau}{} \text { IF }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Outline



## Motivation

- Size information for memory/time management
- Our previous work: strict polynomial size dependencies
(2) Our results: lower and upper bounds for non-monotone dependencies
- A language and its type system
- Type-checking decidable in reals
- Test-based inference


## Type checking: example

insert: $(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow$ Bool $) \times \alpha \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{n+i}^{0 \leq i \leq 1}(\alpha)$ :
insert $(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=$
match $y$ with $\mid \operatorname{Nil} \Rightarrow$ let $z=\operatorname{Nil}$ in $\operatorname{Cons(x,z)}$
Cons(hd, tl) $\Rightarrow$ if $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{hd})$ then $y$ else Cons(hd, insert(g, $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{tl})$ )

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=0 & \vdash n+? i=0 \wedge 0 \leq ? i \leq 1 \\
n>0 & \vdash n+? i=n \wedge 0 \leq ? i \leq 1 \\
n>0 ; 0 \leq j \leq 1 & \vdash n+? i=(n-1)+j+1 \wedge 0 \leq ? i \leq 1
\end{array}
$$

## Type checking: example

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=0 & \vdash n+? i=0 \wedge 0 \leq ? i \leq 1 \\
n>0 & \vdash n+? i=n \wedge 0 \leq ? i \leq 1 \\
n>0 ; 0 \leq j \leq 1 & \vdash n+? i=(n-1)+j+1 \wedge 0 \leq ? i \leq 1
\end{array}
$$

Solution:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \vdash ? i:=0 \wedge 0 \leq ? i \leq 1 \\
n>0 & \vdash ? i:=n-n=0 \wedge 0 \leq ? i \leq 1 \\
n>0 ; 0 \leq j \leq 1 & \vdash
\end{array}
$$

## Output size annotations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rinsert }:(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{m}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha) \\
& \text { rdelete }
\end{aligned}:(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{m-i \leq n}^{0 \leq i \leq i}(\alpha)
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Size annot. of the form } & p(\bar{n})+i \text { or } p(\bar{n}) \dot{-i} \\
Q(\bar{n}, i) \text { of the form } & 0 \leq i \leq \delta(\bar{n})
\end{array}
$$

This
(1) makes type checking easier, since end entailments are of the form

(2) comes from one natural observation (see the next slides).

## Output size annotations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rinsert }:(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha) \\
& \text { rdelete } \rightarrow(\alpha \times \alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{Bool}) \times \mathrm{L}_{n}(\alpha) \times \mathrm{L}_{m}(\alpha) \rightarrow i \leq n \\
& \text { O } \rightarrow \mathrm{L}_{m}^{0 \leq i \leq n}(\alpha) \\
& m-i
\end{aligned}(\alpha)
$$

Size annot. of the form $Q(\bar{n}, i)$ of the form $\quad 0 \leq i \leq \delta(\bar{n})$

## This

(1) makes type checking easier, since end entailments are of the form

- $D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \vdash p(\bar{n})+i=q(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \wedge Q(\bar{n}, i)$
i.e. to check $D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \vdash Q(\bar{n}, q(\bar{n}, \bar{j})-p(\bar{n}))$,
- or $D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \vdash p(\bar{n})-i=q(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \wedge Q(\bar{n}, i)$
i.e. to check ... see the next slide ...
(2) comes from one natural observation (see the next slides).
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## Output size annotations

## Size annot. of the form $p(\bar{n})+i$ or $p(\bar{n})-i$ <br> $Q(\bar{n}, i)$ of the form $\quad 0 \leq i \leq \delta(\bar{n})$

This
(1) makes type checking easier, since end entailments are of the form

- (see above),
- or $D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \vdash p(\bar{n}) \doteq i=q(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \wedge Q(\bar{n}, i)$
i.e. to check one of the

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}), p(\bar{n})-q(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \leq p(\bar{n}) & \vdash & Q(\bar{n}, p(\bar{n})-q(\bar{n}, \bar{j})) \\
D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}), i>p(\bar{n}) & \vdash q(\bar{n}, \bar{j})=0 \wedge Q(\bar{n}, i)
\end{array}
$$

(2) comes from one natural observation (see the next slide)

## Output size annotations

> | >  Size annot. of the form | $p(\bar{n})+i$ or $p(\bar{n})-i$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| > $Q(\bar{n}, i)$ of the form | $0 \leq i \leq \delta(\bar{n})$ > |

This

- makes type checking easier,
(2) comes from the fact, that one would like to check/infer a lower $p_{\min }(\bar{n})$ and an upper $p_{\max }(\bar{\Pi})$ bounds.
This means that the length of the output value is exactly either $p_{\min }(\bar{n})+i \quad$ for some $0 \leq i \leq p_{\max }(\bar{n})-p_{\min }(\bar{n})$ or $p_{\max }(\bar{n})-i \quad$ for some $0 \leq i \leq p_{\max }(\bar{n})-p_{\min }(\bar{n})$


## Checking using reals (CAD)

Real arithmetic is inevitable.
Just embedding integers into reals is not enough!
E.g. $x^{2} \leq x^{3}$ is "true" for integers and "false" for reals.

Use CAD (Cylindrical Algebraic Decompositions):
to solve
i.e. to find an integer counterexample $(\bar{n}, j)$


## Checking using reals (CAD)

Real arithmetic is inevitable.
Just embedding integers into reals is not enough!
E.g. $x^{2} \leq x^{3}$ is "true" for integers and "false" for reals.

Use CAD (Cylindrical Algebraic Decompositions):
to solve
i.e. to find an integer counterexample $(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \quad D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \wedge \neg Q(\bar{n}, \bar{j})$

## Checking using reals (CAD)

## CAD for a real predicate $P(\bar{x})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{11} \leq x_{1} \leq g_{12} \\
& g_{21}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq x_{2} \leq g_{22}\left(x_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{i j}$ contains,,$+- *$ and radicals.

The question: are there integer numbers in the CAD for


In the example: $x^{2}>x^{3}$ holds on $0<x<1$, which does not contain integers.

An easy question if $g_{12}$ is not $\infty$ (enumeration of integers from bounded cylinders is used in Mathematica). Problem: $g_{12}=\infty$.
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\begin{aligned}
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where $g_{i j}$ contains,,$+- *$ and radicals.

The question: are there integer numbers in the CAD for $D(\bar{n}, \bar{j}) \wedge \neg Q(\bar{n}, \bar{j})$ ?
In the example: $x^{2}>x^{3}$ holds on $0<x<1$, which does not contain integers.

An easy question if $g_{12}$ is not $\infty$ (enumeration of integers from bounded cylinders is used in Mathematica). Problem: $g_{12}=\infty$.
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## Inference via abstract "testing"

## Assumption for a function $f$ :

for any $\bar{n}$ (except in the base of recursion) there exists

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { an input } & \bar{x}_{\text {min }} & \text { s.t. }|f(\overline{\mathrm{x}})|=p_{\text {min }}(\bar{n}) \\
\text { an input } & \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\text {max }} & \text { s.t. }|f(\overline{\mathrm{x}})|=p_{\max }(\bar{\Pi})
\end{array}
$$

An example: insert with a hypothesis $p_{\min }(n)=a n+b$


Abstract interpretation for insert:


## Inference via abstract "testing"

## Assumption for a function $f$ :

for any $\bar{n}$ (except in the base of recursion) there exists

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { an input } & \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\text {min }} & \text { s.t. }|f(\overline{\mathrm{x}})|=p_{\text {min }}(\bar{n}) \\
\text { an input } & \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\text {max }} & \text { s.t. }|f(\overline{\mathrm{x}})|=p_{\max }(\bar{n})
\end{array}
$$

An example: insert with a hypothesis $p_{\min }(n)=a n+b$

$$
p_{\max }(n)=a^{\prime} n+b^{\prime}
$$

Abstract interpretation for insert:


## Inference via abstract "testing"

## Assumption for a function $f$ :

for any $\bar{n}$ (except in the base of recursion) there exists $\begin{array}{lll}\text { an input } & \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\text {min }} & \text { s.t. }|f(\overline{\mathrm{x}})|=p_{\text {min }}(\bar{n}) \\ \text { an input } & \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\text {max }} & \text { s.t. }|f(\overline{\mathrm{x}})|=p_{\text {max }}(\bar{n})\end{array}$

An example: insert with a hypothesis $p_{\min }(n)=a n+b$ $p_{\text {max }}(n)=a^{\prime} n+b^{\prime}$
Abstract interpretation for insert: $p(0) \rightarrow 1$

$$
p(n) \rightarrow n \mid 1+p(n-1)
$$
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## Solving the system of linear equation gives



## Inference via abstract "testing"

Abstract interpretation for insert: $p(0) \rightarrow 1$

$$
p(n) \rightarrow n \mid 1+p(n-1)
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
p(1)=\{1,2\} \\
p(2)=\{2,3\}
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{\min }(1)=1, p_{\min }(2)=2 \\
p_{\max }(1)=2, p_{\max }(2)=3
\end{array}\right.
$$

Solving the system of linear equation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{\min }(n)=n \\
& p_{\max }(n)=n+1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary

- a polynomial-size-annotated type system is designed,
- checking is decidable in reals and is, basically, adjusted for integers,
- test-based inference of polynomial lower and upper bounds is possible.

Future work:

- test-based inference for piece-wise polynomial bounds,
- zero-order types: unnanotated lists, sized integers and
beyond matrices $L_{n}\left(L_{p^{\prime}(n, i)}^{\exists i} \cdot Q(n, i)(-)\right)$,
- algebraic data structures,
- the infinite cylinders issue.
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