#### Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linea and non-linea logic

References

# Categorical Semantics for Linear Logic

Wolfgang Jeltsch Based on work by Nick Benton (1994)

TTÜ Küberneetika Instituut

Teooriaseminar 18 Juni 2013

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

### 1 Linear logic

2 Categorical semantics for linear logic

3 Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@



### Wolfgang Jeltsch

### Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

### 1 Linear logic

2 Categorical semantics for linear logic

3 Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

4 References

# Linear logic

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

### Wolfgang Jeltsch

Categorical Semantics

for Linear Logic

#### Linear logic

- Categorical semantics for linear logic
- Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

- useful for reasoning about resources
- each proposition must be used exactly once in a proof
- · very different from the normal understanding of logic
- classical and intuitionistic variant
- in this talk, only intuitionistic linear logic

#### Wolfgang Jeltsch

### Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

# Linear logic formulas

language:

 $F ::= F \otimes F \mid 1 \mid F \And F \mid \top \mid F \oplus F \mid 0 \mid F \multimap F \mid !F$ 

meanings:

- $lpha\otimeseta$   $\ lpha$  and  $\ eta$  hold simultaneously
  - $1 \ \mbox{nothing holds}$
- $\alpha \& \beta \ \alpha$  and  $\beta$  hold (not necessarily simultaneously)

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- ⊤ tautology
- $\alpha \oplus \beta \;\; \alpha \; {\rm or} \; \beta \; {\rm holds}$ 
  - 0 absurdity
- $\alpha \multimap \beta \,$  if  $\alpha$  holds in addition, then  $\beta$  holds

 $! \alpha \,\, \alpha$  holds arbitrarily often

#### Wolfgang Jeltsch

### Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

# Linear logic example

• atomic propositions:

e I have one euro.

s/p/i | get a soup/a pancake/an icecream.

• derived propositions:

• For four euros, I get a soup and a pancake:

 $e \otimes e \otimes e \otimes e \multimap s \otimes p$ 

• For two euros, I get a soup or a pancake (my choice):

$$e \otimes e \multimap s \& p$$

• For two euros, I get a pancake or an icecream (cafeteria's choice):

$$e \otimes e \multimap p \oplus i$$

le

うっつ 川 山 マ マ マ マ マ マ マ マ マ

• I am the central bank:

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

# • the Curry–Howard analog of intuitionistic linear logic

Linear  $\lambda$ -calculus

- values have to be used exactly once:
  - a value can represent the current state of an object
  - changes to the state (destructive updates) expressible as pure functions
- some functions with destructive updates:
  - array update:

 $\iota \otimes \alpha \otimes \mathsf{Array} \; \iota \; \alpha \multimap \mathsf{Array} \; \iota \; \alpha$ 

• opening a file:

 $\mathsf{FileName} \otimes \mathsf{World} \multimap \mathsf{File} \otimes \mathsf{World}$ 

• writing to an opened file:

```
\mathsf{String} \otimes \mathsf{File} \multimap \mathsf{File}
```

closing a file:

Wolfgang Jeltsch

#### Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

### 1 Linear logic

### 2 Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

4 References

Wolfgang Jeltsch

### Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

# Products and coproducts

- intuitionistic (non-linear) logic:
  - finite products for  $\wedge$  and  $\top$
  - finite coproducts for  $\vee$  and  $\bot$
- intuitionistic linear logic:
  - finite products for & and  $\top$
  - finite coproducts for  $\oplus$  and 0
- seems strange that  $\wedge/\top$  and &/ $\top$  are modeled by the same constructions, although they denote quite different things
- however, analogous statements hold for  $\wedge/\top$  and &/ $\top:$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha \vdash \alpha \land \alpha & \alpha \vdash \alpha \& \alpha \\ \alpha \land \beta \vdash \alpha & \alpha \& \beta \vdash \alpha \\ \alpha \vdash \top & \alpha \vdash \top \end{array}$$

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

# Symmetric monoidal structure

• axioms of  $\otimes$  and 1:

• associativity of  $\otimes$ :

 $(\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes \gamma \vdash \alpha \otimes (\beta \otimes \gamma)$  $\alpha \otimes (\beta \otimes \gamma) \vdash (\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes \gamma$ 

• commutativity of ⊗:

 $\alpha\otimes\beta\vdash\beta\otimes\alpha$ 

• 1 as neutral element:

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{1}\otimes \alpha\vdash\alpha\\ \alpha\vdash\mathbf{1}\otimes\alpha\end{array}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- symmetric monoidal structure for  $\otimes$  and 1

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

### • non-linear logic:

- cartesian closed structure for  $\wedge$  and  $\rightarrow$
- $-^{B}$  defined as right-adjoint of  $\times B$
- corresponds to equivalence of

$$\alpha \wedge \beta \vdash \gamma$$

and

$$\alpha \vdash \beta \to \gamma$$

- linear logic:
  - symmetric monoidal closed structure for  $\otimes$  and —
  - $B \multimap -$  defined as right-adjoint of  $\otimes B$
  - corresponds to equivalence of

$$\alpha\otimes\beta\vdash\gamma$$

and

 $\alpha \vdash \beta \multimap \gamma$ 

Adjunctions

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

### • symmetric lax monoidal functor structure:

$$! \alpha \otimes ! \beta \vdash ! (\alpha \otimes \beta)$$
  
 $1 \vdash ! 1$ 

comonad structure:

 $\begin{aligned} &!\alpha \vdash \alpha \\ &!\alpha \vdash !!\alpha \end{aligned}$ 

• commutative comonoid structure:

 $\begin{aligned} &!\alpha \vdash !\alpha \otimes !\alpha \\ &!\alpha \vdash 1 \end{aligned}$ 

some additional coherence conditions

# Structure for !

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

1 Linear logic

2 Categorical semantics for linear logic

3 Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

▲ロト ▲冊 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● の Q @

4 References

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

# Linear and non-linear models

- for now:
  - non-linear logic with only  $\wedge,$   $\top,$  and  $\rightarrow$
  - linear logic with only  $\otimes,$  1, and —
- categorical models:

non-linear logic cartesian closed category:

 $(\mathcal{C},\times,1,\rightarrow)$ 

linear logic symmetric monoidal closed category:

$$(\mathcal{L},\otimes, I, \multimap)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• beware:

proposition  $\top \triangleq$  object 1 proposition  $1 \triangleq$  object *I* 

## Interaction

Categorical Semantics for Linear Logic

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

- symmetric lax monoidal adjunction  $(F, \varphi, \psi) \dashv (G, \upsilon, \nu)$ between  $(\mathcal{L}, \otimes, I)$  and  $(\mathcal{C}, \times, 1)$ :
  - adjunction  $F \dashv G$  between  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} F &: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{L} \\ G &: \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{C} \end{aligned}$$

 (F, φ, ψ) and (G, υ, ν) are symmetric lax monoidal functors between (L, ⊗, I) and (C, ×, 1):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{X,Y} &: FX \otimes FY \to F(X \times Y) \qquad \psi : I \to F1 \\ v_{A,B} &: GA \times GB \to G(A \otimes B) \qquad \nu : 1 \to GI \end{aligned}$$

• unit and counit of  $F \dashv G$  are monoidal transformations

Wolfgang

leltsch

## Isomorphisms

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

### Theorem

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

# If $(F, \varphi, \psi) \dashv (G, v, v)$ is a lax monoidal adjunction, then $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are isomorphisms.

• inverses:

$$\varphi_{X,Y}^{-1} : F(X \times Y) \to FX \otimes FY$$
$$\varphi_{X,Y}^{-1} = \Phi^{-1}(v_{FX,FY} \circ (\eta_X \times \eta_Y))$$
$$\psi^{-1} : F1 \to I$$
$$\psi^{-1} = \Phi^{-1}(\nu)$$

• closer relationship between  $\times$  and  $\otimes$  as well as 1 and *I*:

$$FX \otimes FY \cong F(X \times Y)$$
$$I \cong F1$$

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

- adjunction  $F \dashv G$  gives rise to a comonad  $(!, \varepsilon, \delta)$ :
  - $! : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} \qquad \qquad \delta : FG \to FGFG$  $! = FG \qquad \qquad \delta = F\eta G$

Derived structure for 1

- symmetric monoidal functor structures for *F* and *G* give rise to a symmetric monoidal functor structure for !
- commutative comonoid structure can be derived:

$$\xi_A : FGA o FGA \otimes FGA$$
  
 $\xi_A = \varphi_{GA,GA}^{-1} \circ F\Delta_{GA}$ 

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

$$\chi_A : FGA \to I$$
  
 $\chi_A = \psi^{-1} \circ F!_{GA}$ 

• further coherence conditions follow

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

## More structure

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- more structure can be required:
  - finite products in  $\mathcal L$  for & and  $\top$ :

 $(\mathcal{L}, \&, \top)$ 

• finite coproducts in  ${\mathcal C}$  for  $\vee$  and  $\bot$ :

 $(\mathcal{C},+,0)$ 

- finite coproducts in  ${\cal L}$  for  $\oplus$  and 0:

 $(\mathcal{L},\oplus,0)$ 

- no additional coherence conditions
- interesting properties can still be derived

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

## More isomorphisms

• right-adjoints preserve limits:

$$GA \times GB \cong G(A \& B)$$
  
 $1 \cong G \top$ 

• consequence:

$$|A \otimes |B \cong |(A \& B)$$
$$I \cong |\top$$

• left-adjoints preserve colimits:

$$FX \oplus FY \cong F(X+Y)$$
$$0 \cong F0$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Wolfgang Jeltsch

Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

References

### 1 Linear logic

2 Categorical semantics for linear logic

3 Interaction between linear and non-linear logic

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ



## References

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

#### Categorical Semantics for Linear Logic

#### Wolfgang Jeltsch

#### Linear logic

Categorical semantics for linear logic

Interaction between linea and non-linea logic

References

### P. N. Benton.

A mixed linear and non-linear logic: Proofs, terms and models.

Technical Report UCAM-CL-TR-352, University of Cambridge, Oct. 1994.