

Emulating Linear Types in Haskell

Wolfgang Jeltsch

TTÜ Küberneetika Instituut

Teoriaseminar
February 16, 2012

- 1 Linear logic
- 2 Linear types
- 3 Categorical models
- 4 An inconsistent encoding in Haskell
- 5 Solving the consistency problem
- 6 Conclusions and outlook

Linear logic

Emulating
Linear Types
in Haskell

Wolfgang
Jeltsch

Linear logic

Linear types

Categorical
models

An
inconsistent
encoding in
Haskell

Solving the
consistency
problem

Conclusions
and outlook

- useful for reasoning about resources
- each hypothesis must be used exactly once
- very different from the normal understanding of logic
- classical and intuitionistic variant
- in this talk, only intuitionistic linear logic

Linear logic formulas

Emulating
Linear Types
in Haskell

Wolfgang
Jeltsch

Linear logic

Linear types

Categorical
models

An
inconsistent
encoding in
Haskell

Solving the
consistency
problem

Conclusions
and outlook

- language:

$$F ::= F \otimes F \mid 1 \mid F \& F \mid \top \mid F \oplus F \mid 0 \mid F \multimap F \mid !F$$

- meanings:

$\alpha \otimes \beta$ α and β hold simultaneously

1 nothing holds

$\alpha \& \beta$ α and β hold (not necessarily simultaneously)

\top tautology

$\alpha \oplus \beta$ α or β holds

0 absurdity

$\alpha \multimap \beta$ if α holds in addition, then β holds

$!\alpha$ α holds arbitrarily often

Linear logic example

Emulating
Linear Types
in Haskell

Wolfgang
Jeltsch

Linear logic

Linear types

Categorical
models

An
inconsistent
encoding in
Haskell

Solving the
consistency
problem

Conclusions
and outlook

- atomic propositions:

e I have one euro.

$s/p/i$ I get a soup/a pancake/an icecream.

- derived propositions:

- For four euros, I get a soup and a pancake:

$$e \otimes e \otimes e \otimes e \multimap s \otimes p$$

- For two euros, I get a soup or a pancake (my choice):

$$e \otimes e \multimap s \& p$$

- For two euros, I get a pancake or an icecream (cafeteria's choice):

$$e \otimes e \multimap p \oplus i$$

- I am the central bank:

$!e$

Comparison of the two conjunctions

Emulating
Linear Types
in Haskell

Wolfgang
Jeltsch

Linear logic

Linear types

Categorical
models

An
inconsistent
encoding in
Haskell

Solving the
consistency
problem

Conclusions
and outlook

- these propositions hold:

$$\alpha \multimap \alpha \& \alpha$$

$$\alpha \& \beta \multimap \alpha$$

$$\alpha \& \beta \multimap \beta$$

- these do not hold in general:

$$\alpha \multimap \alpha \otimes \alpha$$

$$\alpha \otimes \beta \multimap \alpha$$

$$\alpha \otimes \beta \multimap \beta$$

- 1 Linear logic
- 2 **Linear types**
- 3 Categorical models
- 4 An inconsistent encoding in Haskell
- 5 Solving the consistency problem
- 6 Conclusions and outlook

Linear λ -calculus

- the Curry–Howard analog of intuitionistic linear logic
- values have to be used exactly once:
 - a value can represent the current state of an object
 - changes to the state (destructive updates) expressible as pure functions
- some functions with destructive updates:

- array update:

$$idx \otimes el \otimes Array\ idx\ el \multimap Array\ idx\ el$$

- opening a file:

$$FileName \otimes World \multimap File \otimes World$$

- writing to an opened file:

$$String \otimes File \multimap File$$

- closing a file:

$$File \otimes World \multimap World$$

Linearity in functional programming languages

Emulating
Linear Types
in Haskell

Wolfgang
Jeltsch

Linear logic

Linear types

Categorical
models

An
inconsistent
encoding in
Haskell

Solving the
consistency
problem

Conclusions
and outlook

- variant of linear types implemented in Clean (uniqueness types)
- no direct support for anything like this in Haskell:
 - ability to duplicate and destroy values is present by default
 - seems impossible to emulate linear types under these circumstances
 - but emulation is possible nevertheless

- 1 Linear logic
- 2 Linear types
- 3 Categorical models**
- 4 An inconsistent encoding in Haskell
- 5 Solving the consistency problem
- 6 Conclusions and outlook

Products and coproducts

- bicartesian closed categories (BCCCs) as models of intuitionistic logic:
 - finite products for \wedge and \top
 - finite coproducts for \vee and \perp
 - exponentials for \rightarrow
- finite products and coproducts also used in models of intuitionistic linear logic:
 - finite products for $\&$ and \top
 - finite coproducts for \oplus and 0
- seems strange that \wedge and $\&$ are modelled by the same construction, although they denote different things
- however, analogous propositions hold for \wedge and $\&$:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha \multimap \alpha \& \alpha & \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \wedge \alpha \\ \alpha \& \beta \multimap \alpha & \alpha \wedge \beta \rightarrow \alpha \\ \alpha \& \beta \multimap \beta & \alpha \wedge \beta \rightarrow \beta \end{array}$$

Structure for \otimes , 1 and \multimap

- \otimes and 1 modelled by a monoidal category structure:
 - \otimes is associative and commutative
 - 1 is its neutral element
 - nothing more
- monoidal closed category for also modelling \multimap :

- we have a natural transformation e with

$$e_{A,B} : (A \multimap B) \otimes A \rightarrow B$$

and an isomorphism

$$\Lambda : \text{Hom}(C \otimes A, B) \cong \text{Hom}(C, A \multimap B)$$

that fulfill certain conditions

- corresponds to the definition of exponentials with \times replaced by \otimes

- 1 Linear logic
- 2 Linear types
- 3 Categorical models
- 4 An inconsistent encoding in Haskell**
- 5 Solving the consistency problem
- 6 Conclusions and outlook

Using products and sums

- finite products and sums in Haskell can be modelled by finited products and coproducts in category theory
- thus we can use products and sums for encoding $\&$, \top , \oplus , and 0
- algebraic data types can be used
- (x, y) now represents two possible resources of which we have to use exactly one
- framework has to make sure that we use exactly one
- duplication and disposal of values is possible, but intuition is different:

$\lambda x \rightarrow (x, x)$ if we have x , we can choose between x and x

$\lambda(x, y) \rightarrow x$ if we have the choice between x and y , we can choose x

Encoding \otimes , 1 , and \multimap

- use $(,)$, $()$, and \rightarrow internally:

newtype *Blank* = *LinUnit* $()$

newtype $\alpha \otimes \beta = \text{LinPair } (\alpha, \beta)$

newtype $\alpha \multimap \beta = \text{LinFunction } (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$

- export only operations from categorical models:

bimap :: $(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha') \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \beta') \rightarrow (\alpha \otimes \beta \rightarrow \alpha' \otimes \beta')$

assoc :: $(\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes \gamma \rightarrow \alpha \otimes (\beta \otimes \gamma)$

*drop*₁ :: *Blank* \otimes $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

*drop*₂ :: $\alpha \otimes$ *Blank* $\rightarrow \alpha$

swap :: $\alpha \otimes \beta \rightarrow \beta \otimes \alpha$

apply :: $(\alpha \multimap \beta) \otimes \alpha \rightarrow \beta$

curry :: $(\gamma \otimes \alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha \multimap \beta)$

and the inverses of *assoc*, *drop*₁, and *drop*₂

A problem with tensorial strength

Emulating
Linear Types
in Haskell

Wolfgang
Jeltsch

Linear logic

Linear types

Categorical
models

An
inconsistent
encoding in
Haskell

Solving the
consistency
problem

Conclusions
and outlook

- as shown last week, Haskell gives us tensorial strength automatically
- reason is that \rightarrow is used for both morphisms and functions on morphisms
- the latter are thus morphisms themselves
- example of unsafe operator that can be derived from tensorial strength:

$$\lambda p \rightarrow \text{bimap } (\text{const } p) \text{ id } p :: \alpha \otimes \beta \rightarrow (\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes \beta$$

- 1 Linear logic
- 2 Linear types
- 3 Categorical models
- 4 An inconsistent encoding in Haskell
- 5 Solving the consistency problem**
- 6 Conclusions and outlook

A solution using the Q -functor

- last week's talk introduced applicative functor Q for ensuring start time consistency in FRP
- technique can be generalized to work with other extensions of BCCCs
- if type α is modelled by object A , then $Q \alpha$ corresponds to $\text{Hom}(1, A)$, where 1 is the initial object of the category
- therefore if α and β are modelled by A and B , $Q (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ corresponds to $\text{Hom}(1, B^A) \cong \text{Hom}(A, B)$
- represent morphisms from A to B by values of type $Q (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$
- illegal values can only be constructed under at least two layers of Q
- make sure that values under two Q -layers are not used

Emulating
Linear Types
in Haskell

Wolfgang
Jeltsch

Linear logic

Linear types

Categorical
models

An
inconsistent
encoding in
Haskell

Solving the
consistency
problem

Conclusions
and outlook

Arrow instead of applicative functor

- use an arrow \mapsto instead of the Q -functor:
 - makes more sense
 - seems easier to use
- if α and β are modelled by objects A and B , then $\alpha \mapsto \beta$ corresponds to $\text{Hom}(A, B)$
- making \mapsto an instance of the *Arrow* and *ArrowChoice* classes makes the following operations available:

- transformation from \rightarrow to \mapsto :

$$\text{arr} \quad :: (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \mapsto \beta)$$

- composition of morphisms:

$$(\ggg) \quad :: (\alpha \mapsto \beta) \rightarrow (\beta \mapsto \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \mapsto \gamma)$$

- bifunctor applications for products and sums:

$$(***) \quad :: (\alpha \mapsto \alpha') \rightarrow (\beta \mapsto \beta') \rightarrow \\ ((\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (\alpha', \beta'))$$

$$(+++) \quad :: (\alpha \mapsto \alpha') \rightarrow (\beta \mapsto \beta') \rightarrow \\ (\text{Either } \alpha \beta \mapsto \text{Either } \alpha' \beta')$$

Encoding further operations

- operations for dealing with \otimes , *Blank*, and \multimap :

$$\mathit{bimap} :: (\alpha \mapsto \alpha') \rightarrow (\beta \mapsto \beta') \rightarrow (\alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \alpha' \otimes \beta')$$
$$\mathit{assoc} :: (\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes \gamma \mapsto \alpha \otimes (\beta \otimes \gamma)$$
$$\mathit{drop}_1 :: \mathit{Blank} \otimes \alpha \mapsto \alpha$$
$$\mathit{drop}_2 :: \alpha \otimes \mathit{Blank} \mapsto \alpha$$
$$\mathit{swap} :: \alpha \otimes \beta \mapsto \beta \otimes \alpha$$
$$\mathit{apply} :: (\alpha \multimap \beta) \otimes \alpha \mapsto \beta$$
$$\mathit{curry} :: (\gamma \otimes \alpha \mapsto \beta) \rightarrow (\gamma \mapsto \alpha \multimap \beta)$$

and the inverses of *assoc*, *drop*₁, and *drop*₂

- a variant of *curry* for $(,)$ and \rightarrow :

$$\mathit{curry} :: ((\gamma, \alpha) \mapsto \beta) \rightarrow (\gamma \mapsto \alpha \rightarrow \beta)$$

Purity is not enough

Emulating
Linear Types
in Haskell

Wolfgang
Jeltsch

Linear logic

Linear types

Categorical
models

An
inconsistent
encoding in
Haskell

Solving the
consistency
problem

Conclusions
and outlook

- arrows used for computations with some effect
- effects are the controversial thing that must be safely encapsulated
- so *arr* is perhaps the most uncontroversial arrow operation, as it only makes effectless computations available
- however, we do not want to encapsulate effects
- we want even less than ordinary pure computations, as we do not want tensorial strength
- so *arr* is actually controversial in our case

How we can ensure consistency

Emulating
Linear Types
in Haskell

Wolfgang
Jeltsch

Linear logic

Linear types

Categorical
models

An
inconsistent
encoding in
Haskell

Solving the
consistency
problem

Conclusions
and outlook

- analogy to Q :
 - illegal values can occur
 - but only under at least two layers of \mapsto
- for example, this is possible:

$$\alpha \mapsto ((\) \mapsto \alpha \otimes \alpha)$$

- but this one is not:

$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha \otimes \alpha$$

- this is possible, but unproblematic, as we cannot construct resource values out of nothing:

$$\alpha \rightarrow ((\) \mapsto \alpha \otimes \alpha)$$

- make sure that values under two \mapsto -layers are not used

- 1 Linear logic
- 2 Linear types
- 3 Categorical models
- 4 An inconsistent encoding in Haskell
- 5 Solving the consistency problem
- 6 Conclusions and outlook

Conclusions and outlook

- the Curry–Howard analog to intuitionistic linear logic can be encoded in Haskell
- enables us to deal with stateful computations in a more functional way
- ongoing effort to combine this with FRP
- possible application:
 - purely functional programming of GUIs with highly dynamic structure
- experimental Haskell code in the following darcs repositories:
 - <http://darcs.wolfgang.jeltsch.info/haskell/categorical-computing/main>
 - <http://darcs.wolfgang.jeltsch.info/haskell/linear/main>
 - <http://darcs.grapefruit-project.org/grapefruit-frp/main>